Appendix E to Staff Report

PIM Minutes

Public Meeting - Sixth Line - January 30, 2023

Panelists

Mehedi Khan, Dunpar Kathy Kakish, Dunpar Jeff Knoll (Councillor) (listened but did not speak)

Presentation was made by Dunpar

Mehedi Khan (Dunpar) – went through Dunpar's presentation for Sixth Line (Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application) *mentioned that this presentation is available on request

Bobby – The development is not in line with the existing development; road network proposed is not going to make a difference because it will funnel out to sixth line. To much parking that we are proposing.

Kathy Kakish: Developments in the area: North of Oakville place, Townhouse development similar to this development.

Mehedi Khan: Dunpar has contacted a consultant for transportation and traffic.

Bobby: does not agree with the proposed development comparison; Roads are already congested with traffic on Leighland and Sixth line. Schools are going to be impacted by the number of new residents that this development will contribute to.

Mehedi: School boards will be consulted by application circulation.

Jess — Not many changes from 2015, still a number of TH proposed. Why do medium density, as per the Liveable Plan, Oakville

Mehedi: it has been reduced to 57, shift for good planning and provide the housing for the missing middle; Direction from the province, density is needed for this area, close to MTSA.

Jess - Sixth line is busy; we want Dunpar to stay within the density that is what it permitted

today. Mehedi: it's a beautiful neighbourhood and we plan to keep it that way.

Kathy: we are providing the Town with a TIS, and in the future any public meetings held, our transportation consultant will be attending.

: You cannot use the private lane way; I sent a letter to

Tim

Kathy/Mehedi: driveway loop, the private lane is not required.

Andrew, (did not provide address): Based on the current policies in the Liveable Plan, Central Oakville, contains special provisions for our area which protects for low rise lots. Min lot size is large in this area are large, medium density is not appropriate – Subsection in the plan states: intended to protect unique area, protect the integrity of the large lots. Should not be able to build more than 10 lots per ha. This special policy area needs to be respected.

Kathy: It's a public process and it will go to Council for approval; at this time, our development only consideration for TH; meet the middle housing

Andrew: MTSA policies is appreciated, but there is intensification that needs to be respected, but not in this location with the special provisions that are in place. Hope you take my comments.

Imran – development changes the character of the neighborhood; the environmental features will be lost.

Mehedi – We are maintaining many of the natural heritage features of the site.

Eva/Andrew – **Large** lots, we invested in our money here. I don't want to live in a neighborhood with this development. We do not support this development. Speed limits at 50KM, people are speeding, the driveway that you are proposed will make this area unsafe. Please reconsider your application.

Steve - Concerns with safety, (corner at sixth line and North Service Road) accidents will increase with more cars. Work with Low density development

Mehedi – put this forward to our transportation consultant; we will relay information in terms of bicycle; walking and vehicle traffic

Bela Raffale – expressed her frustrations about the process of the planning process based on her experience. Submission to the committee of adjustment. She does not support the number of houses in this area. Safety is a concern.

Mehedi: we have not submitted this application yet. This is a Town process. Different applications

Jess — What is the reason that Dunpar does not want to remain in the existing zoning density.

Mehedi – missing middle housing is desirable and appropriate for this area.

Jess – why don't you buy property with the zoning you need? Where is the Councillor, we want him to comment.

Kathy Kakish – At the start of the meeting, the Councillor said that he was in Council and is monitoring the meeting. We also explained the planning process and that there will be another opportunity to provide comment on the application.

G.K – When was the last time Traffic counts were reviewed.

Mehedi – Our TIS will have reviewed all traffic counts and will address the proposed new circulation of vehicles generated with this development.

Stephen – TIS can it be shared?

Mehedi – all the reports and plans will be shared online on the Town's website.