Halton Area Planning Partnership (HAPP)

2016 Coordinated Plan Review Proposed Growth Plan Joint Submission

September 2016













Introduction

The Halton Area Planning Partnership (HAPP) is comprised of Halton Region and the following Local Municipalities: the City of Burlington, the Town of Halton Hills, the Town of Milton, and the Town of Oakville.

This submission represents HAPP's response to the document "Proposed Growth Plan (2016), May 2016" (Proposed Plan) which was placed on the Environmental Registry as a Policy Proposal Notice (EBR Registry Number: 012-7194) on May 10, 2016.

Proposed changes to the Growth Plan include increases to intensification and density targets, policies to address climate change and the introduction of a natural heritage system for the entire Greater Golden Horseshoe.

The Halton Area Planning Partnership (HAPP) now takes this opportunity to have its collective voice heard by responding to the Proposed Growth Plan. HAPP's submission provides comments on the Growth Plan's proposed changes and provides HAPP's key recommendations in this letter.

HAPP's response includes:

- 1. This letter, which contains:
 - a. HAPP's Key Points regarding the whole of the document;
- 2. Appendix 1, which contains:
 - a. General comments regarding the whole of the Proposed Plan;
 - b. Comments specific to individual policies within the Proposed Plan

Background

A co-ordinated review of the four Provincial land use plans was undertaken in 2015. The Government of Ontario received extensive feedback after the initial round of consultations with stakeholders and the public. An Advisory Panel also provided its recommendations in December 2015 in their report, "Planning for Health, Prosperity and Growth in the Greater Golden Horseshoe: 2015 – 2041".

The Government of Ontario has reviewed and considered all feedback received from stakeholders, the public, Indigenous communities and the Advisory Panel's recommendations. The government is now proposing changes to the four plans. The following Key Points outline the general policy comments developed collaboratively among the members of HAPP for the province's consideration before completion of the Coordinated Plans review.











Key Points of HAPP's Response

1. Harmonization and Alignment

Although efforts have been made to harmonize definitions across the Plans and with the PPS, opportunities still exist to better harmonize terminology, definitions and, where appropriate, policies. For example the Growth Plan provides definitions for key hydrologic areas, key hydrologic features, and key natural heritage features but the definitions differ from those found in the Greenbelt Plan. Aligning these elements is integral to balancing the requirements of each plan and achieving consistent implementation throughout the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH) and beyond.

HAPP members note that efficiencies can be gained by aligning the review of Growth Plan policies with the review of the Schedule 3 population and employment forecasts. Density and intensification targets affect strategies to accommodate population and employment forecasts. Informed discussions on the total amount of people and jobs a given municipality can accommodate cannot take place without considering how the totals will be accommodated – the reverse is also true. Aligning these elements will ensure that municipalities and other stakeholders have access to all relevant information when commenting on proposed changes to the Plans.

The Growth Plan should also be harmonized with other Provincial plans, such as the Ministry of Transportation Greater Golden Horseshoe Multi-Modal Transportation Plan and the Metrolinx Regional Transportation Plan. Within these plans, capital investments should be closely tied to policies – if a project has funding, municipalities can be certain that improvements to provincial or federal infrastructure will be made. The Growth Plan cannot be successfully implemented without harmonized plans at the provincial level.

2. Provincial Funding

Growth Plan implementation will not happen without stable, predictable, Provincial funding. Given the Growth Plan's proposed intensification target of 60 per cent, the need for funds to incentivize intensification, improve aging infrastructure and invest in transit is critical. Municipalities will also require funds for other components of the Growth Plan, such as community energy plans, agricultural support networks and community hubs. Expecting municipalities to pay for these additional community elements without providing additional revenue through funding or funding tools is unrealistic and will lead to stalled (or non-existent) implementation.

New funding models and financial tools are required to implement the Growth Plan's vision of "complete communities." The base assumptions for municipal revenue streams should be reviewed and updated so that new, innovative tools can provide sustainable funding for municipalities within the GGH. The proposed Growth Plan will ultimately change the way that communities are planned and built, however without corresponding changes to the ways in which infrastructure, community services and amenities are financed and delivered, municipalities will not be able to successfully











implement the policies of the proposed plan. In order to achieve vibrant, compact, pedestrian friendly, complete communities for all people at all stages of life as envisioned in the plan, appropriate Provincial funding is required

3. Transitioning to the Intensification and Density Targets

HAPP is generally supportive of the increased density and intensification targets in the proposed Growth Plan subject to Provincial support of the following qualifiers and additional comments found in Appendix 1. These include consideration of municipal need for time to transition from the existing targets to the proposed targets. Several land use planning initiatives are underway and will continue as planned while Growth Plan conformity exercises are completed.

A significant portion of Halton's growth is directed to its Designated Greenfield Area (DGA). Though HAPP is supportive of excluding Prime Employment Areas from density calculations, there are a number of low density features that should also be excluded, such as all roads and non-linear infrastructure that cannot be built more compactly (like sewage treatment plants). As well, schools and parks are important elements of complete communities that are also difficult to develop more compactly and as a result, should also be excluded from the 80 residents/ha target. The new target should only be measured over residential / mixed use areas (not employment areas).

All HAPP members feel strongly that the 80 people and jobs per hectare target should only apply to unplanned and undeveloped areas of the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA). Applying this target to the entire DGA implies that in progress area-specific plans should be revised to meet the new target, and that unplanned areas will have to be planned at very high densities in order to balance out previously planned land. HAPP suggests that the Province develop a new term and definition for the "developed portion" of the DGA applicable at date of adoption of this amendment to the Plan.

Though HAPP members generally support the 60 per cent intensification target, it should be phased in commencing at 2031, and be measured over the 2031 to 2041 time period, at the upper-tier level. Measuring the target from 2031 to 2041 will give municipalities time to determine the appropriate locations for intensification and build the infrastructure required to support it. Applying the target at the upper-tier level ensures that intensification is directed to areas in Halton that can adequately support it (such as areas served by transit).

4. Agriculture, Agricultural System and Agricultural Support Network

The Proposed Growth Plan provides greater support for agriculture and the agricultural community by introducing and allowing for agriculture-related and on-farm diversified uses, which is supported. However, HAPP's previous submission noted the need for policies that would support a 'systems' approach for agricultural processes, which was not fully addressed in any of the plans.











The concept of an 'Agricultural Support Network' has been introduced into both the Greenbelt Plan and the Growth Plan. The definition for 'Agricultural Support Network' does not separate economic development supporting goals and land uses throughout rural municipalities. The vague nature of the definition and implied land use implications of this network may create confusion about how the economic, community and social support systems that are part of rural communities and lands may be supported by municipalities.

Furthermore, the definition for 'Agricultural Support Network' suggests that it includes elements such as "regional agricultural infrastructure". Given that "infrastructure" is also a defined term, it is not clear what the intent of "regional agricultural infrastructure" is. It is critical that municipalities understand the implications of this. In addition, the policy direction for municipalities as it relates to the 'Agricultural Support Networks' is unclear, as the language used throughout the Greenbelt Plan is inconsistent (i.e., shall versus encourage).

5. Guidelines, Impact Assessments, Performance Indicators and Identification Criteria

The Greenbelt Plan and the Growth Plan both refer to a number of forthcoming provincial guidelines and systems mapping initiatives (e.g., watershed planning guidelines, agricultural system mapping, natural heritage systems mapping). As well, reference is frequently made to yet undeveloped classification systems (LEAR, Key Natural Heritage Systems, and Agricultural Systems), identification criteria (Natural Heritage Features), and impact assessment requirements (Agricultural Impact Assessments) throughout the plans.

