COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

MINOR VARIANCE REPORT

STATUTORY AUTHORITY: Section 45 of the Planning Act, 1990

APPLICATION: CAV A/125/2022 RELATED FILE: N/A

DATE OF MEETING: BY VIDEOCONFERENCE AND LIVE-STREAMING VIDEO ON THE TOWN'S WEBPAGE AT OAKVILLE.CA ON TUESDAY, AUGUST 30, 2022 AT 7:00 P.M.

Owner (s)	<u>Agent</u>	Location of Land
DW CUSTOM HOMES INC.	PAUL DEMCZAK	472 SEATON DR
472 SEATON DR	BATORY MANAGEMENT	PLAN 852 LOT 172
OAKVILLE ON, L6L 3Y4	655 ANNLAND ST	
	PICKERING ON, L1W 1A9	

OFFICIAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL ZONING: RL3-0 WARD: 1 DISTRICT: West

APPLICATION:

Under Section 45(1) of the *Planning Act*, the applicant is requesting the Committee of Adjustment to authorize a minor variance to permit the construction of a two-storey detached dwelling on the subject property proposing the following variance(s):

	No.	Zoning By-law Regulation	Variance Request
Ī	1	Section 6.4.1 The maximum residential floor	To permit the maximum residential floor area ratio
		area ratio for a detached dwelling on a lot with a lot area between 650.00 m ² and 742.99 m ² shall be 41% (286.87 m ²); (Lot area is 699.68 m ²).	for the <i>detached dwelling</i> to be 43.80% (306.48 m ²).

CIRCULATED DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES COMMENTS RECEIVED

Planning Services;

(Note: Planning Services includes a consolidated comment from the relevant district teams including, Current, Long Range and Heritage Planning, Urban Design and Development Engineering)

CAV A/125/2022 – 472 Seaton Drive (East District) (OP Designation: Low Density Residential)

The applicant is proposing to construct a two-storey detached dwelling subject to the variance above.

The neighbourhood is characterized by one-storey dwellings original to the area and many twostorey new construction marking it as a neighbourhood in transition. The subject property is located at a bend in Seaton Drive resulting in a corner property condition.

The subject lands are designated Low Density Residential in the Official Plan. Development within stable residential communities shall be evaluated against the criteria in Section 11.1.9 to

ensure new development will maintain and protect the existing neighbourhood character. The proposal was evaluated against the criteria established under Section 11.1.9, and the following criteria apply:

Policies 11.1.9 a), b), and h) state:

- "a) The built form of development, including scale, height, massing, architectural character and materials, is to be compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood.
- b) Development should be compatible with the setbacks, orientation and separation distances within the surrounding neighbourhood.
- h) Impacts on the adjacent properties shall be minimized in relation to grading, drainage, location of service areas, access and circulation, privacy, and microclimatic conditions such as shadowing."

Variance #1 – Residential Floor Area Ratio (Supported)

The applicant is requesting relief from Zoning By-law 2014-014, as amended, to permit an increase in the maximum residential floor area ratio from 41% (286.87 square metres) to 43.80% (306.48 square metres) for an increase of 19.61 square metres. The intent of regulating the residential floor area is to prevent a dwelling from having a mass and scale that appears larger than the dwellings in the surrounding neighbourhood. The overall mass and the scale of the proposed dwelling is mitigated by the design such as articulation of the façade. Front, side and rear porches serve as one-storey elements which assists to break up the massing of the dwelling. The dwelling is compatible and in keeping with the pattern of new development in the neighbourhood. Staff are of the opinion that the requested increase in residential floor area is minor and this variance will not have a negative impact on adjacent properties or the surrounding area.

On this basis, it is staff's opinion that the requested variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law as the proposed dwelling is in keeping with the character of the neighbourhood. Further, the variance is minor in nature and appropriate for the development of the site as there are no negative impacts to abutting properties or the streetscape.

Conclusion:

In summary, based on the application as submitted, Staff are of the opinion that the application satisfies the applicable tests under the Planning Act. Should the Committee concur with staff's opinion, the following conditions are requested:

- 1. That the dwelling be built in general accordance with the submitted site plan and elevation drawings dated April 10, 2022; and
- 2. That the approval expires two (2) years from the date of the decision if a building permit has not been issued for the proposed construction.

The planning basis for the conditions are as follows, in keeping with the numbering of the conditions above:

1. Building in general accordance with the submitted site plan and elevation drawings is required to ensure what is requested and ultimately approved, is built on site. This provides assurance and transparency through the process, noting the documents that are submitted with the application, provide the actual planning, neighbourhood and site

basis for the request for the variances, and then the plans to be reviewed through the building permit and construction processes.

2. A two (2) year timeframe allows the owner to obtain building permit approval for what is ultimately approved within a reasonable timeframe of the application being heard by the Committee of Adjustment based on the requirements when it is processed, but cognizant of the ever-changing neighbourhoods, policies and regulations which might then dictate a different result. Furthermore, if a building permit is not obtained within this timeframe, a new application would be required and subject to the neighbourhood notice circulation, public comments, applicable policies and regulations at that time.

<u>Fire:</u> COA. Maximum floor area ratio increase from 41% to 43.80%. No issues noted for Fire. DL

Transit: Comments not received.

Halton Region: CAV A/125/2022- Dw Custom Homes Inc., 472 Seaton Drive

 Regional staff has no objection to the proposed minor variance application seeking relief under Section 45(1) of the Planning Act in order to permit an increase in maximum residential floor area ratio for the detached dwelling, under the requirements of the Town of Oakville Zoning By-law, for the purpose of constructing a two-storey detached dwelling on the subject property.

Oakville Hydro: No Objections.

Bell Canada: Comments not received.

Union Gas: Comments not received.

Letter(s) in support – None.

Letter(s) in opposition – None.

General notes for all applications:

<u>Note:</u> The following standard comments apply to all applications. Any additional application specific comments are as shown below.

- The applicant is advised that permits may be required should any proposed work be carried out on the property i.e. site alteration permit, pool enclosure permit, tree preservation, etc.
- The applicant is advised that permits may be required from other departments / authorities (e.g. Engineering and Construction, Building Services, Conservation Halton, etc.) should any proposed work be carried out on the property.
- The applicant is advised that any current or future proposed works that may affect existing trees (private or municipal) will require an arborist report.
- The applicant is advised that any current or future proposed works will require the removal of all encroachments from the public road allowance to the satisfaction of the Engineering and Construction Department.
- The applicant is advised that the comments provided pertain only to zoning and are not to be construed as a review or approval of any proposal for the site. This review will be carried out through the appropriate approval process at which time the feasibility/scope of the works will be assessed.

Requested conditions from circulated agencies:

- 1. That the dwelling be built in general accordance with the submitted site plan and elevation drawings dated April 10, 2022; and
- 2. That the approval expires two (2) years from the date of the decision if a building permit has not been issued for the proposed construction.

J. Ladouisoul

Jasmina Radomirovic Assistant Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment