

APPENDIX C

Susan Schappert

From: Peter Graham
Sent:
To:
Cc: [EXTERNAL] RE: Draft Old Oakville Heritage Conservation District Plan and Guidelines
Subject: for review

Hi Susan,

Great to e-meet you. I did a quick run through, so apologies if I've missed content relevant to my concerns.

p. 19-Re "Sixteen Mile Creek and the surrounding area is part of the traditional territories of the Anishinaabe and Haudenosaunee peoples. The Anishinaabe people known to the settlers as 'Mississaugas' called the river Nanzuhzaugewazog meaning 'having two outlets', a reference to the shallow, gravelly mouth dividing the river in two. The Mississauga ceded their lands on the Sixteen Mile Creek under Treaty 22 on February 8, 1820, to the British Crown as part ongoing European colonization and settlement of Indigenous territories. The confluence at the mouth of Sixteen Mile Creek and Lake Ontario continues to hold significance for the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation."

Per above, please add something along these lines, suggested as the second sentence: The British Crown recognized this area as Haudenosaunee land in the 1701 Fort Albany Nanfan Treaty and Six Nations' rights to these lands have never been ceded.

Per final sentence in above, either equalize or add "special" significance for MCFN as it also continues to hold significance for SNGR.

Section 2, p. 21-I think it's beneficial moving the Indigenous inhabitants piece upwards, prior to the more colonialist heritage points. I always prefer naming when possible and, as it's pre-contact, Attawandaron make sense. To set the scene, a brief blurb about how First Nations in general used the land would be nice – i.e. activities described in the frontmatter of many archaeological reports.

Per p. 49, please ensure SNGR is involved in conversations to "Integrate historic and current Indigenous knowledge, traditions, activities and use into public open spaces" and similar like p. 115.

Overall, I'd like more green guidance, primarily as a nod towards the First Nation cultural heritage landscape, but also recognizing aesthetic restrictions for colonial architecture limit some environmentally-friendly practices like solar:

-There doesn't appear to be anything about break walls. Armour stone should be discouraged in favour of natural, or at least softer, solutions.

-native plants and trees should be encouraged

-enhanced protection for mature trees would be valuable. Cutting any in the area should require a permit, including the backyards of private properties.

Thank you, Peter

From: Susan Schappert <susan.schappert@oakville.ca>

Subject: [External] Draft Old Oakville Heritage Conservation District Plan and Guidelines for review

Hi Peter

Thanks very much for taking the time to review this. While you're welcome to review and provide comments on the entire document, I think the sections you would be most interested in are Sections 2 and the Character Areas in Section 5. The document is pretty large, even as a pdf, so I'm sending two separate emails – this email has the main body of the Plan and the second email will have the appendices.

Most of the wording we have regarding Indigenous history is taken from the Oakville Harbour Cultural Heritage Landscape reports from 2018-2019. Josh Dent from Timmins Martel Heritage Consultants worked with Joanne Thomas from Six Nations on those reports, just to give you a bit of background. We're always open for updated wording - so if anything has changed since then, that is completely fine and we would welcome the opportunity to include more appropriate language.

I look forward to working with you in the future!

Sincerely
Susan

**Susan Schappert, (She/Her), CAHP, MCIP, RPP
Heritage Planner, District West/East
Planning & Development**

Town of Oakville | 905-845-6601, ext. 3870 | f: 905-338-4414 | www.oakville.ca

Vision: A vibrant and livable community for all

Please consider the environment before printing this email.
<http://www.oakville.ca/privacy.html>