

April 7, 2025

Via Digital Email

Jennifer Ulcar, Secretary-Treasurer to the Committee of Adjustment Town of Oakville Planning Services Department 1225 Trafalgar Road Oakville, Ontario, L6H 0H3

Re: Application for a Minor Variance MC Oakvillage GP Inc. (Minto Group) Oakvillage 4AB 3071 & 3079 Trafalgar Road Related Files: 24CDM-24009/1312, CAV A/072/2024 (Approved),

Dear Ms. Ulcar,

We are pleased to submit a minor variance application on behalf of MC Oakvillage GP Inc. (Minto Group) for the above noted development.

Existing Official Plan Designation and Zoning

The site is located within the North Oakville East Secondary Plan (NOESP) and are designated 'Trafalgar Urban Core Area', which is meant to accommodate the highest densities in the Planning area.

The property is zoned under By-law 2009-189 as Trafalgar Urban Core, Special Provision 65 (TUC sp:65).

The Subject Site and Surrounding Context

The subject site is located on the east side of Trafalgar Road, south of Wheat Boom Drive and north of Dundas Street East and is municipally known as 3071 & 3079 Trafalgar Road.

Site Plan Approval was granted in June 2023. The development is currently under construction, the 3 levels of underground parking are near completion.

K RSIAK Urban



SITE LOCATION

Requested Variances

Through the Review of the Draft Plan of Condominium application the surveyor's certificate identified parking deficiencies within the underground parking garage.

The following variances are being sought through this application:

- 1. To permit a minimum parking aisle width of 6.3 metres along the east drive aisle and 6.4 along the west drive aisle on Level P1 (A); whereas Section 5.4.1.3 requires that parking spaces in a parking garage shall be accessed with a minimum parking aisle width of 7.0 metres for 90-degree angular parking.
- To permit an obstruction within 1.70 metres of the stall end on Level P1 (A) for parking space units 21 – 50, 52, 89, 90 and visitor spaces V1 to V24; whereas Section 5.4.1.3 permits obstructions within 1.15 metres of either stall end.
- 3. To permit reduced parking stall widths of 2.58 metres, 2.6 metres and 2.8 metres; whereas the bylaw requires where a wall is located immediately adjacent to a stall, the width shall be increased by 0.3 metres resulting in a required width of 2.9 metres.

K RSIAK Urban Planning

Variance 1: Parking Aisle Width

On Level P1 (A) gravity fed servicing pipes are required to drain down to their outfalls. The elevations of the outfalls are set by Halton Region's Trafalgar Road trunk sanitary sewer along the west wall and the Athabasca Pond outfall along the east wall for the storm pipe. These servicing pipes encroach 0.6 to 0.7 metres into the required parking spaces with heights ranging from 1.2 to 2.02 metres.

Section 5.4.1.3 of By-law 2009-189 requires that a required *parking space* in a *parking garage* shall have a width of not less than 2.6 metres and a length of not less than 5.2 metres. The By-law also requires that a *parking space* shall be an unobstructed rectangular space.

5.4.1.3 Size of required parking spaces in a parking garage or parking lot and minimum aisle widths

Each required *parking space* in a *parking garage* or *parking lot* shall have a width of not less than 2.6 metres and a length of not less than 5.2 metres. (2022-007)

"parking space" means an unobstructed rectangular space that is designed to be used for the parking of a *vehicle*.

In order to maintain the minimum unobstructed parking space size of 2.6 metres by 5.2 metres we are proposing to reduce the parking aisle width to 6.3 metres along the east parking aisle for parking space units 21 - 50, 52 and a width of 6.4 metres along the west parking aisle for parking space units 89 and 90 as well as visitor spaces V1 to V24. The parking spaces for unit 90 are the future permanent visitor parking stalls for the 348 Wheat Boom Drive community.



GHD have carefully evaluated the reduced aisle width in their swept path analysis and have confirmed that the proposed configuration maintains safe and effective maneuverability. The proposed aisle widths are consistent with aisle width requirements in surrounding municipalities.

Variance 2: Column Encroachment

The existing columns on P1 (A) of the underground garage were constructed with a maximum encroachment of 1.15 metres of the parking stall ends. As a result of shifting the parking spaces to avoid the obstruction of the servicing pipes the columns now encroach 1.70 metres into the stall end. These pillars are integral to the structural integrity of the parking garage and cannot be relocated or modified. GHD has confirmed in their memo that the proposed configuration maintains the functionality for users.



Variance 3: Parking Stall Width Relief

By-law 2009-189 requires that a parking space width must be increased by 0.3 metres for each side that is obstructed. Due to required clearance for servicing infrastructure and minor construction errors we are requesting relief to permit reduced parking space width of 2.58 metres for unit 116 on Level P1 (A); 2.6 metres for V16 and V24 on Level P1 (A) and 114 on Level P2 (B) and 2.8 metres for unit 14 on Levels P1 (A), P2 (B) and P3 (C). GHD has confirmed in their report that the affected stalls have been analysed and have confirmed that they remain functional and that drivers will be able to maneuver their vehicles safely.







K RSIAK Urban



Level P3 (C)

Conclusion

These requested variances meet the general intent of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and meet the four tests. Moreover, these variances are minor and technical in nature and will facilitate the efficient use of space while ensuring the continued functionality of the parking layout while accommodating essential infrastructure.

In support of the application, we are pleased to enclose digital copies of the following items:

- Executed Application for Minor Variance form, prepared by Korsiak Urban Planning, dated February 11, 2025;
- Traffic Assessment Memo; prepared by GHD, dated January 22, 2025;
- Survey (Plan 20M-1211), prepared by Rady-Pentek & Edward, dated November 13, 2018;
- Site Plan, prepared by BDP Quadrangle, dated April 17, 2023;
- Survey Certificate, prepared by R-PE Surveying Ltd., dated September 24, 2024

The Town's fee in the amount of \$4,182.00 will be electronically submitted to the Town once a reference number is provided. Payment to the Region of Halton will be paid once confirmed. Please contact me directly at 905-580-5687 should you have any questions or require further information.



Sincerely yours, KORSIAK URBAN PLANNING

Catherine McEwan Encl.

Copy: Minto Group, c/o Andrew McLeod (via email)

100 Milverton Drive, Suite 404 Mississauga, ON L5R 4H1 Canada qhd.com



18 March 2025

Syed Rizvi, P. Eng., Transportation Engineer Transportation Planning Services, Town of Oakville

Re: Oakvillage Phase 4AB – Underground Parking Aisle Width Minor Variance

INTRODUCTION

GHD Ltd. has prepared the following assessment in support of the Minor Variance Application for the Oakvillage Phase 4AB development in the Town of Oakville.

The development is currently under construction with the underground parking complete. The minor variance is mainly being sought to respond to servicing pipes located along the exterior walls adjacent to parking spaces on the east and west side of the first level of underground parking that encroach 0.6 to 0.7 metres into the parking spaces with heights ranging from 1.2 to 2.02 metres from ground level. In addition, variances are being sought to address the reduced width of corner parking spaces on the three levels of underground parking (P1, P2, and P3).

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The revised Site Plan, provided in **Figure 1** (P1), **Figure 2** (P2), and **Figure 3** (P3), identify the locations of the servicing pipes (in green), the reduced drive aisle widths as a result of the proposed shift of the parking stalls, as well as the corner parking spaces in which the variances are being sought for.



Figure 1 Proposed Site Plan (P1)



Figure 2

Proposed Site Plan (P2)



Figure 3 Proposed Site Plan (P3)

REASONS FOR THE MINOR VARIANCE

The minimum parking space dimensions in a parking garage and minimum aisle width requirement are provided in the Town of Oakville's Zoning By-law 2009-189. Section 5.4.1.3. Parking spaces within a parking garage are required to have a width of not less than 2.6 metres and a length of not less than 5.2 metres. Drive aisles that lead into 90-degree parking spaces are required to have a minimum width of 7.0 metres.

The survey, completed by R-PE Surveying Ltd., identifies the servicing pipe along the east side of the building that generally extends 0.70 metres into the building and the pipe on the east side of the building generally extending 0.60 metres into the building.

PROPOSED VARIANCES BEING SOUGHT

In order to maintain the parking spaces' minimum By-law required length of 5.2 metres, it is proposed to reduce the aisle width to 6.3 metres along the east drive aisle and a width of 6.4 metres along the west drive aisle.

The following variances are being sought through this application:

- 1. Drive Aisle Width Relief: Relief from the minimum 7.0-metre drive aisle width requirement to maintain the 5.2-metre parking stall length, ensuring proper functionality while terminating in front of the pipe on Level A.
- Encroachment Relief: Relief from the maximum 1.15-metre encroachment allowance into parking stalls on Level A, to accommodate existing structural pillars that encroach a maximum of 1.70 metres into these spaces.
- 3. Parking Stall Width Relief: Relief from the minimum parking stall width requirements for the following parking spaces:
 - Unit V16, V24, and 14 on Level A.
 - Unit 14 and 114 on Level B.
 - Unit 14 and 116 on Level C.

These variances are requested to address existing site constraints while maintaining parking functionality and ensuring compliance with operational needs.

SITE VISIT

Following a discussion with Town staff, a site visit was conducted to review the on-site conditions and a vehicle swept assessment was completed to confirm that the parking spaces would remain functional with the reduced driveway aisle width.

The figures below show vehicles with overall lengths of 5.5 metres and 4.9 metres parked adjacent to the servicing pipes. The back and front ends of the 5.5 metre vehicle reversing into the space are shown in **Figure 4** and **Figure 5**. The back and front ends of the 4.9 metre vehicle reversing into the space are shown in **Figure 6** and **Figure 7**, while the front and back ends of the vehicle pulling in forward to the space are shown in **Figure 8** and **Figure 9**.



Figure 4 5.5 metre Vehicle (Back End)



Figure 6 4.9 metre Vehicle, Back-in (Back End)



Figure 5 5.5 metre Vehicle (Front End)



Figure 7 4.9 metre Vehicle, Back-in (Front End)

4







Figure 9 4.9 metre Vehicle, Front-in (Back End)

VEHICLE SWEPT PATH ASSESSMENT

GHD completed a swept path assessment for the reduced drive aisle width using the TAC Passenger Vehicle (P TAC) in order to demonstrate that the reduced drive aisle width being sough in the first variance continues to function properly.

The results of the analysis are provided in **Appendix A** and illustrate that the site can sufficiently accommodate the P TAC design vehicle with no issues.

PTAC Vehicle

The passenger vehicle circulating around the first level of underground parking (P1), including the ingress and egress movements at the ramp is provided in drawing AT-101. A small overlap occurs within the vicinity of the bottom of the ramp, however a convex mirror can be provided to ensure vehicles do not turn onto the ramp when an oncoming vehicle is traveling down the ramp.

The passenger vehicle entering and exiting the parking spaces adjacent to the reduced drive aisles are provided in drawing AT-102 and AT-103 for inbound and outbound maneuvers. No concerns have been identified with the assessment.

Typical Passenger Vehicle

The Passenger Vehicle (P TAC) is a standard design vehicle used for AutoTurn analysis for parking facilities. While the PTAC vehicle is referred to as a "Passenger Car" by TAC, this can be misleading as the general public typically associates "Passenger Cars" with sedans. However, this is not the case. As per TAC, "*The passenger car class includes compacts and subcompacts, all light vehicles, and all light delivery trucks (e.g., vans and pickups).*" (TAC, p.34). Therefore, this PTAC design vehicle represents SUVs, pickups, and large sedans such as Buicks.

"The dimensions used to represent design vehicles are not averages or maxima, nor are they limiting dimensions. They are characteristic of those vehicles on the roads that form the bulk of the fleet that are approaching maximum permissible dimensions" (TAC, p.23).

However, as TAC notes, the PTAC design does not represent an average vehicle size, but rather "*bulk of the fleet that are approaching maximum permissible dimensions*". Therefore, the expectation is that most

vehicles are sufficiently represented by the PTAC design vehicle, with only a small number of "over-sized" vehicles potentially exceeding these design dimensions.

For comparative reference, some of the best-selling vehicles in Canada for 2024, with their respective lengths, include the following:

- Toyota RAV4 (4.6 metres)
- Honda CR-V (4.7 metres)
- Nissan Rogue (4.6 metres)
- Honda Civic (4.7 metres)
- Ford Escape (4.6 metres)
- Hyundai Kona (4.4 metres)

For comparison purposes, a Vehicle Swept Path Analysis was conducted using a passenger vehicle with an overall width of 5.05 metres, representing a more typical vehicle, to provide a realistic assessment of the operational feasibility of the proposed reduced aisle widths under normal traffic conditions. This analysis evaluates whether the reduced aisle widths can comfortably accommodate everyday vehicle movements without conflict or operational concerns.

The appended Drawing AT-104 illustrates the swept path of a typical passenger vehicle circulating around the first level of underground parking (P1), including the ingress and egress movements at the ramp. No concerns have been identified with the assessment.

Drawing AT-105 and AT-106 illustrate a typical passenger vehicle entering and exiting the parking spaces adjacent to the reduced drive aisles. No concerns have been identified with the assessment.

The results confirm that the proposed reduced aisle width design supports smooth and safe manoeuvrability for typical passenger vehicles, complementing the findings of the analysis conducted for larger design vehicles.

PROPOSED VARIANCES

The proposed variances for the Oakvillage Phase 4AB development are required to address site-specific issues encountered during the construction of the parking garage, which has now been completed. Structural elements and servicing infrastructure have impacted the dimensions of parking stalls, making it impractical to fully conform to the zoning by-law. As the garage has already been constructed, modifications to address these issues are not feasible. Despite these constraints, the proposed variances ensure that the parking facility remains functional, safe, and accessible to users.

The following sections outline each specific variance requested, explaining the underlying reasons for the non-conformance, the design constraints encountered, and why it is not possible to modify the garage to meet by-law requirements.

Drive Aisle Width Relief

Relief is requested from the minimum 7.0-metre drive aisle width requirement to maintain the 5.2-metre parking stall length. This variance is required due to a design adjustment that shifted the row of parking spaces away from the wall to provide sufficient clearance for the servicing pipe. As a result of this adjustment, the parking aisle widths have been reduced adjacent to the relocated parking stalls. This adjustment ensures proper functionality of the parking stalls while accommodating the necessary termination in front of the servicing pipe on Level A. The reduced aisle widths were carefully evaluated, and the accompanying swept path analysis confirms that the proposed configuration maintains safe and effective vehicle maneuverability.

