


File: C: _RN_Standards \temp \AcPublish_20732 \23005 - SP100.dwg Plotted: Feb 28, 2025 By: Admin



PLAN 20M-

I CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN IS REGISTER IN THE LAND REGISTRY OFFICE FOR TH LAND TITLES DIVISION OF HALTON (No.
AT O'CLOCK ON THE
DAY OF, 2025 AND ENTER
PARCEL REGISTER(S) FOR PROPERTY ID
ARE REGISTERED AS PLAN DOCUMENT
No
REPRESENTATIVE FOR LAND REGIS
FOR THE LAND TITLES DIVISION OF HALT

THIS PLAN COMPRISES ALL OF P.I.N. 24752-2107. PART OF LOT 1 IS SUBJECT TO AN EASEMENT AS SET OUT IN INST. No. HR16622.

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION OF PART OF BLOCK 220, PLAN 20M-840 TOWN OF OAKVILLE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON SCALE 1:500

10m 5m 0m

R-PE SURVEYING LTD., O.L.S. METRIC

DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048

OWNER'S CERTIFICATE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT:

- 1. LOTS 1 TO 37, BOTH INCLUSIVE, BLOCKS 38, 39 AND 40 AND THE STREET, NAMELY CHARLES BRAY CRESCENT HAVE BEEN LAID OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR INSTRUCTIONS.
- 2. THE STREET IS HEREBY DEDICATED AS A PUBLIC HIGHWAY TO THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF OAKVILLE.

DATED THE $_21^{\text{S}}$ DAY OF $_$ March __, 2025.

MENKES SOUTH LAKE HOMES INC.

		MARK	KA	RAM		
	A	UTHORIZED	SIG	NING	OFFIC	Έł
HAVE	THE	AUTHORITY	ТО	BIND	THE	С

NOTES

	-	
	DENOTES	MONUMENT SET
	DENOTES	MONUMENT FOUND
SSIB	DENOTES	SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR
SIB	DENOTES	STANDARD IRON BAR
IB	DENOTES	IRON BAR
P.I.N.	DENOTES	PROPERTY IDENTIFIER NUMBER
PL1	DENOTES	PLAN 20M-840
PL2	DENOTES	PLAN 20R-17400
S/T	DENOTES	SUBJECT TO AN EASEMENT FOR ENTRY AS SET OUT IN INST. No.
ORP	DENOTES	OBSERVED REFERENCE POINT
CALC	DENOTES	CALCULATED FROM PL1 AND PL2
(WIT)	DENOTES	WITNESS
(MTE)	DENOTES	MTE ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS LTD., O.L.S.
(NI)	DENOTES	NOT IDENTIFIED
BF	DENOTES	BOARD FENCE
CLF	DENOTES	CHAIN LINK FENCE

ALL SET MONUMENTS ARE PLASTIC BARS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.


INTEGRATION NOTE


BEARINGS ARE UTM GRID, DERIVED FROM OBSERVED REFERENCE POINTS (A) AND (B) USING CANNET REAL TIME NETWORK (RTN) OBSERVATIONS, UTM ZONE 17, NAD83 (CSRS: CBNV6: 2010.0).


COORDINATES ARE UTM ZONE 17, NAD83 (CSRS: CBNV6: 2010.0), TO URBAN ACCURACY PER SEC. 14 (2) OF O.REG. 216/10, AND CANNOT, IN THEMSELVES, BE USED TO RE-ESTABLISH CORNERS OR BOUNDARIES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

POINT	NORTHING	EASTING
ORP (A)	4804167.86	603293.88
ORP (B)	4804271.28	603395.71
20120110009	4801633.53	597944.45

DISTANCES ARE GROUND AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO GRID BY MULTIPLYING BY THE COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999723.








