April 5, 2022

Good morning Tricia

Malvinder has requested that I contact you regarding his development on Lot 70. The working group discussions and the communications to our respective owners has focused on the maximum height of 45 metres (only 2 metres taller) than the previously approved project and the number of units. The number of levels/storeys within that maximum height has not been a relevant topic.

If you have further questions please do not hesitate to contact me. Sandra Montgomery

April 1, 2022

Hi Tricia - with reference to Lot 70 and the virtual meeting that took place late last year/earlier this year.

The fact that there will be a building occupying the empty hole is a good idea, however, what troubles most residents at Building 60 and I is the number of units that are being considered within the building which I understand is approximately 150 as opposed to the original plan of 30+ units.

I recall Sandra Montgomery, a non-resident (I understand) from the Building 60 Board, made a statement that did not sit well with most residents of Building 60 including myself.

The statement that I heard on the call that was made by Sandra Montgomery on behalf of the residents of Building 60, was confusing and new to myself and most residents - she mentioned that "the residents of Building 60 were in agreement with the revised proposal of Lot 70" which I and most residents found surprising. We do not recall being surveyed or invited to a meeting discussing the context of Lot 70 and therefore I and other residents of Building 60 do not agree with the statement made by Sandra Montgomery.

I/we are not sure why such a statement was made by Sandra Montgomery on behalf of the residents of Building 60, especially without our permission.

That statement should be removed from the minutes of the meeting.

Hoping to have a mutually beneficial decision made (between the Builder and the residents of Building 60) regarding Lot 70 soon - as the current site is not a favourable site.

thank you