Encroachment Relief

Relief is requested from the Town's By-law requirement that parking space widths must increase by 0.3 metres for each side adjacent to a wall, column, or other obstruction when the obstruction is located more than 1.15 metres from either end of the parking stall. This variance is required due to the design adjustment that shifted the row of parking spaces away from the wall to provide sufficient clearance for the servicing

pipe causing the existing structural pillars to encroach a maximum of 1.70 metres into these spaces. These pillars, integral to the structural integrity of the garage, cannot be relocated or modified. As a result of this adjustment, the structural columns now encroach into the parking stalls and are situated more than 1.15 metres from the ends of the parking spaces, creating a technical non-compliance with the By-law.

In GHD's professional opinion, the proposed configuration remains functional and practical for users. If an obstruction prevents the full opening of a vehicle door, the driver can adopt alternative approaches, such as allowing passengers to exit the vehicle before parking or passengers exiting through an unobstructed door on the opposite side of the vehicle. These scenarios are common and manageable arrangements, particularly where the columns do not significantly obstruct the majority of the parking stall.

Despite the encroachment, functionality of the parking spaces has been maintained as demonstrated in the appended vehicle maneuvering diagrams.

Parking Stall Width Relief

Relief is requested from the Town's By-law requirement that parking space widths must increase by 0.3 metres for each side adjacent to a wall for the following specific parking spaces:

- Level A: Units V16, V24 and 14
- Level B: Units 14 and 114
- Level C: Units 14 and 116

These adjustments are necessitated by the aforementioned realignment of parking stalls to provide clearance for critical servicing infrastructure. The affected stalls have been analyzed to ensure they remain functional, with drivers retaining the ability to park and maneuver their vehicles safely. Additionally, practical solutions are available to mitigate minor constraints caused by adjacent obstructions.

Similar to the previously requested variance, drivers have the option to allow passengers to exit the vehicle before parking in spaces adjacent to any obstruction. This practical approach ensures that passengers can comfortably and safely exit the vehicle, even when one side of the vehicle may have limited clearance due to adjacent walls or columns. Additionally, as demonstrated in drawings AT-102 (specifically Unit V24 on Level A, Unit 114 on Level B, and Unit 116 on Level C), passenger vehicles can enter and exit these parking spaces without difficulty or operational concerns.

The design of these parking spaces has been carefully evaluated to ensure functional usability in the appended vehicle maneuvering diagrams. The turning radii and aisle width allow for manoeuvrability into and out of the stalls, and the encroachments from columns or walls are minimal and do not impede the vehicle's ability to park.

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis prepared by GHD, the proposed variances being sought will continue to accommodate both the PTAC design vehicle and typical passenger vehicle as demonstrated by the vehicle swept path assessment. The analysis confirms that vehicles can safely and efficiently manoeuvre within the underground parking area, including ingress and egress movements at the ramp and parking spaces.

To enhance visibility and minimize potential conflicts, a convex mirror is recommended at the bottom of the ramp to assist vehicles exiting and entering the underground ramp.

Additionally, to safeguard the servicing pipes from potential vehicle contact, it is recommended to install physical barriers that extend out from the wall beneath the pipes. These barriers would act as a protective buffer, ensuring vehicles do not inadvertently encroach into the pipe area. Positioned at an appropriate height to block vehicle bumpers while allowing free vehicle manoeuvrability, these barriers should be painted with high-visibility colours, such as yellow or reflective striping, to serve as a visual deterrent. Implementing these physical barriers will enhance the safety and longevity of the servicing infrastructure while maintaining the functionality and usability of the parking spaces. Signs can also be provided above the pipe to advise drivers about the servicing pipe.

The proposed reduced aisle widths, combined with these protective measures, will ensure a safe and efficient parking facility design.

Regards

Kopy Anden

Rafael Andrenacci, B. Eng, Transportation Planner



William Maria, P. Eng. Transportation Planning Lead

Appendix A Vehicle Swept Path Assessment



52	22	54	<u> </u>	20	27	00	6	0	<u> </u>	12	21	14	St	91	24	α α
⊢ ,																
$\overline{=}$																







32	33	34	35	36	37	30	62	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	α





5,60

3.20

meter : 2.00 : 2.00 Lock to Lock Time 6.0 : 35,9

1 Fir	st Submission	W.M	W.M	1/22/25
No. Iss	sue	Checked	Approved	Date
Author	R.A	Designer	R.A	
Drafting Check	W.M	Design Check	W.M	
Project Manager	W.M	Project Director	W.M	

Minto Group

Oakvillage Phase 4AB Scale NTS Size ANSI D VEHICLE MANEUVERING DIAGRAM -PASSENGER VEHICLE (PARKING - INBOUND) Sheet No. AT-102



32	5	34	35	36	37	00 M	6 2	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	α
KING 4AB\AutoTL	IRNs\UG PARKIN	G 4AB AT V3.dwa														





Project Oakvillage	e Phase 4AB
Date January 22, 2025	Scale NTS
Project No. -	
^{Title} VEHICLE MANE DIAGRA PASSENGER (PARKING - OU	EUVERING M - VEHICLE ITBOUND)
	Sheet No. Sheet



32	23	34	35	30	37	00 M	6 M	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	40
		C 1AP AT V3 dwg														





Conditions of Use This document and the ideas and of professional service, is the pro by GHD's client (and any othe document) for the purpose for w any other person or for any other	d designs incorporated herein, as an instrument perty of GHD. This document may only be used r person who GHD has agreed can use this which it was prepared and must not be used by purpose.
CLICENSED	of ESS $IO_{N_{4/2}}$ an. 22, 2025 V.C. MARIA V_{CE} OF ONT^{R}
5.05	
0.99 2.87	
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	plorer 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 ngle : 33.9
1 First Submission No. Issue	W.M W.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date
Author R.A	Designer R.A
Drafting Check W.M	Design Check W.M
Project Manager	Project W.M Director
Client Mint	o Group
Project Oakvilla	ige Phase 4AB
Date January 22, 2025	Scale NTS
Project No.	
- Title	Size



						T										
32	22	54	35	36	37	00 M	30	40	4	42	43	44	45	46	47	0
																+





meters

: 2,00 : 1,96

: 6.0

: 33,9

Size ANSI D



32	33	34	35	36	37	00 M	6 2	40	4	42	43	44	45	46	47	400
		C AND AT V2 dwg														





any other person or for any other p	purpose.
PROS NJU NJU NJU NJU N N N N N N N N N N N N	of ESSIONAL an. 22, 2025 I.C. MARIA CE OF ONTRAC
5.05	
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	plonen 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 ngle : 33.9
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	plonen 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 ngle : 33.9
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	<pre> plorer 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 rgle : 33.9 W.M W.M 1/22/25 W.M W.M 1/22/25 </pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	xplorer 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 : 1.96 bck Time : 6.0 ngle : 33.9 W.M W.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> No. Issue Author R.A	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> No. Issue Author R.A Drafting W.M	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Project W.M	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Droject W.M Droject W.M Check W.M	<pre> cplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Project W.M Check W.M Project W.M	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters 2,00 2,1,96 ck Time : 6,0 ngle : 33,9 W.M U.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date Designer R.A Design W.M Project W.M Director W.M Director W.M </pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Project W.M Check W.M Project W.M Droject W.M Droject M.M Droject M.M Droject M.M	<pre>splorer 2019 meters 2.00 2.1.96 pock Time = 6.0 ngle = 33.9 W.M W.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date Designer R.A Design W.M Project W.M Director W.M Secolor W</pre>