HAPP is supportive of the development of Provincial guidelines and methodologies to support the municipal implementation of Growth Plan policies. HAPP members are looking forward to a full consultation process on the standardized land needs methodology and watershed planning guidelines (particularly as it relates to settlement boundary expansions). Among other considerations, the Province should consider that HAPP recognizes the land budget methodology and guidelines are required as a prerequisite to implementation of the amended Growth Plan. Therefore, HAPP requests that the standardized land needs assessment methodology be prioritized accordingly.

These tools should be developed quickly, and in consultation with municipalities. It is recommended that the new tools reflect and respect existing criteria and processes in place at the municipal level, be harmonized across provincial plans, and continue to permit municipalities with the opportunity to be more restrictive.

Municipalities and other public agencies frequently have sound, detailed data used in the development of their own mapping, which reflects local conditions and have resulted in the development of a comprehensive and refined product. These methodologies and











resulting mapping are locally significant and should be used in the development of potential provincial land use system mapping changes.

Greater clarity is needed with regard to the expectations of municipalities and other public bodies as it relates to developing and reporting on performance indicators. Guidance and support from the Province to undertake this work is critical.

6. Implementation

When contemplating the development of the land needs assessment, consideration must be given to distinguishing between Designated Greenfield Areas and Built-Up Areas. Furthermore, there needs to be methodology to assist in forecasting job growth/redevelopment capacity within existing employment areas. Doing so would recognize that all municipalities within the Greater Golden Horseshoe are at different stages of development and a single greenfield oriented land needs assessment is not appropriate in all cases. HAPP members also recommend that the Growth Plan defer to municipal positions, and / or municipal Official Plans concerning the designation of Prime Employment Areas and Priority Transit Corridors, as well as the mapping of Natural Heritage and Agricultural Systems.

Since the release of the Growth Plan in 2006, Halton has been subject to a number of Provincial projects that conflict with Growth Plan principles. For example, GO Transit built a large parking structure at a key intersection in the Midtown Oakville Urban Growth Centre. Provincial policy and funding formulas for school boards does not mandate or facilitate compact school design and community hubs. These examples underscore that in order to ensure that the Growth Plan is implemented successfully, all Provincial ministries must adhere to Growth Plan policies.

HAPP notes that a greater commitment is needed from all Provincial ministries and agencies in advancing the objectives of the Growth Plan. Capital investments must align with the goals of the Growth Plan. Provincial reviews of Growth Plan supportive infrastructure should be prioritized. Partnerships between municipalities and Provincial agencies need to be fostered to accelerate the development of community facilities.

Finally, the Province should support municipalities' efforts to implement the Growth Plan by sheltering official plan conformity amendments from appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board, expediting the appeal process, or providing funds for municipalities' defense. Significant changes to the built-form in the GGH cannot occur without significant changes to underlying processes.

7. Climate Change and Net-Zero Communities

The introduction of policies addressing climate change and the concept of net-zero communities has been done without accompanying clarification of definitions or explanatory guidance to assist municipalities understanding the implications or











application of these policies. Further information and clear guidance on the goals of these policies and infrastructure changes which will be needed, are required.

Conclusion

HAPP is supportive of the general principles put forward in the Proposed Growth Plan, and appreciates the work that has gone into harmonizing the Growth Plan with the Greenbelt Plan. The success of the Growth Plan's implementation is dependent on long-term stable and predictable funding and funding tools from the Province for transit and infrastructure (particularly in intensification areas). HAPP members anticipate a full consultation on guidelines and methodologies developed by the Province to aid in implementation (particularly the standardized land needs assessment).

Thank you for providing the Region and its Local Municipalities the opportunity to comment on the development of these policy changes.

Respectfully submitted,

Ron Glenn, MCIP, RPP
Director of Planning Services
& Chief Planning Official
Halton Region

Mary Lou Tanner MCIP, RPP
Director of Planning & Building
City of Burlington

John Linhardt, MCIP, RPP
Executive Director of Planning &
Chief Planning Official
Town of Halton Hills

Barb Koopmans MCIP, RPP
Commissioner of Planning &
Development
Town of Milton

Mark Simeoni, MCIP, RPP Director of Planning Services Town of Oakville











c. Andrea Smith Manager of Policy & Research City of Burlington

> Steve Burke Manager, Policy Planning Town of Halton Hills

> Diane Childs Manager, Policy Planning Town of Oakville

Dan Tovey Manager, Policy Planning Halton Region

Bronwyn Parker Senior Policy Planner. Town of Milton











Proposed Growth Plan	HAPP Comments	Recommendations or Improvement
Harmonization and Alignment	Although efforts have been made to harmonize definitions across the Plans and with the PPS, opportunities still exist to better harmonize terminology, definitions and, where appropriate, policies. For example the Growth Plan provides definitions for key hydrologic areas, key hydrologic features, and key natural heritage features but the definitions differ from those found in the Greenbelt Plan. Aligning these elements is integral to balancing the requirements of each plan and achieving consistent implementation throughout the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH) and beyond.	Terminology and definitions should be consistent between the Growth Plan, the Greenbelt Plan, the Niagara Escarpment Plan and the Provincial Policy Statement.
	HAPP members note that efficiencies can be gained by aligning the review of Growth Plan policies with the review of the Schedule 3 population and employment forecasts. Density and intensification targets affect strategies to accommodate population and employment forecasts. Informed discussions on the total amount of people and jobs a given municipality can accommodate cannot take place without considering how the totals will be accommodated – the reverse is also true. Aligning these elements will ensure that municipalities and other stakeholders have access to all relevant information when commenting on proposed changes to the Plans.	Growth Plan policies and the population and employment forecasts found in Schedule 3 must be updated together.
	The Growth Plan should also be harmonized with other Provincial plans, such as the Ministry of Transportation Greater Golden Horseshoe Multi-Modal Transportation Plan and the Metrolinx Regional Transportation Plan. Within these plans, capital investments should be closely tied to policies – if a project has funding, municipalities can be certain that improvements to provincial or federal infrastructure will be made. The Growth Plan cannot be successfully implemented without harmonized plans at the provincial level.	Timing between the Growth Plan, the Big Move and other Provincial plans should be aligned.

Propo Plan	osed Growth	HAPP Comments	Recommendations or Improvement
2. Pro	rovincial Funding	Growth Plan implementation will not happen without stable, predictable, Provincial funding. Given the Growth Plan's proposed intensification target of 60 per cent, the need for funds to incentivize intensification, improve aging infrastructure and invest in transit is critical. Municipalities will also require funds for other components of the Growth Plan, such as community energy plans, agricultural support networks and community hubs. Expecting municipalities to pay for these additional community elements without providing additional revenue through funding or funding tools is unrealistic and will lead to stalled (or non-existent) implementation.	Municipalities require funding to incentivize intensification and build the infrastructure to support it (including transit).
		New funding models and financial tools are required to implement the Growth Plan's vision of "complete communities." The base assumptions for municipal revenue streams should be reviewed and updated so that new, innovative tools can provide sustainable funding for municipalities within the GGH. The proposed Growth Plan will ultimately change the way that communities are planned and built, however without corresponding changes to the ways in which infrastructure, community services and amenities are financed and delivered, municipalities will not be able to successfully implement the policies of the proposed plan. In order to achieve vibrant, compact, pedestrian friendly, complete communities for all people at all stages of life as envisioned in the plan, appropriate Provincial funding is required	Municipalities will not be able to build "complete communities" without changes to the base assumptions used for municipal revenue streams, or new funding tools that guarantee sustainable, long term funding.
the an	ransitioning to le Intensification nd Density argets	HAPP is generally supportive of the increased density and intensification targets in the proposed Growth Plan subject to Provincial support of the following qualifiers and additional comments found in Appendix 1. These include consideration of municipal need for time to transition from the existing targets to the proposed targets. Several land use planning initiatives are underway and will continue as planned while Growth Plan conformity exercises are completed.	

Proposed Growth Plan	HAPP Comments	Recommendations or Improvement
	A significant portion of Halton's growth is directed to its Designated Greenfield Area (DGA). Though HAPP is supportive of excluding Prime Employment Areas from density calculations, there are a number of low density features that should also be excluded, such as all roads and non-linear infrastructure that cannot be built more compactly (like sewage treatment plants). As well, schools and parks are important elements of complete communities that are also difficult to develop more compactly and as a result, should also be excluded from the 80 residents/ha target. The new target should only be measured over residential / mixed use areas (not employment areas).	The density target should exclude all employment areas, lands used for inherently non-compact infrastructure and portions of the DGA planned under a prior policy regime.
	All HAPP members feel strongly that the 80 people and jobs per hectare target should only apply to unplanned and undeveloped areas of the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA). Applying this target to the entire DGA implies that in progress area-specific plans should be revised to meet the new target, and that unplanned areas will have to be planned at very high densities in order to balance out previously planned land. HAPP suggests that the Province develop a new term and definition for the "developed portion" of the DGA applicable at date of adoption of this amendment to the Plan.	The Growth Plan should include a new term and definition for the developed portions of the DGA.
	Though HAPP members generally support the 60 per cent intensification target, it should be phased in commencing at 2031, and be measured over the 2031 to 2041 time period, at the upper-tier level. Measuring the target from 2031 to 2041 will give municipalities time to determine the appropriate locations for intensification and build the infrastructure required to support it. Applying the target at the upper-tier level ensures that intensification is directed to areas in Halton that can adequately support it (such as areas served by transit).	The intensification target should be measured across Halton, from 2031 to 2041.

Pro Pla	oposed Growth an	HAPP Comments	Recommendations or Improvement
4.	Agriculture, Agricultural System and Agricultural Support Network	The Proposed Growth Plan provides greater support for agriculture and the agricultural community by introducing and allowing for agriculture-related and on-farm diversified uses, which is supported. However, HAPP's previous submission noted the need for policies that would support a 'systems' approach for agricultural processes, which was not fully addressed in the any of the plans.	
		The concept of an 'Agricultural Support Network' has been introduced into both the Greenbelt Plan and the Growth Plan. The definition for 'Agricultural Support Network' does not separate economic development supporting goals and land uses throughout rural municipalities. The vague nature of the definition and implied land use implications of this network may create confusion about how the economic, community and social support systems that are part of rural communities and lands may be supported by municipalities.	HAPP members would appreciate more information on how municipalities can bolster the economic, community and social supports in the agricultural community.
		Furthermore, the definition for 'Agricultural Support Network' suggests that it includes elements such as "regional agricultural infrastructure". Given that "infrastructure" is also a defined term, it is not clear what the intent of "regional agricultural infrastructure" is. It is critical that municipalities understand the implications of this. In addition, the policy direction for municipalities as it relates to the 'Agricultural Support Networks' is unclear, as the language used throughout the Greenbelt Plan is inconsistent (i.e., shall versus encourage).	Terms such as "regional agricultural infrastructure" must be defined to provide clarity for municipalities and other stakeholders.
5.	Guidelines, Impact Assessments, Performance Indicators and Identification Criteria	The Greenbelt Plan and the Growth Plan both refer to a number of forthcoming provincial guidelines and systems mapping initiatives (e.g., watershed planning guidelines, agricultural system mapping, natural heritage systems mapping). As well, reference is frequently made to yet undeveloped classification systems (LEAR, Key Natural Heritage Systems, and Agricultural Systems), identification criteria (Natural Heritage Features), and impact assessment requirements (Agricultural Impact Assessments) throughout	HAPP members expect a full consultation process on the materials prepared by the Province to assist in the

Proposed Growth Plan	HAPP Comments	Recommendations or Improvement
	the plans. HAPP is supportive of the development of Provincial guidelines and methodologies to support the municipal implementation of Growth Plan policies. HAPP members are looking forward to a full consultation process on the standardized land needs methodology and watershed planning guidelines (particularly as it relates to settlement boundary expansions). Among other considerations, the Province should consider that HAPP recognizes the land budget methodology and guidelines are required as a prerequisite to implementation of the amended Growth Plan. Therefore, HAPP requests that the standardized land needs assessment methodology be prioritized accordingly. These tools should be developed quickly, and in consultation with municipalities. It is recommended that the new tools reflect and respect existing criteria and processes in place at the municipal level, be harmonized across provincial plans, and continue to permit municipalities with the opportunity to be more restrictive. Municipalities and other public agencies frequently have sound, detailed data used in the development of their own mapping, which reflects local conditions and have resulted in the development of a comprehensive and refined product. These methodologies and resulting mapping are locally significant and should be used in the development of potential provincial land use system mapping changes. Greater clarity is needed with regard to the expectations of municipalities and other public bodies as it relates to developing and reporting on performance indicators. Guidance and support from the Province to undertake this work is critical.	implementation of the Growth Plan. The Growth Plan should defer to local, detailed, mapping and data where it exists.
6. Implementation	When contemplating the development of the land needs assessment, consideration must be given to distinguishing between Designated Greenfield Areas and Built-Up Areas.	The land needs assessment must consider municipal positions and / or Official Plans and recognize that Greater Golden Horseshoe municipalities are at different stages in

Proposed Growth Plan	HAPP Comments	Recommendations or Improvement
	Furthermore, there needs to be methodology to assist in forecasting job growth/redevelopment capacity within existing employment areas. Doing so would recognize that all municipalities within the Greater Golden Horseshoe are at different stages of development and a single greenfield oriented land needs assessment is not appropriate in all cases. HAPP members also recommend that the Growth Plan defer to municipal positions, and / or municipal Official Plans concerning the designation of Prime Employment Areas and Priority Transit Corridors, as well as the mapping of Natural Heritage and Agricultural Systems.	their development.
	Since the release of the Growth Plan in 2006, Halton has been subject to a number of Provincial projects that conflict with Growth Plan principles. For example, GO Transit built a large parking structure at a key intersection in the Midtown Oakville Urban Growth Centre. Provincial policy and funding formulas for school boards does not mandate or facilitate compact school design and community hubs. These examples underscore that in order to ensure that the Growth Plan is implemented successfully, all Provincial ministries must adhere to Growth Plan policies.	Provincial ministries must conform with the Growth Plan in order to implement it.
	HAPP notes that a greater commitment is needed from all Provincial ministries and agencies in advancing the objectives of the Growth Plan. Capital investments must align with the goals of the Growth Plan. Provincial reviews of Growth Plan supportive infrastructure should be prioritized. Partnerships between municipalities and Provincial agencies need to be fostered to accelerate the development of community facilities.	All Provincial ministries must support the Growth Plan through capital investment, timely reviews of plans and collaboration.
	Finally, the Province should support municipalities' efforts to implement the Growth Plan by sheltering official plan conformity amendments from appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board, expediting the appeal process, or providing funds for municipalities' defense. Significant changes to the built-form in the GGH cannot occur without significant	Municipalities should not be forced to bear the fiscal burden of defending Growth Plan conformity amendments to Official Plans at Ontario Municipal Board hearings.