GROSS GLAZING AREA-ELEV A

TOTAL PERIPHERAL WALL AREA	4597.11 sf	427.09 m ²
FRONT GLAZING AREA	220.00 sf	20.44 m²
LEFT SIDE GLAZING AREA	44.00 sf	4.09 m ²
RIGHT SIDE GLAZING AREA	120.17 sf	11.16 m²
REAR GLAZING AREA	174.08 sf	16.17 m²
TOTAL GLAZING AREA	558.25 SF	51.86 m²
TOTAL GLAZING PERCENTAGE	12.14 %	

GROSS GLAZING AREA-ELEV A OPT FIREPLACE PLAN

TOTAL PERIPHERAL WALL AREA	4597.11 sf	427.09 m²	
FRONT GLAZING AREA	220.00 SF	20.44 m²	
LEFT SIDE GLAZING AREA	44.00 sf	4.09 m²	
RIGHT SIDE GLAZING AREA	120.17 SF	11.16 m²	
REAR GLAZING AREA	180.75 sf	16.79 m²	
TOTAL GLAZING AREA	564.92 SF	52.48 m ²	
TOTAL GLAZING PERCENTAGE	12.29 %		





GROSS GLAZING AREA-ELEV A ALT KITCHEN MAIN FLOOR

TOTAL PERIPHERAL WALL AREA	4597.11 sf	427.09 m ²
FRONT GLAZING AREA	188.00 sf	17.47 m²
LEFT SIDE GLAZING AREA	44.00 sf	4.09 m²
RIGHT SIDE GLAZING AREA	102.83 sf	9.55 m²
REAR GLAZING AREA	160.08 sf	14.87 m ²
TOTAL GLAZING AREA	494.92 SF	45.98 m²
TOTAL GLAZING PERCENTAGE	10.77 %	

GROSS GLAZING AREA-ELEV A ALT KITCHEN + OPT FIREPLACE PLAN

TOTAL PERIPHERAL WALL AREA	4597.11 sf	427.09 m²
FRONT GLAZING AREA	188.00 sf	17.47 m²
LEFT SIDE GLAZING AREA	44.00 sf	4.09 m²
RIGHT SIDE GLAZING AREA	102.83 sf	9.55 m²
REAR GLAZING AREA	166.75 sf	15.49 m ²
TOTAL GLAZING AREA	501.58 sf	46.60 m²
TOTAL GLAZING PERCENTAGE	10.91 %	

DESIGN

WWW.RNDESIGN.COM Tel: 905-738-3177 WWW.THEPLUSGROUP.CA

I, ERIC SCHNEIDER DECLARE THAT I HAVE REVIEWED AND TAKEN DESIGN RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE DESIGN WORK ON BEHALF OF RN DESIGN ITD , UNDER DIVISION C., PART-3 SUBSECTION-3.2.4 OF THE BUILDING CODE. I AM QUALIFIED AND THE FIRM IS REGISTERED IN THE APPROPRIATE CLASSES / CATEGORIES. QUALIFIED DESIGNER BCIN: 30840 FIRM BCIN: 26995 DATE: 26995 DATE: NOV 29 2024	
SIGNATURE:	



#	revisions	date	dwn	chk
1	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	17-MAY-24	СМ	ES
7	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	29-Nov-24	ES	ES
client	Menke Developm	-		
projec	ł			
	SouthIal	\sim		

Southlake Oakville, ON

mode

project #

scale

page

42-02

The Marina

23005

3/16" = 1'-0"

A10



Partners: Glen Broll, MCIP, RPP Colin Chung, MCIP, RPP Jim Levac, MCIP, RPP Jason Afonso, MCIP, RPP Karen Bennett, MCIP, RPP

In Memoriam, Founding Partner: Glen Schnarr

GSAI File: 1072-002

April 17, 2025

Town of Oakville Planning Services 1225 Trafalgar Road Oakville, Ontario L6H 0H3

Attention:	Ms. Jennifer Ulcar Secretary Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment
Re:	Minor Variance Application – <i>Multiple Addresses</i> 146-226 Charles Bray Crescent
	Formerly: 193 Nautical Blvd.
	Menkes Developments Ltd.
	Town of Oakville, Region of Halton

Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc. (GSAI) are the authorized agents for Menkes Developments Ltd., owner of the site municipality addressed as 146-226 Charles Bray Crescent. Prior to the recent registration of the Plan of Subdivision, the site was addressed as 193 Nautical Boulevard. This address was associated with the Draft Plan of Subdivision Application to create the lots and processed under Town File: 24T-22003/1733. Registration of the plan of subdivision formally created 37 lots to host single detached dwellings and formally assigned the addresses outlined above. A total of 6 of the 37 lots require a Minor Variance Application to address the same zoning deficiency.