			GBA Gross E	Building Area	Oak	ville Zoning B	y-Law 2009-′	189							
	BUILDING	No. Typ.	(no excl	usions)	GFA (Res)	GFA (No	on-Res)	0 1 II	1 Bdrm 500-	1 Bdrm 571-	1 Bdrm+D	1 Bdrm+D	2 Bdrm 750-	2 Bdrm 81
		FIOOIS	sm	sf	sm	sf	sm	sf	Studio	570 sqf	600 sqf	601-660 sqf	661-700 sqf	810 sqf	850 sqf
	Phase 4A	20	16,287.5	175,317	15,178.0	163,375	0		0 1	61	21	40	35	39	
	Phase 4B	16	12,541.9	135,000	11,854.0	127,595	0		0 0	45	13	53	12	28	
S			28,829.4	310,317	27,032.0	290,970	0.0		0 1	106	34	93	47	67	
AL									4.3%	28.0%	9.0%	24.6%	12.4%	17.7%	1.9
Б	Parking Level 1	1	5,173.0	55,682	855.9	9,212									
F	Parking Level 2	1	5,173.0	55,682	471.9	5,079									
	Parking Level 3	1	5,173.0	55,682	513.0	5,522									
			15,519.0	111,363.4	1,840.7	14,291.7									
=				Non Boo CEA (BETAIL											
USE dow				Non-Res GFA (RETAIL) 0.00 s	sm			Number of Ba	arrier Free Suit	tes				
ak T				Total NON-RES GFA	0.00 s	sm					Studio	1B	2B		
ig B				Total RESIDENTIAL	. 27,032.00 s	sm			Required		0	42	15		
_									Provided		0	50	29		
SI				Site Area	5,699.60										
<u>س</u>			Ph	ase 4a Area	3,198										
			Ph	ase 4b Area	2,502										
als	Combined RE	ES & NON-RE	S Gross Floor	Area Totals	27,032.0	oy-law 2009-189									
Iot		Res and No	on-Res Floor	Space Index R	4.74	c	0.00								
ga	Combine	d Floor Space	Index for all p	phases (FSI)	4.74	-									
_									1						







3 PHASE 4A





8 PHASE 4A & 4B - TOTALS A101.S SCALE: 1:1

Municipal Address:	3075 Trafalgar R
Lot Area	5,699.60
Zoning Bylaw 2009-189	TUC
Zoning Bylaw 2018-151	TUC - Block F
Average Grade:	
Phase 4A	170.900
Phase 4B	170.900
Building Height (Storeys): (excl. Mech Penthouse) - Block 4A	20
Building Height (Storeys): (excl. Mech Penthouse) - Block 4B	16
Building Height above Average Grade (Meters):	
(Incl. Mech Penthouse)	
Block 4A	67.839
Block 4B	56.089
	(sm)
GFA - Residential Uses	27,032
GFA - Non-Residential Uses	0
By-Law 2009-189 / 2018-151, Total GFA	27,032
GBA	28,829
Floor Space Index (FSI)	4.74
Number of Residential Suites	378
	(sm)
Min. Residential Interior Amenity Space Required	0.00
Total Residential Interior Amenity Space Provided	650.60
Vehicular Parking Total Required	416
Vehicular Parking Total Provided	420
Bicycle Parking Total Required	200
Bicycle Parking Total Provided	200
Total Loading Spaces Required	0
Total Loading Spaces Provided	1 'type 3 -







1 Context Plan A101.S SCALE: 1:500

afalgar Urba	an Core			Required	Provided		
ASE 4a + 4	6						PHAS
sidential -	Occupant						Resid
ock 4A	(1/unit)	1	x 212	212	215		Visito
ock 4B	(1/unit)	1	x 166	166	168	SNG	
tal Residen	tial			378	383	ARK	TOTA
tal Visitor	(0.10/unit)	0.10	x 378	38	40	CLE P	
TAL				416	423	BICY	
cluded in T	OTAL above:						8
mber of Ac	cessible Parking Spaces	= 1% of pa	rking spaces prov	ided 5	6		
mber of pa	rking spaces dedicated fo	r priority L	EV parking	0	0		Chan
mber of pa	rking spaces with EVSE (10% of par	king spaces provi	ded) 43	43		Total
						1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	ile.
rking Space	e Location						
ASE 4a + 4	b						
vel	RESIDE	NTIAL			TOTAL		
	Occupant	Visitor					
rface	0	0			0		
	89	40			129		
	146	0			146		
	148	0			148		
TAL	383	40		E	423		
100.00 F							
	D4 are - "					-	

Residents Bikes Visitor bikes	150 50	150 50
TOTAL	200	200
	NI/A	NI/A
Change & Shower facility per gender required	N/A	N/A

Required	Provided		Leading Spaces	R
			Loading Spaces	
			PHASE 4a + 4b	
150	150		Block 4A	
50	50		Block 4B	
200	200	3 / GARBAGE	TOTAL Garbage Room Size	
		ž	PHASE 4a + 4b	
		AD AD	Block 4A	
		P	Block 4B	
 N/A	N/A		*Area requirement based on Halton guidelines	
		1		



2 Phase 4 - Key Plan

equireu	Provided
0	1 'type 3 - front end'
0	0
10	
0	1 'type 3 - front end'
0	1 'type 3 - front end'
0	1 'type 3 - front end' 77 sm

Plans and drawings approved pursuant to the Planning Act. Planning Services Department

Description Date REVISION RECORD

	1
2023-04-17	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2023-01-23	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2022-12-01	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2022-04-11	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2022-03-25	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2021-12-01	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2021-04-12	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2020-10-16	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
ISSUE RE	CORD

PROJECT NORTH



Quadrangle Architects Limited The Well, 8 Spadina Avenue, Suite 2100, Toronto, ON M5V 0S8 t 416 598 1240 www.bdpquadrangle.com Oakvillage Phase 4A & 4B 3071 & 3079 Trafalgar Rd

for MC Oakville LP

20008 As indicated FA JS

Context Plan and Statistics



Note: This drawing is the property of the Architect and may not be reproduced or used without the expressed consent of the Architect. The Contractor is responsible for checking and verifying all levels and dimensions and shall report all discrepancies to the Architect and obtain clarification prior to commencing work.

				GBA Gross Building Area		ville Zoning B	y-Law 2009-′	189							
	BUILDING No. Typ. Floors	No. Typ.	(no excl	usions)	GFA (Res)	GFA (No	on-Res)	0 1 II	1 Bdrm 500-	1 Bdrm 571-	1 Bdrm+D	1 Bdrm+D	2 Bdrm 750-	2 Bdrm 81
			FIGUIS	sm	sf	sm	sf	sm	sf	Studio	570 sqf	600 sqf	601-660 sqf	661-700 sqf	810 sqf
	Phase 4A	20	16,287.5	175,317	15,178.0	163,375	0		0 1	61	21	40	35	39	
	Phase 4B	16	12,541.9	135,000	11,854.0	127,595	0		0 0	45	13	53	12	28	
S			28,829.4	310,317	27,032.0	290,970	0.0		0 1	106	34	93	47	67	
AL									4.3%	28.0%	9.0%	24.6%	12.4%	17.7%	1.9
Б	Parking Level 1	1	5,173.0	55,682	855.9	9,212									
F	Parking Level 2	1	5,173.0	55,682	471.9	5,079									
	Parking Level 3	1	5,173.0	55,682	513.0	5,522									
			15,519.0	111,363.4	1,840.7	14,291.7									
=				Non Boo CEA (BETAIL											
USE dow				Non-Res GFA (RETAIL) 0.00 s	sm			Number of Ba	arrier Free Suit	tes				
ak T				Total NON-RES GFA	0.00 s	sm					Studio	1B	2B		
ig B				Total RESIDENTIAL	. 27,032.00 s	sm			Required		0	42	15		
_									Provided		0	50	29		
SI				Site Area	5,699.60										
<u>س</u>			Ph	ase 4a Area	3,198										
			Ph	ase 4b Area	2,502										
als	Combined RE	ES & NON-RE	S Gross Floor	Area Totals	27,032.0	oy-law 2009-189									
Iot		Res and No	on-Res Floor	Space Index R	4.74	c	0.00								
ga	Combine	d Floor Space	Index for all p	phases (FSI)	4.74	-									
_									1						







