

Planning and Development Council Meeting
February 8, 2021

Comments Received Regarding Item 4

Public meeting and recommendation report for a proposed
zoning by-law amendment - Town-initiated for the former Public

Works site, File No. Z.1413.33

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: February 5, 2021 12:21 PM
To: Town Clerk; Tom Adams
Subject: Questions & Comments for Meeting: Notice Meeting Feb 8th

SECURITY CAUTION: This email originated from outside of The Town of Oakville. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Further to my email, I would like the following questions addressed for Item 4. This is slightly late, but due to inadequate notice should be accepted.

1. Notice provision to residents. I have repeatedly come before this Town Council & Tegin regarding the woeful inadequacy of direct notice to impacted residents.

The notice of 120 metres is woefully inadequate to truly engage residents, which the Town allegedly wishes to do but fails to promote.

Evidence of this is the fact all maps related to Item 4 show my street & others which clearly impact us in terms of traffic, air pollution & further pressure on use of our already inadequate rec centres, libraries and other new open green space in this area.

When will council act to amend this?

2. Also, will notice be given to residents or only property owners? There is a rental apartment building north of this property and are those citizens not worthy of consideration in Town Planning? Are renters not entitled to participate in Town or Regional planning matters?

2B. Notice in Beaver inadequate. Most people in this area don't receive it. Notice itself should have easy headline e.g. Trafalgar & Glenashton, not vague references to street addresses that don't exist.

3. If by law states notice to residents which residential addresses were notified on the map? Are these residents or only property owners?

4. Noting this further intensification, what are the concrete plans to provide proper Rec Centres, libraries in this area to support the burgeoning population? What we have in this area was built decades ago, woefully inadequate and nothing built north of Dundas. Pg 5 of the report refers to promoting equality? Where is this for citizens of Ward 6, 7 and 5 when incomes to these amenities?

4. With respect to the re-zoning is the cap of 4 to 6 stories for the whole property, or only this parcel? What guarantee is there that bonussing will not result in another monstrosity of 20plus stories as we have seen at Dundas & Trafalgar?

5. please clarify Appendix D. Page 2 says Townhouses back to back, or stacked for zoning 4 to 6 stories. Page 3 parking references underground and apartments. Which is it, & what exactly is being authorized here?

These are many reasons why guarantees regarding what should be very limited low rise development with plenty of green space should be in place for this area. It is unclear whether this by law amendment provides that.

Wanda Crichton
Rosegate Way , Oakville Ontario

On Feb. 5, 2021 11:42 a.m., [REDACTED] wrote:

I would like Zoom access to this meeting and an opportunity to speak & ask questions. Pls send the zoom link to this email. Although my street is in all the maps related to Item 4 we are not as usual provided with even the courtesy of a direct residential notice.

I wish to speak to notice, & nature of the rezoning and its impacts on existing residents.

Since I only found out about this by chance, unfortunately no time to send anything in writing. May I still forward?

Thank you.

Wanda Crichton

Resident Rosegate Way