Proposed Growth Plan	HAPP Comments	Recommendations or Improvement
	changes to underlying processes.	
7. Climate Change and Net-Zero Communities	The introduction of policies addressing climate change and the concept of net-zero communities has been done without accompanying clarification of definitions or explanatory guidance to assist municipalities understanding the implications or application of these policies. Further information and clear guidance on the goals of these policies and infrastructure changes which will be needed, are required.	Municipalities need further guidance on implementing policies related to climate change net-zero communities.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments		
2.2 Policies for Where and	2.2 Policies for Where and How to Grow			
2.2.1 Managing Growth				
	3. Applying the policies of this Plan will support the			
	achievement of complete communities that:			
	a) feature a diverse mix of land uses, including residentia			
	and employment uses, and convenient access to local			
	stores, services and public service facilities;			
	b) provide for a diverse range and mix of housing,	Higher density housing forms will be required to meet the DGA		
	including secondary suites and affordable housing, to	density targets. This will negatively affect the affordability of		
	accommodate people at all stages of life, and to	single detached homes.		
	accommodate the needs of all household sizes and			
	incomes;			
	c) integrate and sustain the viability of transit services,			
	where such services are planned or available;			
	d) support overall quality of life, including human health,			
	for people of all ages and abilities through the			
	planning for and provision of:			
	i. a range of transportation options, including options			
	for the safe, comfortable and convenient use of <i>active</i> transportation;			
	ii. a <i>compact built form</i> that reduces dependence on the			
	automobile;			
	iii. <i>public service facilities</i> , co-located and integrated in			
	community hubs, that are accessible by <i>active</i>			
	transportation and transit;			
	iv. convenient access to local, healthy and affordable			
	food options, including through urban agriculture; and			
	v. a supply of parks, trails and other recreation facilities	Currently, parks are included in DGA density calculations. It is		
	needed to support planned population and	requested that these areas be excluded form density		
	employment growth in a timely manner, particularly	calculations to facilitate implementation of policy direction.		
	as built-up areas are intensified,			

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	 Upper- and single-tier municipalities will each develop an integrated approach to planning and managing growth to the horizon of this Plan, which will be implemented through a municipal comprehensive 	It is recommended that this policy be modified to ensure that an MCR within existing settlement areas should continue to apply to all municipalities (lower tier).
2.2.2 Built up Areas	review and other supporting documents and will:	
2.2.2 Built-up Areas	3. All upper- and single-tier municipalities will, at the time of their next <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> , increase their minimum intensification target such that a minimum of 60 per cent of all residential development occurring annually within each upperand single-tier municipality will be within the <i>built-up area</i> .	Measuring the intensification target annually is inappropriate given the time lag between development approvals and occupancy. This policy should direct municipalities to achieve the intensification target from 2031 to 2041, with detailed implementation policies specified in Official Plans. Alternatively, the Province could provide transition policies to address the change in intensification targets.
2.2.3 Urban Growth Centres	 Urban growth centres will be planned: a) as focal areas for investment in regional public service facilities, as well as commercial, recreational, cultural and entertainment uses; 	2
	 b) to accommodate and support the transit network at the regional scale and provide connection points for inter- and intra-regional transit; 	Clarification is required on how this transit network will be established and how coordination will occur as it requires alignment between Provincial, Regional, and local services
	 c) to serve as high-density major employment centres that will attract provincially, nationally or internationally significant employment uses; and 	providers.
	 d) to accommodate significant population and employment growth. 	
2.2.4 Transit Corridors and Station Areas	Priority transit corridors will be delineated in official plans.	These corridors are multi-jurisdictional, and inclusion in Official Plans will require direction from the province to clarify who is responsible to identify and protect these areas.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	3. Upper- and single-tier municipalities, in consultation with lower-tier municipalities, will determine the size and shape of <i>major transit station areas</i> and delineate their boundaries in official plans.	This process should be led by lower-tier municipalities (not upper- and single tier municipalities).
	 4. Major transit station areas will be planned and designed to be transit-supportive and to achieve multimodal access to stations and connections to nearby trip generators by providing, where appropriate: a) connections to local and regional transit services to 	
	support transit service integration; b) infrastructure to support active transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle lanes and secure bicycle parking; and	It is requested that multi-purpose trails be included in this definition.
	c) commuter pick-up/drop-off areas.	
	 5. Major transit station areas will be planned to achieve, by 2041 or earlier, a minimum gross density target of: a) 200 residents and jobs combined per hectare for those that are served by subways; b) 160 residents and jobs combined per hectare for those that are served by light rail transit or bus rapid transit; or c) 150 residents and jobs combined per hectare for those that are served by express rail service on the GO Transit network. 	It is requested that land used for transit stations and associated parking be considered to be excluded from this density calculation.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	 The Province may identify additional priority transit corridors or mobility hubs and planning requirements for priority transit corridors or mobility hubs, to support the optimization of transit investments across the GGH, which may specify: the timeframes for implementation of the planning requirements; the boundaries of the planning area that will be subject to the planning requirements; and any additional requirements that may apply in relation to these areas. 	The Province should identify additional priority transit corridors in consultation with municipalities.
2.2.5 Employment	4) The Minister may identify other <i>prime employment areas</i> .	The Minister should take heed of local Council positions and land use plans when identifying prime employment areas. This process should be fully transparent and consultative. More clarity is requested on the need and purpose of prime employment areas based on land needs assessment. The list of permitted uses appears to be limited to low density employment uses, such as logistics and warehousing, and could preclude the evolution of such areas over time to other higher employment generating uses without undertaking significant additional study.
2.2.7 Designated Greenf		
	2. The designated greenfield area of each upper- or single-tier municipality will be planned to achieve a minimum density target that is not less than 80 residents and jobs combined per hectare within the horizon of this Plan.	

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	3. The minimum density target will be measured over the	This target should exclude all employment lands, lands used for
	entire designated greenfield area of each upper- or single-	infrastructure and portions of the DGA planned though a prior
	tier municipality, excluding the following:	policy regime.
	a) natural heritage features and areas, natural heritage	
	systems and floodplains, provided development is	A new term and definition should be created to refer to
	prohibited in these areas;	developed DGA lands.
	b)rights-of-way for:	
	i. electricity transmission lines;	
	ii. energy transmission pipelines;	
	iii. freeways, as defined by and mapped as part of the	
	Ontario Road Network; and	
	iv. railways; and	
	c) prime employment areas that have been designated in	
	official plans in accordance with policy 2.2.5.5.	
2.2.8 Settlement Area Bou	ndary Expansions	
	2. Where the need for a settlement area boundary expansion	Requirements b) through g), and i) are typically completed at
	has been justified in accordance with policy 2.2.8.1, the	the Secondary or Area-Specific Plan stage. As written, this
	municipal comprehensive review will determine the	policy implies that the entire "whitebelt" of a municipality must
	feasibility of a settlement area boundary expansion and	be studied prior to determining where the settlement area
	identify the most appropriate location based on the	expansion will go.
	following:	
	a) there are existing or planned infrastructure and public	Clarification on the scale of these studies at the settlement
	services facilities to support proposed growth and the	expansion stage is requested. Some of these concepts are
	development of complete communities;	vague, or are used to describe a specific process used by lower
	b) the <i>infrastructure</i> and <i>public service facilities</i> needed	tiers of government.
	would be financially viable over the full life cycle of these	
	assets, based on mechanisms such as asset management	The use of vague language such as "where possible" when
	planning and revenue generation analyses;	referring to the protection of Natural Heritage and Agricultural
	c) the proposed expansion aligns with a water and	Systems implies that settlement areas trump these systems.
	wastewater master plan or equivalent that has been	These elements should be balanced.
	completed in accordance with the policies in subsection	
	3.2.6;	
	d) the proposed expansion aligns with a stormwater master	
	plan or equivalent that has been completed in	