3 PHASE 4A





8 PHASE 4A & 4B - TOTALS A101.S SCALE: 1:1

Municipal Address:	3075 Trafalgar R
Lot Area	5,699.60
Zoning Bylaw 2009-189	TUC
Zoning Bylaw 2018-151	TUC - Block F
Average Grade:	
Phase 4A	170.900
Phase 4B	170.900
Building Height (Storeys): (excl. Mech Penthouse) - Block 4A	20
Building Height (Storeys): (excl. Mech Penthouse) - Block 4B	16
Building Height above Average Grade (Meters):	
(Incl. Mech Penthouse)	
Block 4A	67.839
Block 4B	56.089
	(sm)
GFA - Residential Uses	27,032
GFA - Non-Residential Uses	0
By-Law 2009-189 / 2018-151, Total GFA	27,032
GBA	28,829
Floor Space Index (FSI)	4.74
Number of Residential Suites	378
	(sm)
Min. Residential Interior Amenity Space Required	0.00
Total Residential Interior Amenity Space Provided	650.60
Vehicular Parking Total Required	416
Vehicular Parking Total Provided	420
Bicycle Parking Total Required	200
Bicycle Parking Total Provided	200
Total Loading Spaces Required	0
Total Loading Spaces Provided	1 'type 3 -







1 Context Plan A101.S SCALE: 1:500

afalgar Urba	an Core			Required	Provided		
ASE 4a + 4	6						PHAS
sidential -	Occupant						Resid
ock 4A	(1/unit)	1	x 212	212	215		Visito
ock 4B	(1/unit)	1	x 166	166	168	SNG	
tal Residen	tial			378	383	ARK	TOTA
tal Visitor	(0.10/unit)	0.10	x 378	38	40	CLE P	
TAL				416	423	BICY	
cluded in T	OTAL above:						8
mber of Ac	cessible Parking Spaces	= 1% of pa	rking spaces prov	ided 5	6		
mber of pa	rking spaces dedicated fo	r priority L	EV parking	0	0		Chan
mber of pa	rking spaces with EVSE (10% of par	king spaces provi	ded) 43	43		Total
						1. 1. 1.	ile.
rking Space	e Location						
ASE 4a + 4	b						
vel	RESIDE	NTIAL			TOTAL		
	Occupant	Visitor					
rface	0	0			0		
	89	40			129		
	146	0			146		
	148	0			148		
TAL	383	40		E	423		
100.00 F							
	D4 are - "					-	

Residents Bikes Visitor bikes	150 50	150 50
TOTAL	200	200
	NI/A	NI/A
Change & Shower facility per gender required	N/A	N/A

Required	Provided		Leading Spaces	R
			Loading Spaces	
			PHASE 4a + 4b	
150	150		Block 4A	
50	50		Block 4B	
200	200	3 / GARBAGE	TOTAL Garbage Room Size	
		ž	PHASE 4a + 4b	
		AD AD	Block 4A	
		P	Block 4B	
 N/A	N/A		*Area requirement based on Halton guidelines	
		1		



2 Phase 4 - Key Plan

equireu	Provided
0	1 'type 3 - front end'
0	0
10	
0	1 'type 3 - front end'
0	1 'type 3 - front end'
0	1 'type 3 - front end' 77 sm

Plans and drawings approved pursuant to the Planning Act. Planning Services Department

Description Date REVISION RECORD

	1
2023-04-17	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2023-01-23	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2022-12-01	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2022-04-11	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2022-03-25	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2021-12-01	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2021-04-12	RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
2020-10-16	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
ISSUE RE	CORD

PROJECT NORTH



Quadrangle Architects Limited The Well, 8 Spadina Avenue, Suite 2100, Toronto, ON M5V 0S8 t 416 598 1240 www.bdpquadrangle.com Oakvillage Phase 4A & 4B 3071 & 3079 Trafalgar Rd

for MC Oakville LP

20008 As indicated FA JS

Context Plan and Statistics



Note: This drawing is the property of the Architect and may not be reproduced or used without the expressed consent of the Architect. The Contractor is responsible for checking and verifying all levels and dimensions and shall report all discrepancies to the Architect and obtain clarification prior to commencing work.

SURVEYOR'S DUPLICATE



Ross DenBroeder, B.Sc.E., O.L.S. Shan Goonewardena, B.Eng., O.L.S. Aloka U. Kumaranayake, B.Eng., O.L.S. Isaac A. Abraham, B.Sc., O.L.S.



643 Chrislea Road, Suite 7, Woodbridge, Ontario, L4L 8A3

www.r-pe.ca

Tel: (416) 635-5000, Fax: (416) 635-5001 Tel: (905) 264-0881, Fax: (905) 264-2099

March 18th, 2025

Town of Oakville Planning Department 1225 Trafalgar Road, Box 310 Oakville, Ontario L6J 5A6

Re: MC Oakvillage GP Inc. as general Partner and on behalf of MC Oakvillage LP Proposed Condominium 3071 & 3079 Trafalgar Road Part of Blocks 8 Plan 20M-1211 Town of Oakville Regional Municipality of Halton (Our Job No. 20-316)

The undersigned hereby certifies the following:

- 1. R-PE Surveying Ltd., O.L.S. are the surveyors for the Declarant, and we have been retained to assist the Declarant in the development and registration of the Condominium on the Real Property.
- 2. All parking spaces have been delineated as per the approved drawings and that all parking spaces comply with the minimum parking stall width and length requirements as per Section 5.4.1.3 of By-Law 2009-189, save and except, the parking spaces detailed in the table below and further referenced to on the attached whiteprint:

Parking Identification	Level	Comments
		Surveyed width of Parking Space being 2.80.
Unit 14	A, B & C	Minimum width per Section 5.4.1.3 is 2.90m, "Where a wall, column or other obstruction is located immediately adjacent to a stall, the width of the stall shall be increased by 0.3 metres for each side that is obstructed."
V16 and V24	А	Surveyed width of Parking Space being 2.60. Minimum width per Section 5.4.1.3 is 2.90m, "Where a wall, column or other obstruction is located immediately adjacent to a stall, the width of the stall shall be increased by 0.3 metres for each side that is obstructed."

