

Appendix A – Public Comments

Tom Jachymek

Last week with the rain, water was sitting in the north west part of 181 Burloak. When Metris was allowed to build all these large homes on Burloak with 240 Ft. lots they were allowed to slope towards our lot and 181 Burloak. 181 Burloak got washed out one year and our pool collapsed from the water against it's side. 195 Burloak is the biggest problem and there is only someone living their approximately a week a year. The north west corner of 181 Burloak needs a storm sewer not JUST the other corner. Sloughs are not the answer. Could an engineer please come and see me and the water problem here.

William Bauer

My name is Bill Bauer and my wife Liz and I live at ___ Burloak Dr in Oakville. I would like to raise my objection to the proposal to allow 3 homes to be built fronting on the Burloak side of the existing lot at 181 Burloak Dr and 1 on the Wilmot side. All our existing homes on the street were built with the existing frontages that were in affect then and should still be maintained today. Our current lot sizes help give the sense of space and openness between our homes which is one of the reasons we bought here, and that will no longer exist if 3 homes are jammed on to the proposed 43 foot lots. Living on Burloak has its own issues, so we certainly don't want to take away one of its advantages by cramming 3 houses where there is currently only 1. We understand the lot is currently very wide, and we could see it maybe divided in 2, but 3 is a way out of line with the existing homes.

My wife and I and several of our neighbors will try to attend the meeting on May 9th, but I just wanted to make sure you knew how we feel about the proposed zoning amendment.

Scott Shaw

My name is Scott Shaw. I have been a resident at ___ Burloak Drive since 1994. I would like to take this opportunity to inform you that I am in opposition to the proposed amendment for property of 181 Burloak Drive.

Gwendolyn Mills – Burloak Drive

I am writing this letter with regard to the Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment and Notice of Application for Approval of Plan of Subdivision, 181 Burloak Drive, Sabrina Homes (Burloak Acquisitions) Inc. File No. Z.1735.12 & 24T-17002/1735, Ward 1

I am a resident in the vicinity of 181 Burloak Drive.

I hereby object to the Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment and Subdivision, 181 Burloak Drive.

The increased density will have a negative impact upon the character and my enjoyment of my neighbourhood.

Angus Laing and Susan Powley

My wife and I are the residents and owners of ___ Wilmot Cres, Angus Laing and Susan Powley.

In regards to...

Notice of Complete Application for a Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment and Proposed Plan of Subdivision at 181 Burloak Drive Sabrina Homes (Burloak Acquisitions) Inc.
File No. Z.1735.12 & 24T-17002/1735, Ward 1

We strongly object to the rezoning of this property. We feel that this would have a negative effect on the value of our own property and that of our neighbours.

If this zoning is approved we fear that the property may be split yet again.

Robert and Gabriella Ciccanti - ___ WILMOT CRESCENT

OUR SUBMISSIONS FOR PROPOSED ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT AND
NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF PLAN OF SUBDIVISION
181 BURLOAK DRIVE
SABRINA HOMES (BURLOAK ACQUISITIONS) INC.
FILE NO. Z.1735.12 & 24T-17002/1735, WARD 1

OUR SUBMISSIONS

1. We do not want this property to be rezoned. We want it to be 3 single family dwellings as previously designed.

What is the purpose of rezoning this property?

By changing the requirements of this property and allowing smaller frontages, this will disproportion the line of homes along Burloak Street. This will also devalue many surrounding homes for 1 owner's profits.

"This is very wrong!"

2. When we purchased our property from Shirley Grey it was the intent that the land was to be divided into 5 large building lots. Two homes have been built and have met the requirements for Samuel Curtis Estates. It will be only right that the remainder lots be left at their design size and with the Samuel Curtis Estate requirements.

3. To ensure the water drainage of these properties will work. The existing water drainage that is present does not work. After every rainfall there are always puddles of water on the property.

Thank you.

Avril & Jeff Kriek - _____ Wilmot. Cres.,

We are writing to voice our concern & objection regarding the submission for rezoning for 181 Burloak Drive. & the lot which will face Wilmot Cres.

If we need to prepare & submit formal documentation, please advise & forward the appropriate forms.

1-We want the zoning to remain R3. A change to R5 allows the builder to build very close together.

This would not be in keeping with the existing neighbourhood.

In addition, having the homes so close together presents a greater risk of fire spreading should one home ignite by fire.

2-We want written assurance that only ONE single family home be built on the lot on Wilmot Cres. so that the Samuel Curtis Estates remains uniform & maintains continuity.

3- There are concerns regarding the drainage from the properties on Burloak flooding onto those which are adjacent on Wilmot. With more dwellings, there will be fewer channels for drainage thus increasing a risk of flooding.

4-We want the black walnut tree on the property to remain . The builder indicated that the tree is 'diseased' . This is totally false. We live adjacent to the property & have watched over this tree since it was a sapling.

In addition to the fact that this beautiful tree contributes needed oxygen to the atmosphere, this particular black walnut tree provides a home and food to the local & abundant wildlife in our area for approximately thirty years.

We sincerely hope our concerns & objections will be addressed & respected.