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
Numeric Reference	accordance with the policies in subsection 3.2.7; e) a subwatershed plan or equivalent has demonstrated that the proposed expansion, including the associated servicing, would not negatively impact the water resource system, including the quality and quantity of water; f) key hydrologic areas and natural heritage systems should be avoided where possible; g) for settlement areas that receive their water from or discharge their sewage to inland lakes, rivers or groundwater, a completed environmental assessment for new or expanded services has identified how expanded water and wastewater treatment capacity would be addressed in a manner that is fiscally and environmentally sustainable; h) prime agricultural areas should be avoided where possible. Where prime agricultural areas cannot be avoided, an agricultural impact assessment will be used in determining the location of the expansion based on minimizing and mitigating the impact on the agricultural system and evaluating alternative locations across the upper-or single-tier municipality in accordance with the	Comments
	following: i. the lands do not comprise <i>specialty crop areas</i> ;	
	ii. there are no reasonable alternatives that avoid <i>prime</i> agricultural areas; and	
	 iii. there are no reasonable alternatives on lower priority agricultural lands in prime agricultural areas; i) the settlement area to be expanded is in compliance with the minimum distance separation formulae; 	
	 j) any impacts on agricultural operations and on the agricultural support network from expanding settlement areas would be avoided or, if avoidance is not possible, 	

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	minimized and to the extent feasible mitigated as determined through an agricultural impact assessment;	
	 k) the policies of Sections 2 (Wise Use and Management of Resources) and 3 (Protecting Public Health and Safety) of the PPS are applied; 	
	 I) the proposed expansion would meet any applicable requirements of the Greenbelt, Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation, Niagara Escarpment and Lake Simcoe Protection Plans and any applicable source protection plan; and 	
	m) within the Protected Countryside in the <i>Greenbelt</i> Area:	
	 i. the settlement area to be expanded is identified in the Greenbelt Plan as a Town/Village; 	
	ii. the proposed expansion would be modest in size;	
	iii. the proposed expansion would be serviced by municipal water and wastewater systems; and	
	iv. expansion into the Natural Heritage System that has been identified in the Greenbelt Plan is prohibited.	

Numeric Reference	Policy Text Comments				
3 Infrastructure to Suppor	3 Infrastructure to Support Growth				
3.2 Policies for Infrastructu	3.2 Policies for Infrastructure to Support Growth				
3.2.1 Integrated Planning	5. The Province will work with public sector partners, including Metrolinx, to identify strategic <i>infrastructure</i> needs to support the implementation of this Plan through multi-year <i>infrastructure</i> planning for the <i>transportation system</i> and <i>public service facilities</i> .	The province must take the lead and demonstrate its commitment to the Growth Plan itself by focusing its investment in public service facilities in a manner consistent with this Plan. This section should state that the Province will prioritize and			
		expedite reviews of Environmental Assessments for Growth Plan required infrastructure.			
3.2.6 Water and Wastewa	ı ter Systems	Train required infrustructure.			
	3. For settlement areas that are serviced by rivers, inland lakes or groundwater, municipalities will not be permitted to extend water or wastewater services from a Great Lakes source unless: a) the extension is required for reasons of public health and safety, in which case, the capacity of the water or wastewater services provided in these circumstances will be limited to that required to service the affected settlement area, including capacity for planned development within the approved settlement area boundary; b) in the case of an upper- or single-tier municipality with an urban growth centre outside of the Greenbelt Area, the need for the extension has been demonstrated and the extension: i. will service only the growth allocated to the settlement area with the urban growth centre; and ii. has been approved under an environmental assessment; or c) the extension had all necessary approvals as of	It is requested that the Province provide clarity on the intent of this policy. Guidance on how settlement areas can transition between groundwater use (more rural development) to lake based water use (more urban development) is requested.			

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	[placeholder for effective date] and is only to service	
	growth within a settlement area boundary that was	
	approved and in effect as of that date.	
3.2.7 Stormwater	1. Municipalities will develop stormwater master plans or	
Management	equivalent for serviced settlement areas that:	
	a) are informed by watershed planning;	
	b) examine the cumulative environmental impacts of	Provincial direction on assessing the effects of extreme
	stormwater from existing and planned development,	weather events is required to support municipalities.
	including an assessment of how extreme weather events	
	will exacerbate these impacts;	
	c) incorporate appropriate low impact development and	
	green infrastructure;	
	d) identify the need for stormwater retrofits, where	
	appropriate;	
	e) identify the full life cycle costs of the stormwater	
	infrastructure, including maintenance costs, and develop	
	options to pay for these costs over the long-term; and	
	f) include an implementation and maintenance plan.	
	2. Proposals for large-scale <i>development</i> proceeding by way of	It is requested that this policy be revised:
	secondary plans, plans of subdivision and vacant land plans	"will be supported where appropriate" – some soil
	of condominium, and proposals for resort development, will	types/topography are not suitable for LID.
	be supported by a stormwater management plan or	
	equivalent, that:	
	b) uses and integrated approach that includes <i>low impact</i>	
	development and green infrastructure	
3.2.8 Public Service	2. Public service facilities and public services should be co-	It is requested that school boards and other public service
Facilities	located in community hubs and integrated to promote cost-	providers be brought into the process of identifying and
	effectiveness.	working to develop community hubs, with the province, to
		bring these initiatives into compliance with the land use
		densities and directions of this plan.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments			
4 Protecting What is Valua	4 Protecting What is Valuable				
4.2 Policies for Protecting	4.2 Policies for Protecting What is Valuable				
4.2.1 Water Resource Systems	3. Decisions on allocation of growth and planning for water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure will be informed by watershed planning. Decisions on settlement area boundary expansions and secondary plans for designated greenfield areas will be informed by a subwatershed plan or equivalent.	Watershed planning is large scale and multi-jurisdictional. This policy appears to imply that watershed plans well be needed to allocate growth. The level of detail typically gleaned from a watershed plan is not consistent with what would be needed to inform a boundary expansion. Clarification regarding the timing, agency responsible and intended implementation of this policy be provided to ensure that growth allocations may be initiated prior to completion of			
		full watershed plans.			
4.2.2 Natural Heritage Systems	A comprehensive, integrated and long-term approach will be implemented to maintain, restore or enhance the diversity and connectivity of natural heritage features and areas in a given area, and their long-term ecological functions.	It is requested that the entirety of the Natural Heritage Systems policies (4.2.2) be made more consistent with those in the Greenbelt Plan.			
	2. Official plans will incorporate a <i>natural heritage system</i> as mapped by the Province, and will apply appropriate designations and policies to maintain, restore or improve the diversity and connectivity of the system and the long-term ecological or <i>hydrologic functions</i> of the features and areas as set out in the policies in this subsection and the policies in subsections 4.2.3 and 4.2.4.	It is requested that where a municipality has a natural heritage system in place, that natural heritage system should be referenced instead of the Provincial version.			
	3. In implementing policy 4.2.2.2, a municipality may refine the boundaries of the <i>natural heritage system</i> in a manner that is consistent with this Plan as well as the upper-tier official plan, where applicable.	It is requested that this policy be replaced with the following: "Where an upper tier municipality has already mapped a natural heritage system in their Official Plan and has existing protection and enhancement policies in force as of [placeholder for the date this plan comes into effect], the Official Plan policies and mapping should be deemed to conform to the NHS as mapped by the Province."			