Parking Identification	Level	Comments
	A	Minimum width per Section 5.4.1.3 is 2.90m due obstruction at a maximum of 1.70 metres into stall end., "Where a wall, column or other obstruction is located immediately adjacent to a stall, the width of the stall shall be increased by 0.3 metres for each side that is obstructed. Obstructions within 1.15 metres of either stall end do not require an increase in the stall width."
	А	Surveyed aisle width is 6.3 metres at its least. Minimum width per Section 5.4.1.3 is 7.0m. "Parking spaces shall be accessed with a minimum parking aisle width of 7 metres for 90-degree angle parking."
Unit 114	В	Surveyed width of Parking Space being 2.60. Minimum width per Section 5.4.1.3 is 2.90m, "Where a wall, column or other obstruction is located immediately adjacent to a stall, the width of the stall shall be increased by 0.3 metres for each side that is obstructed."
Unit 116	С	Surveyed width of Parking Space being 2.58. Minimum width per Section 5.4.1.3 is 2.90m, "Where a wall, column or other obstruction is located immediately adjacent to a stall, the width of the stall shall be increased by 0.3 metres for each side that is obstructed."

DATED AT City of Vaughan, this <u>18th</u> day of <u>March</u>, 2025.

R-PE Surveying Ltd.

1

S. Goonewardena Ontario Land Surveyor





UNIT 10 ²⁰ 5.20 UNIT 9 ²⁰			⁶ ; UNIT	20 • 4 20	ПО К S]] 			UNIT	Image: 173 Image: 173 Image: 173 Image: 175 Image: 175 <thimage: 175<="" th=""> Image: 175 Image: 1</thimage:>		2.60 2 28	2.60 1 88 1	2.60 2 78	2.60 58	2.60 2 98	60 68	2.61 80 20	2.61	S LOBBY	ATORS	2.60 06	1.5	3.65	2.61 26	2.61 56	2.61 16	2.61 50	2.60 96	⁰ 2.60			
5.20 UNIT 8	7.0	-	⁹ ² i UNIT <u>5.</u> 9 ² i UNIT	20 20 20	— — — — — FFE Π ~ A] E L E ~ A]					115 115	5.20 114 114 114	20 5.20	113 UNI 20 5.20	112 UNI 20 5.20	111 UNI 20 5.20	110 UNI ²⁰	109 UNIT	108 UNI 20 5.20	107 UNI ⁷	106 UNI	ELEVATOR'		5.20 5.20 105 UNIT		5.20 5.2 104 UNIT	5.20 5.2 103 UNIT	5.20 5.2 102 UNIT	5.20 5.21 101 UNIT	5.20 5.2 100 UNIT	5.2 UNI	5.2 5.2 98 UNI	-]		
UNIT 7 %			5. 00 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	20 1			+ + 1	— — — 		LIND 2.90	2.60	ن م	2.60		2.60	2.60	2.60	1 2.60	2.61	2.61		STORA	2.60	1.5	2.0/H 3.65		2.60		2.60		2.60			
5.20 UNIT 5 5: 5.20										DRIVEW	ΆY			0.7	2										0 2	2	DRIVEW	AY						
	2.60 2.60	2.60 2.60	2.60 2.60	2.60 142	2.60 4†1	2.60 2.60	2.60 2741 1	2.60 141 11	2.60 2.60 1	2.60 6 £1 11	2.62 8 £1 11	2.62 2.62 11	2.60	2.60 321 132	2.61	2.61 EEL II	2.60 2.60 11	2.60 1£1 11	2.60 0 £1	2.60 67 2.60	2.60 80 1 10 2.60	2.60 1	2.60 150	2.60 1752	2.60 77 2.60	2.60 153	2.60 271	0.30 2.60 121	SHAFT	-0.30 2.60 150	2.61 611	2.61 811 1	^{2.60}	2.58 911 L
	5.2 U	5.2 5.2	UN	0N 5.2	UN	5.2	5.2	5.2	UN	5.2 C	5.2	5.2 U	C C	5 ² د	C.2	S S .		۳ ج				UNI 5.2	UNI 5.2	2.2	UN 5.2	5.2	UNI 5.2	C.3	H AIR	UN 5.2	UN 5.2	UNI 5.20	UNI 5.2(UNI 5.2

ON LEVEL C



ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS 643 Chrislea Road, Suite 7 Woodbridge, Ontario L4L 8A3 Tel.(416)635-5000 Website: www.r-pe.ca DRAWN: E.G./B.P. JOB No. 20-316 CAD FILE No.20-316-DR-PLAN-3(UG)



Committee of Adjustment Decision for: CAV A/072/2024

Owner/Applicant	Agent	Location of Land
MC Oakvillage GP Inc c/o Andrew McLeod	N/A	PLAN M1211 BLK 7 & 8 3065, 3071, 3075, 3079 Trafalgar Rd Town of Oakville

This notice is to inform you that the request for variance made under Section 45(1) of the *Planning Act* has been **Approved** to authorize a minor variance to permit the construction of apartment buildings on the subject property proposing the following variance to Zoning By-law 2009-189:

No.	Current	Proposed
1	Section 8.65.2 a) The minimum number of parking spaces required for residential uses shall be 1 parking space per dwelling unit.	To reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required for residential uses to 0.90 parking spaces per dwelling unit.

The Committee of Adjustment considered the written submissions in opposition to the application in coming to this decision. Notwithstanding, the Committee is of the opinion that the variance is considered minor in nature, desirable for the use of the land and in keeping with the general intent of the town's official plan – Livable Oakville Plan and the zoning by-law, subject to:

- That the reduced residential parking rate be permitted in general accordance with the final approved Site Plan applications, to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services.
- That the Owner agrees to place a notification in all offers of purchase and sale (or an equivalent)
 advising prospective purchasers that there is very limited public on-street parking in the area and they
 should not rely on obtaining on-street parking permits to accommodate their parking needs.

M. Telawski Michael Telawski	-iJolun. Hardcastle_J. Hardcastle 8982ADBE1B294F9
S. MikhailAbsent	DocuSigned by: Думоку УонL. You L. You
S. Dickie	DocuSigned by: <u>Henther McCrae</u> H. McCrae Secretary Treasurer, Economication of Adjustment

Dated at the meeting held on May 1, 2024.

Last date of appeal of decision is May 21, 2024.

NOTE: It is important that the sign(s) remain on the property until a <u>FINAL</u> decision has been rendered regarding your Application. The sign shall be removed the day following the last date of appeal. This is a certified copy of the Committee of Adjustment final decision whereby no appeals filed.

~ MCGes patt

Heather McCrae, ACST Secretary-Treasurer

() OAKVILLE

100 Milverton Drive, Suite 404 Mississauga, ON L5R 4H1 Canada ghd.com



20 February 2025

Aquisha Khan, P. Eng., Transportation Engineer, East Oakville Transportation Planning Services, Town of Oakville

Re: Oakvillage Phase 4AB – Underground Parking Aisle Width Minor Variance

INTRODUCTION

GHD Ltd. has prepared the following assessment in support of the Minor Variance Application for the Oakvillage Phase 4AB development in the Town of Oakville.

The development is currently under construction with the underground parking nearing completion. The minor variance is mainly being sought to address concerns relating to servicing pipes located along the exterior walls adjacent to parking spaces on the east and west side of the first level of underground parking that encroach 0.6 to 0.7 metres into the parking spaces with heights ranging from 1.2 to 2.02 metres from ground level. In addition, variances are being sought to address the reduced width of corner parking spaces on the three levels of underground parking (P1, P2, and P3).

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The revised Site Plan, provided in **Figure 1** (P1), **Figure 2** (P2), and **Figure 3** (P3), identify the locations of the servicing pipes (in green), the reduced drive aisle widths as a result of the proposed shift of the parking stalls, as well as the corner parking spaces in which the variances are being sought for.