For brevity, we are submitting a total of 6 minor variance applications under this single, joint Cover Letter in consideration of the fact that the minor variance request for the 6 lots is the same for each lot. We recognize that each lot will require its own separate and distinct Minor Variance Application form and will be assigned separate Town file numbers. We trust, however, that these applications can be heard together at the same Committee meeting based on the nature of the Minor Variance requests. The Minor Variance request is described below under the "Required Variances" section of this Cover Letter.

The lots subject to this Minor Variance request are addressed as follows:

- 158 Charles Bray Crescent;
- 166 Charles Bray Crescent;
- 170 Charles Bray Crescent;
- 174 Charles Bray Crescent;
- 194 Charles Bray Crescent; and
- 198 Charles Bray Crescent.



Each municipal address has its own, respective application form to accompany the variance request, all of which are submitted under this Cover Letter.

The in-effect Zoning for these 6 lots is Residential Low 6 Special Section 296 (RL6-296). The Special Section is limited to additional permissions for a public school and does not prescribe any amended regulations for buildings or structures beyond those outlined in the RL6 zone. To confirm for the Committee, the in-effect zoning was not amended through a Zoning By-law Amendment in conjunction with the Draft Plan of Subdivision application. This proposal is exempt from Site Plan Approval and a Control Architect was retained by our Client to prepare the drawings to be submitted for Building Permit issuance.

Required Variances

Presently, our Client is proceeding through the Building Permit process to permit for the construction of the dwellings. The Building Permit process includes a zoning review component. Through the Zoning review, it was determined that 6 of the 37 single detached dwellings exceeded the maximum allowable gross floor area for interior private garages as regulated under Chapter 5, Section 5.8.6 b) (Private Garage Maximum Sizes) of the Town's Zoning By-law. The additional area triggering the exceedance is provided behind what was designed as a standard 2-car garage, to allow for a tandem parking configuration or additional garage storage area. That said, the front façade is representative of a standard 2-car garage design.

The wording of the by-law regulation that the applications seek relief from provides:

b) For detached dwellings on lots having greater than or equal to 12.0 metres in lot frontage, the maximum total floor area for a private garage shall be 45.0 square metres.

All of the lots are greater than 12.0m in frontage, making all lots subject to this regulation. The 6 lots requiring relief from this regulation and subject to the Minor Variance requests under this cover are as follows: 5, 7, 8, 9, 14 and 15. We have attached a copy of the plan of subdivision for the information of the Committee for a better understanding of the location of these lots. For further specificity, there are 2 of the 7 models ("The Admiral" and "The Marina") that provide private interior garages that exceed the permission outlined in Section 5.8.6 b). Lots 5 and 7 will host "The Admiral" model and lots 8, 9, 14 and 15 will host "The Marina" model.

The lots hosting "The Marina" model have been sold with a floorplan showing the exceedance in private garage area of ~9 square meters, providing a total of ~54.0 square metres. The lots hosting "The Admiral" model and are not yet sold and currently show an exceedance of ~8 square meters, providing a total of ~53 square meters. We acknowledge that the drawings for The Admiral model show only 53 square metres of total floor area for a private garage at this time, however, it is possible that they could ultimately be designed and sold with the 54.0 square metres design. To protect for this scenario, we are applying for a consistent maximum 54.0 square metres of total floor area for a private garage for the 6 lots, as described above.



The proposed variance for all 6 lots is as follows:

To permit for a maximum total floor area for a private garage of 54.0 square metres whereas the by-law permits a maximum total floor area for a private garage of 45.0 square metres

To assist the Committee in their review of the variance request, we have submitted front elevations for each Model and the main floor plans, inclusive of the garage floorplan. Each model described above provides three options for the front façade treatment. We confirm that the drawings submitted as additional information are the same version as those submitted for the Building Permit review.

Our Client has confirmed that at a maximum, only 6 of the 37 lots will have either of these designs/models, and as a result, are only applying for relief to the by-law for the 6 lots described above.

Four Tests of a Minor Variance

Section 45(1) of the Planning Act, as amended, identifies the four tests which must be satisfied prior to approval of a minor variance. The following section provides an analysis demonstrating how the variances satisfy these tests.