Numeric Reference	Policy Te	ext	Comments	
	4. a) b) i.	Within the natural heritage system identified in accordance with policy 4.2.2.2: the full range of existing and new agricultural uses, agriculture-related uses, on-farm diversified uses and normal farm practices are permitted, subject to policy 4.2.2.4 c); a proposal for development or site alteration will demonstrate that: there will be no negative impacts on key hydrologic features or key natural heritage features and their functions; connectivity for the movement of plants and animals along the natural heritage system, and between key natural heritage features and key hydrologic features located within 240 metres of each other will be	The addition of the distance of 240m or less separation between features is intended to provide clarity to this policy. However, it is requested that the source or justification of the distance chosen be provided either in this plan or in a guidelines document. Clarification is requested on whether there are intended to be limits to the number or extent of features to be connected as a result of this policy (e.g., certain number of metres away from core features). Some level of flexibility must be applied to development that occurs within the 240 metre connectivity area. There will be many cases where existing development (e.g. farm clusters, roads and other infrastructure) exist within the 240 metre area. Achieving connectivity in these areas may not be possible, and	
4.2.3 Key Hydrologic Features, Key Hydrologic Areas and Key Natural Heritage Features	1.	maintained and, where possible, enhanced; Development or site alteration is not permitted in key hydrologic features or key natural heritage features, with the exception of: forest, fish and wildlife management;	it would be more appropriate to direct new development to the areas that are already disturbed (e.g. new agricultural buildings or additions within an existing farm cluster). The similar policy in the Greenbelt Plan is found in 3.2.2 Natural Heritage System Policies, and it is requested in the GBP that the policies include Key Hydrological features/areas as is done in the Growth Plan.	
, and the second	b)	conservation and flood or erosion control projects, but only if the projects have been demonstrated to be necessary, and after all alternatives have been considered;	It is requested that the Growth Plan and the Greenbelt Plan be harmonized.	
		activities that create or maintain <i>infrastructure</i> authorized under an environmental assessment process;		
	-	mineral aggregate operations and wayside pits and quarries;		
	-	existing uses as of [placeholder for effective date], subject to the following criteria:		

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
Numeric reference	i. expansions to existing buildings and structures, accessory structures and uses, and conversions of legally existing uses which bring the use more into conformity with this Plan are permitted subject to a demonstration that the use does not expand into the key hydrologic feature or key natural heritage feature or its associated vegetation protection zone, unless there is no other alternative in which case any expansion shall be limited in scope and kept within close geographical proximity to the existing structure; and ii. expansions to existing buildings and structures for agricultural uses, agriculture-related uses, on-farm diversified uses and residential dwellings may be considered within key hydrologic features or key natural heritage features and their associated vegetation protection zones if it is demonstrated that there is no alternative, and the expansion in the feature is minimized and mitigated and, in the vegetation protection zone, is directed away from the feature to the maximum extent possible; and f) small scale structures for recreational uses, including boardwalks, footbridges, fences, docks and picnic facilities, if measures are taken to minimize negative	
	impacts. 2. Within a key hydrologic area, large-scale development proceeding by way of secondary plans, plans of subdivision and vacant land plans of condominium, and resort development may be permitted where it is demonstrated that hydrologic functions will be protected and that the development will maintain, improve, or restore the quality and quantity of water, such that: a) in relation to significant groundwater recharge areas,	It is recommended that is policy be harmonized or made more consistent with the similar policy in the Greenbelt Plan 3.2.4 and 3.2.5.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	pre-development infiltration on the site will be	
	maintained, improved, or restored;	
	b) in relation to <i>highly vulnerable aquifers</i> , the quality of	
	water infiltrating the site will be maintained; and	
	c) in relation to significant surface water contribution	
	areas, the quality and quantity of water, including	
	baseflow, will be protected.	
	4. Policy 4.2.3.1 does not apply to key natural heritage	It is recommended that this sub-policy should be moved to the
	features that are not in the natural heritage system	beginning of the policy to enhance clarity about the intended
	identified in accordance with policy 4.2.2.2, but policy	application of the policies.
	2.1 of the PPS, 2014 will continue to apply.	
4.2.4 Lands Adjacent to	1. A proposal for development or site alteration within	Clarification is requested regarding the intention of requiring
Key Hydrologic Features	120 metres of a key natural heritage feature or key	inclusion of a 30m VPZ which is not also extended to all Key
and Key Natural Heritage	hydrologic feature will require a natural heritage	Natural Heritage and Key Hydrological Features.
Features	evaluation or hydrologic evaluation that identifies a	
	vegetation protection zone. The vegetation	
	protection zone for key hydrologic features, fish	
	habitat, and significant woodlands will be no less	
	than 30 metres wide. The vegetation protection zone will be established to achieve and be maintained as	
	natural, self-sustaining vegetation. 5. Policies 4.2.4.1, 4.2.4.2, 4.2.4.3, 4.2.4.4 and 4.2.4.5 do	It is recommended that this sub-policy should be moved to the
	not apply, but policies 2.1 and 2.2 of the PPS, 2014	beginning of the policy to enhance clarity about the intended
	will continue to apply, to:	application of the policies.
	a) key hydrologic features that are within a settlement	application of the policies.
	area boundary;	
	b) key natural heritage features that are within a	
	settlement area boundary;	
	c) key natural heritage features that are outside a	
	settlement area boundary but are not in the natural	
	heritage system identified in accordance with policy	
	4.2.2.2.	
4.2.6 Agricultural System	1. The Province will identify the agricultural system for	In municipalities where agricultural systems have been

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	the <i>GGH</i> .	identified and mapped, these more detailed and locally scaled systems should be referenced by the province.
	 The geographic continuity of the agricultural land base and the functional and economic connections to the agricultural support network will be maintained and enhanced. 	This policy is not consistent with the policy below (4.2.6.6) where the language related to "maintain and enhance" the agricultural support network is not as strong ("encourage" is used instead of "will").
		It is requested that the language be changed to encourage for consistency and to reflect lack of available tools to guarantee maintenance of an agricultural support network under the <i>Planning Act</i> .
	 6. Municipalities are encouraged to implement strategies and other approaches to sustain and enhance the agricultural system and the long-term economic prosperity and viability of the agri-food sector, including the maintenance and improvement of the agricultural support network by: a) providing opportunities to support local food, urban and near-urban agriculture, and promoting the sustainability of agricultural, agri-food and agri- 	No specific definition is provided in either this plan or the Greenbelt Plan for Agriculture-supportive infrastructure, and the definition for infrastructure does not support the protection of agriculture as is intended in both plans. A specific definition for agriculture-supportive infrastructure is requested.
	product businesses through protecting agricultural resources and minimizing land use conflicts; b) considering the agricultural support network in planning decisions to protect or enhance critical agricultural assets. Where negative impacts on the	
	 agricultural system are unavoidable, they will be assessed and mitigated to the extent feasible; c) undertaking long-term planning for agriculture, integrating agricultural economic development, infrastructure, goods movement and freight considerations with land use planning; 	
	 d) preparing regional agri-food strategies or establishing or consulting with agricultural advisory committees or liaison officers; and 	

Numeric Reference	Policy Text C		Comments
		improving and providing opportunities re-supportive infrastructure both on and	
4.2.7 Cultural Heritage Resources	accordance v	tage resources will be conserved in with the policies in the PPS, to foster a see and benefit communities, particularly in with areas.	There is a similar policy in the Greenbelt Plan that quotes the PPS policy (instead of referencing it). It is requested that PPS policy references are made consistently in both plans.
4.2.8 Mineral Aggregate Ro	sources		
	4.2.3 and 4.2 identified in a aggregate op	ding the policies of subsections 4.2.2, 2.4, within the <i>natural heritage system</i> accordance with policy 4.2.2.2, <i>mineral perations</i> and wayside pits and quarries o the following:	
	pit and quarr thereto will be heritage feat i. significant we ii. habitat of en and iii. significant we by young pla	eral aggregate operation and no wayside ry, or any ancillary or accessory use be permitted in the following key natural tures and key hydrologic features: retlands; adangered species and threatened species; roodlands unless the woodland is occupied antation or early successional habitat, as the Province, in which case, the application	
	must demons 4.2.8.6 c) have met by the open of the open of the open of the application or new ways in key natura features not a protection zo the application	strate that policies 4.2.8.5 b) and c) and we been addressed and that they will be operation; on for a new mineral aggregate operation ide pit and quarry may only be permitted at heritage features and key hydrologic identified in 4.2.8.3 a) and any vegetation one associated with such features where on demonstrates:	

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	 ii. that policies 4.2.8.5 b) and c) and 4.2.8.6 c) have been addressed, and that they will be met by the operation; and c) any application for a new mineral aggregate operation will be required to demonstrate: i. how the connectivity between key hydrologic features and key natural heritage features will be maintained before, during and after the extraction of mineral aggregate resources; 	
	 ii. how the operator could immediately replace any habitat that would be lost from the site with equivalent habitat on another part of the site or on adjacent lands; and iii. how the water resource system will be protected or enhanced; 	4.2.8.3 c) ii While this requirement is generally supported, further clarity on exactly what is meant by this clause and how it can be demonstrated in an application should be provided.
	 In prime agricultural areas, applications for new mineral aggregate operations will be supported by an agricultural impact assessment and, where possible, will seek to maintain or improve connectivity of the agricultural system. 	It is requested that the province provide guidelines that describe how a mineral aggregate operation can maintain or improve the connectivity of the agricultural system.
4.2.9 A Culture of Conserva	ation	
	3) Municipalities and industry will use best practices for the management of excess soil and fill generated during any development or site alteration, including infrastructure development, so as to ensure that: a) any excess soil or fill is reused on-site or locally to the maximum extent possible; and	It is requested that the site alteration best practices referenced in this policy be developed by the province for consistency. If a municipality has already developed a set of requirements for soil management during site alteration, then existing criteria should be considered and retain the ability to be more
	b) fill received at a site will not cause an adverse effect with regard to the current or proposed use of the property or the natural environment.	stringent than those developed by the province should that be the outcome.
4.2.10 Climate Change	2 to observe and one manufacture and the second sec	The investment of the state of
	In planning to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and address the impacts of climate change, municipalities	It is requested that the province develop metrics and methodologies which will assist in the development of GHG

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	are encouraged to: a) develop strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to improve resilience to climate change through land use planning, planning for infrastructure, including transit and energy, and the conservation objectives in policy 4.2.9.1;	inventories and in the determination of communities as 'net-zero'.
	 b) develop greenhouse gas inventories for transportation, buildings, waste management and municipal operations; and 	
	c) establish municipal interim and long-term greenhouse gas emission reduction targets that support provincial targets and reflect consideration of the goal of <i>net-zero communities</i> , and monitor and report on progress made towards the achievement of these targets.	

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
5 Implementation and Int	erpretation	
5.2.2 Supplementary Direction	 In order to implement this Plan, the Minister will, where appropriate, identify, establish or update the following: 	Provincial guidance is also requested for natural heritage and hydrologic evaluations.
	a) the built boundary;	Updates to the Built Boundary should be made on a predictable, scheduled basis.
	b) the size and location of the <i>urban growth centres</i>;c) a standard methodology for land needs assessment;	The standardized land needs assessment should factor in the
	d) prime employment areas, where necessary; ande) data standards for monitoring implementation of this	range and mix of employment types.
	Plan.	
	In order to implement this Plan, the Province will, where appropriate, identify, establish or update the following:	Municipal participation is essential for identifying, establishing or updating these items.
	 a) priority transit corridors and planning requirements for priority transit corridors; 	Provide clarification on whether priority transit corridors may include local transit corridors.
	b) mapping of the <i>agricultural system</i> for the <i>GGH</i> and related guidance;	It is requested that mapping of the agricultural and natural heritage systems reflect the more detailed and locally relevant
	c) mapping of the <i>natural heritage system</i> for the <i>GGH</i> ; and	mapping undertaken by municipalities, should these maps have already been developed through a local process.
	d) guidance on watershed planning.	
	 Where this Plan indicates that supplementary direction will be provided for implementation but the direction has not yet been issued, all relevant policies of this Plan continue to apply, and any policy that relies on supplementary direction should be 	•
5.2.3 Co-ordination	 implemented to the fullest extent possible. 2. Upper-tier municipalities, in consultation with lower-tier municipalities, will, through a municipal comprehensive review, provide policy direction to 	A consistent methodology is required for the determination of capacity in built-up areas, which acknowledges the challenges of increasing density in built up areas.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	implement the policies of this Plan, including: a) identifying minimum intensification targets for lower tier municipalities based on the capacity of built-up areas, including the applicable minimum density targets for strategic growth areas in this Plan, to	-
	 achieve the minimum intensification target in this Plan; b) identifying minimum density targets for <i>strategic</i> growth areas in accordance with this Plan; 	
	c) identifying minimum density targets for the designated greenfield areas of the lower-tier municipalities, to achieve the minimum density targe for designated greenfield areas in this Plan;	t
	 d) allocating forecasted growth to the horizon of this Plan to the lower-tier municipalities; and 	
	 e) providing policy direction on matters that cross municipal boundaries. 	
5.2.5 Targets	3. A lower-tier municipality with an urban growth centry will have a minimum intensification target that is equal to or higher than the minimum intensification target for the corresponding upper-tier municipality.	Studies are required to determine whether Milton can accommodate the 60 per cent target, though there is support for this target at the Regional level.
5.2.7 Schedules and Appendices	The Minister will review the schedules in this Plan, including the forecasts contained in Schedule 3, at least every five years in consultation with municipalities, and may revise the schedules, where appropriate.	This section is silent on updates to the policies in the Growth Plan. All forecasts, targets, schedules and policies should be updated comprehensively, ideally every ten years.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
7 Definitions		
Active Transportation	Human-powered travel, including but not limited to, walking, cycling, inline skating and travel with the use of mobility aids, including motorized wheelchairs and other power-assisted devices moving at a comparable speed. (PPS, 2014)	It is requested that references to "non-motorized" forms of transportation are removed in other areas of this plan to ensure consistency with this definition.
Agricultural Impact Assessment	A study that evaluates the potential impacts of non-agricultural development on agricultural operations and the <i>agricultural system</i> and recommends ways to avoid or, if avoidance is not possible, minimize and mitigate adverse impacts.	Clarification needs to be provided by the province through guidelines, terms of reference or other criteria to assist in determining impacts on the Agricultural System, which includes the support network in addition to the agricultural land base. If municipalities have existing AIA criteria, these municipalities should be consulted in the development of provincial criteria, and maintain the ability to be more stringent that potential provincial guidance.
Built Heritage Resource	A building, structure, monument, installation or any manufactured remnant that contributes to a property's cultural heritage value or interest as identified by a community, including an Aboriginal community. <i>Built heritage resources</i> are generally located on property that has been designated under Parts IV or V of the Ontario Heritage Act, or included on local, provincial and/or federal registers. (PPS, 2014)	It is recommended that this definition be modified to reference local heritage registers (Sec. 4.2.7.1)
Compact Built Form	A land use pattern that encourages the efficient use of land, walkable neighbourhoods, mixed land uses (residential, retail, workplace and institutional) all within one neighbourhood, proximity to transit and reduced need for <i>infrastructure</i> . Compact built form can include detached and semi-detached houses on small lots as well as townhouses and walk-up apartments, multi-storey commercial developments, and apartments or offices above retail. Walkable neighbourhoods can be characterized by roads laid out in a well-connected network, destinations that are easily accessible by active transportation, sidewalks with minimal interruptions for vehicle	Compact Built Form may reduce infrastructure requirements in the long term. However, intensification for the purposes of increasing the compact form of development may require retrofitting/ upsizing of existing infrastructure to ensure that increased demand is accommodated when higher than initial infrastructure design.

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	access, and a pedestrian friendly environment along roads to encourage active transportation.	
Frequent Transit	A public transit service that runs at least every 15 minutes in both directions throughout the day and into the evening every	It is recommended that this definition be changed to include:
	day of the week.	"service that typically runs at least"
Key Hydrologic Features	Permanent streams, intermittent streams, inland lakes,	It is recommend that a definition for the term 'intermittent
	seepage area and springs and wetlands. The identification and delineation of key hydrologic features will be informed by	stream' be provided as its interpretation could be varied (i.e. does it include 'ephemeral streams'?).
	watershed planning, and other evaluations and assessments.	
		The Evaluation, Classification and Management of Headwater Drainage Feature Guidelines January 2014 provide useful
		definitions for 'intermittent flow' and 'ephemeral flow'.
Major Transit Station	The area including and around any existing or planned <i>higher</i>	There is an inconsistency in this definition with the Mobility
Area	order transit station or stop within a settlement area; or the	Hub Guidelines, which state that it takes only 8 minutes to walk 500m.
	area including and around a major bus depot in an urban core. Major transit station areas generally are defined as the area	Suum.
	within an approximate 500m radius of a transit station,	It is recommended that the words "or stop" be removed from
	representing about a 10-minute walk.	this definition to ensure that only those areas which are
	representing about a 10 minute walk.	identified as major transit station areas are considered for
		application of the intensification target of 150 people/jobs per
		Ha.
Municipal	A new official plan, or an official plan amendment, initiated by	This definition appears to exclude lower-tier municipalities
Comprehensive Review	an upper- or single-tier municipality under section 26 of the	from initiating MCRs.
	Planning Act that comprehensively applies the policies and	
	schedules of this Plan.	It is requested that this be corrected to be inclusive of local
		municipalities.
Natural Heritage System	A system made up of natural heritage features and areas, and	This definition uses significant wetlands and significant ANSIs
	linkages intended to provide connectivity (at the regional or	whereas the definition of Key Natural Heritage Features and
	site level) and support natural processes which are necessary	Key Hydrologic Features does not include <u>significant</u> for these
	to maintain biological and geological diversity, natural	terms.
	functions, viable populations of indigenous species, and	It is recommended that the reference to significant
	ecosystems. These systems can include <i>key natural heritage</i>	It is recommended that the reference to significant
	features, federal and provincial parks and conservation	wetlands/ANSIs is not creating a conflict with the

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	reserves, other natural heritage features and areas, lands that have been restored or have the potential to be restored to a natural state, associated areas that support <i>hydrologic</i> functions, and working landscapes that enable ecological functions to continue. (Based on PPS, 2014 and modified for this Plan)	definitions/policies in this plan which address Key Hydrologic Features and Key Natural Heritage Features.
Sand Barren	Land (not including land that is being used for agricultural purposes or no longer exhibits sand barren characteristics) that:	It is recommended that the specific MNRF evaluation procedures be referenced and used to identify Sand Barrens when the process is more generally referenced in sub-clause d).
	 a) has sparse or patchy vegetation that is dominated by plants that are: 	Additionally, this definition would only capture a subset of the ELC sand barrens which may lead to confusion. A more
	 i. adapted to severe drought and low nutrient levels; and 	thorough and accurate definition should be included in this plan and the Greenbelt Plan.
	 ii. maintained by severe environmental limitations such as drought, low nutrient levels and periodic disturbances such as fire; 	
	 b) has less than 25 per cent tree cover; c) has sandy soils (other than shorelines) exposed by natural erosion, depositional process or both; and d) has been further identified, by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry or by any other person, according to evaluation procedures established by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, as amended from time to time. 	
C 1	(Proposed Greenbelt Plan, 2016)	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Savannah	Land (not including land that is being used for agricultural purposes or no longer exhibits savannah characteristics) that: a) has vegetation with a significant component of non-	It is recommended that the specific MNRF evaluation procedures be referenced and used to identify Savannahs when the process is more generally referenced in sub-clause d).
	woody plants, including tallgrass prairie species that are maintained by seasonal drought, periodic disturbances such as fire, or both;	It is noted that this definition for Savannah is different than the Ecological Land Classification manual (1998) that is MNRFs current 'evaluation procedure' for identifying these features

Numeric Reference	Policy Text	Comments
	 b) has from 25 per cent to 60 per cent tree cover; c) has mineral soils; and d) has been further identified, by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry or by any other person, according to evaluation procedures established by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, as amended from time to time. 	which may lead to confusion. Should this definition be modified to reflect the Ecological Land Classification manual, then the definition in the Greenbelt Plan should be modified to match.
Significant Woodland	(Proposed Greenbelt Plan, 2016) A woodland which is ecologically important in terms of features such as species composition, age of trees and stand history; functionally important due to its contribution to the broader landscape because of its location, size or due to the amount of forest cover in the planning area; or economically important due to site quality, species composition, or past management history. These are to be identified using criteria established by the Province. (Based on PPS, 2014 and modified for this Plan)	At this time, no provincially established criteria for the identification of Significant Woodland has been created, instead guidelines have been developed with municipalities tasked with generating criteria based on the guidelines. Given this, municipal criteria should be recognized in this definition, or provincial criteria should be developed.
Tallgrass Prairies	Land (not including land that is being used for agricultural purposes or no longer exhibits tallgrass prairie characteristics) that: a) has vegetation dominated by non-woody plants, including tallgrass prairie species that are maintained by seasonal drought, periodic disturbances such as fire, or both; b) has less than 25 per cent tree cover; c) has mineral soils; and d) has been further identified, by the Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry or by any other person, according to evaluation procedures established by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, as amended from time to time.	It is recommended that the specific MNRF evaluation procedures be referenced and used to identify Tallgrass Prairies when the process is more generally referenced in sub-clause d).

Policy Text	Comments
(Proposed Greenbelt Plan, 2016)	
A system consisting of facilities, corridors and rights-of-way for	The definition is requested to include reference to multi-use
the movement of people and goods, and associated	paths in addition to sidewalks.
transportation facilities including transit stops and stations,	
sidewalks, cycle lanes, bus lanes, high occupancy vehicle lanes,	
rail facilities, parking facilities, park-and-ride lots, service	
centres, rest stops, vehicle inspection stations, inter-modal	
and associated facilities such as storage and maintenance. (PPS,	
2014)	
	The definition is requested to be revised to:
	"with high population and/or employment densities"
	It is requested that this definition be modified to include the
	final piece of the definition in the Greenbelt Plan:
•	"Wetlands are further identified by the Ministry of Natural
	Resources and Forestry, or by any other person, according to
	valuation procedures established by the Ministry of Natural
bogs and fens.	resources and Forestry, as amended from time to time."
Periodically soaked or wet lands being used for agricultural	If it is a social and to see the consequent to the include of the
	If it is considered to not be appropriate to include this
	additional section of the definition, clarification is requested to
	provide the rationale for the difference.
	(Proposed Greenbelt Plan, 2016) A system consisting of facilities, corridors and rights-of-way for the movement of people and goods, and associated transportation facilities including transit stops and stations, sidewalks, cycle lanes, bus lanes, high occupancy vehicle lanes, rail facilities, parking facilities, park-and-ride lots, service centres, rest stops, vehicle inspection stations, inter-modal facilities, harbours, airports, marine facilities, ferries, canals and associated facilities such as storage and maintenance. (PPS,