Figure 1 Proposed Site Plan (P1)





Figure 3 Proposed Site Plan (P3)

REASONS FOR THE MINOR VARIANCE

The minimum parking space dimensions in a parking garage and minimum aisle width requirement are provided in the Town of Oakville's Zoning By-law 2009-189. Section 5.4.1.3. Parking spaces within a parking garage are required to have a width of not less than 2.6 metres and a length of not less than 5.2 metres. Drive aisles that lead into 90-degree parking spaces are required to have a minimum width of 7.0 metres.

The survey, completed by R-PE Surveying Ltd., identifies the servicing pipe along the east side of the building that generally extends 0.70 metres into the building and the pipe on the east side of the building generally extending 0.60 metres into the building.

PROPOSED VARIANCES BEING SOUGHT

In order to maintain the parking spaces' minimum By-law required length of 5.2 metres, it is proposed to reduce the aisle width to 6.3 metres along the east drive aisle and a width of 6.4 metres along the west drive aisle.

The following variances are being sought through this application:

- 1. Drive Aisle Width Relief: Relief from the minimum 7.0-metre drive aisle width requirement to maintain the 5.2-metre parking stall length, ensuring proper functionality while terminating in front of the pipe on Level A.
- Encroachment Relief: Relief from the maximum 1.15-metre encroachment allowance into parking stalls on Level A, to accommodate existing structural pillars that encroach a maximum of 1.65 metres into these spaces.
- 3. Parking Stall Width Relief: Relief from the minimum parking stall width requirements for the following parking spaces:
 - Unit V16, V24, 14, 21, and 52 on Level A.
 - Unit 14 and 114 on Level B.
 - Unit 14 and 116 on Level C.

These variances are requested to address existing site constraints while maintaining parking functionality and ensuring compliance with operational needs.

SITE VISIT

Following a discussion with Town staff, a site visit was conducted to review the on-site conditions and a vehicle swept assessment was completed to confirm that the parking spaces would remain functional with the reduced driveway aisle width.

The figures below show vehicles with overall lengths of 5.5 metres and 4.9 metres parked adjacent to the servicing pipes. The back and front ends of the 5.5 metre vehicle reversing into the space are shown in **Figure 4** and **Figure 5**. The back and front ends of the 4.9 metre vehicle reversing into the space are shown in **Figure 6** and **Figure 7**, while the front and back ends of the vehicle pulling in forward to the space are shown in **Figure 8** and **Figure 9**.



Figure 4 5.5 metre Vehicle (Back End)



Figure 6 4.9 metre Vehicle, Back-in (Back End)



Figure 5 5.5 metre Vehicle (Front End)



Figure 7 4.9 metre Vehicle, Back-in (Front End)

4





Figure 8 4.9 metre Vehicle, Front-in (Front-End)

Figure 9 4.9 metre Vehicle, Front-in (Back End)

VEHICLE SWEPT PATH ASSESSMENT

GHD completed a swept path assessment for the reduced drive aisle width using the TAC Passenger Vehicle (P TAC) in order to demonstrate that the reduced drive aisle width being sough in the first variance continues to function properly.

The results of the analysis are provided in **Appendix A** and illustrate that the site can sufficiently accommodate the P TAC design vehicle with no issues.

PTAC Vehicle

The passenger vehicle circulating around the first level of underground parking (P1), including the ingress and egress movements at the ramp is provided in drawing AT-101. A small overlap occurs within the vicinity of the bottom of the ramp, however a convex mirror can be provided to ensure vehicles do not turn onto the ramp when an oncoming vehicle is traveling down the ramp.

The passenger vehicle entering and exiting the parking spaces adjacent to the reduced drive aisles are provided in drawing AT-102 and AT-103 for inbound and outbound maneuvers. No concerns have been identified with the assessment.

Typical Passenger Vehicle

The Passenger Vehicle (P TAC) is a standard design vehicle used for AutoTurn analysis for parking facilities. While the PTAC vehicle is referred to as a "Passenger Car" by TAC, this can be misleading as the general public typically associates "Passenger Cars" with sedans. However, this is not the case. As per TAC, "*The passenger car class includes compacts and subcompacts, all light vehicles, and all light delivery trucks (e.g., vans and pickups).*" (TAC, p.34). Therefore, this PTAC design vehicle represents SUVs, pickups, and large sedans such as Buicks.

"The dimensions used to represent design vehicles are not averages or maxima, nor are they limiting dimensions. They are characteristic of those vehicles on the roads that form the bulk of the fleet that are approaching maximum permissible dimensions" (TAC, p.23).

However, as TAC notes, the PTAC design does not represent an average vehicle size, but rather "*bulk of the fleet that are approaching maximum permissible dimensions*". Therefore, the expectation is that most

vehicles are sufficiently represented by the PTAC design vehicle, with only a small number of "over-sized" vehicles potentially exceeding these design dimensions.

For comparative reference, some of the best-selling vehicles in Canada for 2024, with their respective lengths, include the following:

- Toyota RAV4 (4.6 metres)
- Honda CR-V (4.7 metres)
- Nissan Rogue (4.6 metres)
- Honda Civic (4.7 metres)
- Ford Escape (4.6 metres)
- Hyundai Kona (4.4 metres)

For comparison purposes, a Vehicle Swept Path Analysis was conducted using a passenger vehicle with an overall width of 5.05 metres, representing a more typical vehicle, to provide a realistic assessment of the operational feasibility of the proposed reduced aisle widths under normal traffic conditions. This analysis evaluates whether the reduced aisle widths can comfortably accommodate everyday vehicle movements without conflict or operational concerns.

The appended Drawing AT-104 illustrates the swept path of a typical passenger vehicle circulating around the first level of underground parking (P1), including the ingress and egress movements at the ramp. No concerns have been identified with the assessment.

Drawing AT-105 and AT-106 illustrate a typical passenger vehicle entering and exiting the parking spaces adjacent to the reduced drive aisles. No concerns have been identified with the assessment.

The results confirm that the proposed reduced aisle width design supports smooth and safe manoeuvrability for typical passenger vehicles, complementing the findings of the analysis conducted for larger design vehicles.

PROPOSED VARIANCES

The proposed variances for the Oakvillage Phase 4AB development are required to address site-specific issues encountered during the construction of the parking garage, which has now been completed. Structural elements and servicing infrastructure have impacted the dimensions of parking stalls, making it impractical to fully conform to the zoning by-law. As the garage has already been constructed, modifications to address these issues are not feasible. Despite these constraints, the proposed variances ensure that the parking facility remains functional, safe, and accessible to users.

The following sections outline each specific variance requested, explaining the underlying reasons for the non-conformance, the design constraints encountered, and why it is not possible to modify the garage to meet by-law requirements.

Drive Aisle Width Relief

Relief is requested from the minimum 7.0-metre drive aisle width requirement to maintain the 5.2-metre parking stall length. This variance is required due to a design adjustment that shifted the row of parking spaces away from the wall to provide sufficient clearance for the servicing pipe. As a result of this adjustment, the parking aisle widths have been reduced adjacent to the relocated parking stalls. This adjustment ensures proper functionality of the parking stalls while accommodating the necessary termination in front of the servicing pipe on Level A. The reduced aisle widths were carefully evaluated, and the accompanying swept path analysis confirms that the proposed configuration maintains safe and effective vehicle maneuverability.