1. The variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan.

The Livable Oakville Plan (2009 Town of Oakville Official Plan) applies to all lands within the town except the North Oakville East and West Secondary Plan areas. The subject lands are designated as Low Density Residential as shown on Schedule F – South West Land Use. The proposed variances do not change the proposed use of land and maintains the intent and purpose of the Official Plan in this respect.

Part C, Section 6 of the Livable Oakville Plan contains relevant urban design objectives and policies. The variance request maintains the intent and purpose of the Official Plan as related to Urban Design objectives and policies by continuing to provide for dwellings which are designed with architectural excellence and are diverse enough to avoid sameness however remain compatible with the neighbourhood. We note that these dwellings also were subject to Architectural Control Guidelines and town review of same and remain generally consistent with the principles and visions of the Urban Design Brief (March 2022) which was approved through the subdivision process. The Urban Design Brief was required to satisfactorily address the land use and design policies of the Town of Oakville Official Plan prior to its approval.

In conclusion, the variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan.

2. The variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law.

It is our opinion that the variance request maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law 2024-014. The Zoning By-law works to generally regulate the use of a lot in terms of uses permitted and minimum and maximum lot and building regulations.

The residential lots were designed through the subdivision process to allow the dwellings to comply with



all the relevant zoning standards including building height, maximum lot coverage and minimum required setbacks. The proposed dwellings fully maintain compliance with the existing zoning regulations, save and except for the private garage area exceedance. We acknowledge that the zoning standards are meant to ensure that the proposed development is compatible with the surrounding existing development. We do not feel that the additional floor area, which is allocated to the rear of the garage area, offends nor frustrates the intent of the by-law regulation pursuant to Section 5.8.6 which is meant to regulate garage sizes to create cohesive and compatible facades and frontages.

As exhibited in the supporting architectural materials, the front façade of the models appear as only a twocar garage from street view as the floor area exceedance is added to the rear to allow for a tandem parking configuration. Meaning, the cars would be able to be parked side by side (which is permitted as of right under the by-law), and with the additional area at the rear, could also be parked tandem. It is conceivable that this idea of a tandem parking scenario in a detached dwelling was not contemplated when writing the Town-wide by-law and therefore, affords no acknowledgment or flexibility for this scenario.

We confirm that other than the floor area exceedance, the private garages meet all other relevant zoning standards (including those associated with garage width) and no other relief to the by-law is required.

Therefore, we are of the opinion that the variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law.

3. The variance is desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land.

The permission for the garage floor area increase would not propose a deviation from the intended use or development of the lands as previously reviewed and considered by the Town of Oakville through the Subdivision process or the Architectural Control review process and therefore remains desirable and appropriate development for the lands. The request simply provides buyers with more flexibility for storage areas based on their individual/family needs.

4. The variance is minor in nature.

It is our opinion that the variance is minor in nature. The variance contemplates a modest increase of $9m^2$ to accommodate a tandem parking scenario, which is characteristic for these models (however not in the Town of Oakville). This additional area could also be used practically for additional garage storage, providing more options for the user. Additionally, if this space was not allocated to the garage portion of the dwelling, it would have been allocated to the interior of the dwelling, not simply omitted structurally from the overall design. This is simply a design choice from our Client to provide for additional storage area in the garage (for cars, or general storage) rather than additional living area for the occupants.

Submission Deliverables

- Six (6) Minor Variance Application Forms for the lands municipally addressed as:
 - 158 Charles Bray Crescent;
 - o 166 Charles Bray Crescent;
 - o 170 Charles Bray Crescent;



- o 174 Charles Bray Crescent;
- o 194 Charles Bray Crescent; and
- o 198 Charles Bray Crescent
- One (1) copy of additional information (Architectural set):
 - Set of Drawings for "The Admiral" including Main Floor Plan and Options A-C for Front Elevation; and,
 - Set of Drawings for "The Marina" including Main Floor Plan and Options A-C Front Elevation.
- One (1) copy of the approved Draft Plan of Subdivision showing the Lot Numbers referenced herein.

We look forward to appearing on the next available Committee of Adjustment hearing date. Please contact the undersigned and/or Martha Hadid of Menkes Developments Inc. in order to facilitate the fee payments to deem the applications complete and prompt circulation.

Yours very truly,

GLEN SCHNARR & ASSOCIATES INC.

Sarah Clark, MCIP, RPP Associate