Encroachment Relief

Relief is requested from the Town's By-law requirement that parking space widths must increase by 0.3 metres for each side adjacent to a wall, column, or other obstruction when the obstruction is located more than 1.15 metres from either end of the parking stall. This variance is required due to the design adjustment that shifted the row of parking spaces away from the wall to provide sufficient clearance for the servicing

pipe causing the existing structural pillars to encroach a maximum of 1.65 metres into these spaces. These pillars, integral to the structural integrity of the garage, cannot be relocated or modified. As a result of this adjustment, the structural columns now encroach into the parking stalls and are situated more than 1.15 metres from the ends of the parking spaces, creating a technical non-compliance with the By-law.

In GHD's professional opinion, the proposed configuration remains functional and practical for users. If an obstruction prevents the full opening of a vehicle door, the driver can adopt alternative approaches, such as allowing passengers to exit the vehicle before parking or passengers exiting through an unobstructed door on the opposite side of the vehicle. These scenarios are common and manageable arrangements, particularly where the columns do not significantly obstruct the majority of the parking stall.

Despite the encroachment, functionality of the parking spaces has been maintained as demonstrated in the appended vehicle maneuvering diagrams.

Parking Stall Width Relief

Relief is requested from the Town's By-law requirement that parking space widths must increase by 0.3 metres for each side adjacent to a wall for the following specific parking spaces:

- Level A: Units V16, V24, 14, 21, and 52
- Level B: Units 14 and 114
- Level C: Units 14 and 116

These adjustments are necessitated by the aforementioned realignment of parking stalls to provide clearance for critical servicing infrastructure. The affected stalls have been analyzed to ensure they remain functional, with drivers retaining the ability to park and maneuver their vehicles safely. Additionally, practical solutions are available to mitigate minor constraints caused by adjacent obstructions.

Similar to the previously requested variance, drivers have the option to allow passengers to exit the vehicle before parking in spaces adjacent to any obstruction. This practical approach ensures that passengers can comfortably and safely exit the vehicle, even when one side of the vehicle may have limited clearance due to adjacent walls or columns. Additionally, as demonstrated in drawings AT-102 (specifically Unit V24 on Level A, Unit 114 on Level B, and Unit 116 on Level C), passenger vehicles can enter and exit these parking spaces without difficulty or operational concerns.

The design of these parking spaces has been carefully evaluated to ensure functional usability in the appended vehicle maneuvering diagrams. The turning radii and aisle width allow for manoeuvrability into and out of the stalls, and the encroachments from columns or walls are minimal and do not impede the vehicle's ability to park.

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis prepared by GHD, the proposed variances being sought will continue to accommodate both the PTAC design vehicle and typical passenger vehicle as demonstrated by the vehicle swept path assessment. The analysis confirms that vehicles can safely and efficiently manoeuvre within the underground parking area, including ingress and egress movements at the ramp and parking spaces.

To enhance visibility and minimize potential conflicts, a convex mirror is recommended at the bottom of the ramp to assist vehicles exiting and entering the underground ramp.

Additionally, to safeguard the servicing pipes from potential vehicle contact, it is recommended to install physical barriers that extend out from the wall beneath the pipes. These barriers would act as a protective buffer, ensuring vehicles do not inadvertently encroach into the pipe area. Positioned at an appropriate height to block vehicle bumpers while allowing free vehicle manoeuvrability, these barriers should be painted with high-visibility colours, such as yellow or reflective striping, to serve as a visual deterrent. Implementing these physical barriers will enhance the safety and longevity of the servicing infrastructure while maintaining the functionality and usability of the parking spaces. Signs can also be provided above the pipe to advise drivers about the servicing pipe.

The proposed reduced aisle widths, combined with these protective measures, will ensure a safe and efficient parking facility design.

Regards

Rohd Inden

Rafael Andrenacci, B. Eng, Transportation Planner



William Maria, P. Eng. Transportation Planning Lead

Appendix A Vehicle Swept Path Assessment



52	22	54	<u> </u>	20	27	00	6	0	<u>~</u>	12	21	14	St	91	24	α α
⊢ ,																
$\overline{=}$																







32	33	34	35	36	37	30	62	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	α

meter

: 2.00

: 2.00

: 35,9

Size ANSI D

W.M W.M 1/22/25

Checked Approved Date

32	5	34	35	36	37	00 M	6 2	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	α
KING 4AB\AutoTL	IRNs\UG PARKIN	G 4AB AT V3.dwa														

Project Oakvillage	e Phase 4AB
Date January 22, 2025	Scale NTS
Project No. -	
^{Title} VEHICLE MANE DIAGRA PASSENGER (PARKING - OU	EUVERING M - VEHICLE ITBOUND)
	Sheet No. Sheet

32	23	34	35	30	37	00 M	6 M	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	40
		C 1AP AT V3 dwg														

Conditions of Use This document and the ideas and of professional service, is the pro by GHD's client (and any othe document) for the purpose for w any other person or for any other	d designs incorporated herein, as an instrument perty of GHD. This document may only be used r person who GHD has agreed can use this which it was prepared and must not be used by purpose.
CLICENSED	of ESS $IO_{N_{4/2}}$ an. 22, 2025 V.C. MARIA V_{CE} OF ONT^{R}
5.05	
0.99 2.87	
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	plorer 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 ngle : 33.9
1 First Submission No. Issue	W.M W.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date
Author R.A	Designer R.A
Drafting Check W.M	Design Check W.M
Project Manager	Project W.M Director
Client Mint	o Group
Project Oakvilla	ige Phase 4AB
Date January 22, 2025	Scale NTS
Project No.	
- Title	Size

						T										
32	22	54	35	36	37	00 M	30	40	4	42	43	44	42	46	47	0
																+

meters

: 2,00 : 1,96

: 6.0

: 33,9

Size ANSI D

32	33	34	35	36	37	00 M	6 2	40	4	42	43	44	45	46	47	400
		C AND AT V2 dwg														

any other person or for any other p	purpose.
PROS NJO NJO NJO NJO NJO NJO NJO NJO NJO NJO	of ESSIONAL an. 22, 2025 I.C. MARIA CE OF ONTRAC
5.05	
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	plorer 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 ngle : 33.9
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	plorer 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 ngle : 33.9
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	<pre> ploner 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 ock Time : 6.0 rgle : 33.9 W.M W.M 1/22/25 W.M W.M 1/22/25 </pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A	<pre> ploner 2019 meters : 2.00 : 1.96 : 6.0 rgle : 33.9 W.M W.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date </pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> No. Issue Author R.A Drafting W.M	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Project W.M	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Droject W.M Droject W.M Check W.M	<pre> cplorer 2019 meters</pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Project W.M Check W.M Project W.M	<pre>xplorer 2019 meters 2,00 2,1,96 ck Time : 6,0 ngle : 333,9 W.M U.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date Designer R.A Design W.M Project W.M Director W.M Director W.M </pre>
Ford Ex Width Track Lock to Lo Steering A <u>1 First Submission</u> <u>No. Issue</u> Author R.A Drafting W.M Project W.M Check W.M Project W.M Droject W.M Droject M.M Droject M.M Droject M.M	<pre>splorer 2019 meters 2.00 2.1.96 pock Time = 6.0 ngle = 33.9 W.M W.M 1/22/25 Checked Approved Date Designer R.A Design W.M Project W.M Director W.M Secolor W</pre>