COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

MINOR VARIANCE REPORT

STATUTORY AUTHORITY: Section 45 of the Planning Act, 1990

APPLICATION: CAV A/001/2022

RELATED FILE: N/A

DATE OF MEETING:

BY VIDEOCONFERENCE AND LIVE-STREAMING VIDEO ON THE TOWN'S WEBPAGE AT OAKVILLE.CA ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 25, 2022 AT 7:00 P.M.

Owner/Applicant	Agent	Location of Land
Dan & Janice Madon	John Willmott Architect Inc	PLAN 19 PT LOT 14
1087 Birchview Avenue	c/o Craig Esposti	21 Allan Street
Oakville ON L6J 2C5	3-594 Chartwell Road	Town of Oakville
	Oakville ON L6J 4A5	

OFFICIAL PLAN DESIGNATION: Low Density Residential WARD: 3

ZONING: RL3 sp12 DISTRICT: East

APPLICATION:

Under Section 45(1) of the *Planning Act*, the applicant is requesting the Committee of Adjustment to authorize a minor variance to permit the construction of a two-storey detached dwelling on the subject property proposing the following variance(s):

No.	Zoning By-law Regulation	Variance Request
1	Table 4.3 (Row 3) The maximum	To permit the maximum encroachment into
	encroachment into a minimum yard for	a <i>minimum yard</i> for the awning/canopy
	eaves and gutters shall be 0.6 m.	(trellis of the tea house) to be 0.85m.
2	Table 4.3 (Row 7) The maximum	To permit the maximum encroachment into
	encroachment into a <i>minimum yard</i> for	the <i>minimum front yard</i> for the window well
	window wells with a maximum width of 1.8 metres shall be 0.6m.	with a maximum width of 8.96 metres to be 0.5m.
3	Section 5.8.2 c) iii) The maximum width	To permit the maximum width of the
-	of a <i>driveway</i> shall be 9.0 metres for a <i>lot</i>	driveway to be 10.36 metres for a lot having
	having a lot frontage equal to or greater	a lot frontage equal to or greater than 18.0
	than 18.0 metres.	metres.
4	Section 5.8.2 g) Notwithstanding section	To permit two walkway accesses connected
	5.8.2 c), one walkway access may be	to one side of a <i>driveway</i> . One being 2.4m
	connected to each side of a <i>driveway</i> . The	the second being 2.6m in width at the point
	maximum width of the walkway access at	of attachment.
	the point of attachment shall be 1.8	
_	metres.	
5	Section 5.8.6 b) For detached dwellings	To permit the maximum total <i>floor area</i> for
	on <i>lots</i> having greater than or equal to 12.0 metres in <i>lot frontage</i> , the maximum	the <i>private garage</i> to be 88.46 square metres on a <i>lot</i> having greater than or equal to 12.0
	total floor area for a private garage shall	metres in lot frontage.
	be 45.0 square metres.	metres in for nontage.
6	Table 6.3.1 (Row 3, Column RL3) The	To permit a minimum front yard of 1.35 m to
	minimum front yard shall be 7.5 m.	an accessory structure (tea house).
7	Table 6.3.1 (Row 6, Column RL3) The	To permit a <i>minimum rear yard</i> of 1.22 m.
	<i>minimum rear yard</i> shall be 7.5 m.	

CIRCULATED DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES COMMENTS RECEIVED

Planning Services:

(Note: Planning Services includes a consolidated comment from the relevant district teams including, Current, Policy and Heritage Planning, Urban Design and Development Engineering)

CAV A/001/2022 - 21 Allan St (East District) (OP Designation: Low Density Residential)

The applicant proposes to construct a two-storey detached dwelling subject to the variances listed above.

The neighbourhood consists of two storey dwellings that are original to the area and two-storey dwellings that are newly constructed. Many of the existing dwellings in the area vary in design and are located on different lot sizes. The property is adjacent to Lake Ontario and is therefore subject to a concurrent Site Plan application (SP.1713.045/01). The applicant has already been through two circulations and have made modifications to address staff comments.

The subject property is designated under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act* as part of the First and Second Street Heritage Conservation District. The property contains a non-heritage detached house and detached garage. The property previously contained a historic teahouse which is proposed to be reconstructed.

The proposed new house has been assessed by Heritage Planning staff and the Heritage Oakville Advisory Committee and a final heritage permit is still required and is recommended as a condition of approval. The proposal, and its associated minor variance requests, have been supported from a heritage perspective. The proposed house has been designed and situated in a way that allows important views from Allan Street to the lake to be maintained and expanded. The architectural style and materials of the house are compatible with the surrounding heritage properties and meet the requirements of the First and Second Street Heritage Conservation District Plan. The three-car attached garage has been designed in a way that camouflages the third bay, minimizing its visual prominence. While larger than permitted through zoning, the garage is reminiscent of a 1 1/2 storey coach house, a type of structure found throughout the town's residential heritage conservation districts.

The reconstruction of the teahouse supports the conservation of this significant structure, once associated with the Romain Estate which at one time included this property. The proposed location of the teahouse is important as it helps to retain its prominence on the site, as well as its historic use as a landscape feature overlooking the lake.

Heritage Planning staff support the minor variance application subject to the owner obtaining a Heritage Permit.

The subject lands are designated Low Density Residential in the Official Plan. Development within stable residential communities shall be evaluated against the criteria in Section 11.1.9 to ensure new development will maintain and protect the existing neighbourhood character. The proposal was evaluated against the criteria established under Section 11.1.9, and the following criteria apply:

Policies 11.1.9 a), b), and h) state:

"a) The built form of development, including scale, height, massing, architectural character and materials, is to be compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood.

b) Development should be compatible with the setbacks, orientation and separation distances within the surrounding neighbourhood.

h) Impacts on the adjacent properties shall be minimized in relation to grading, drainage, location of service areas, access and circulation, privacy, and microclimatic conditions such as shadowing."

Variances #1 and #6 relate to the reconstruction of the historic tea house. This new location was proposed in consultation with Conservation Halton and Heritage Planning staff and maintains the prominence of the historical structure.

Variance #1 - Awning / Canopy Yard Encroachment (Supported)

The applicant is requesting relief from Zoning By-law 2014-014, as amended, to permit an encroachment into the minimum yard for the awning/canopy (trellis of the tea house) of 0.85 metres when a maximum of 0.6 m is permitted.

Variance #6 – Minimum Front Yard (Supported)

The applicant is requesting relief from Zoning By-law 2014-014, as amended, to permit a decrease in minimum front yard setback from 7.5 metres to 1.35 metres. The front yard is measured from the front property line to the main wall of the proposed tea house. The main dwelling is setback 7.78 metres from the front lot line. The intent of regulating the front yard setback is to ensure a relatively uniform setback along the street. In this instance, the subject property is located on the lake and the front lot line. As a result, the tea house will not have a negative impact on the conformity of setbacks along the street.

Variance #2 – Window Well Encroachment (Supported)

The applicant is seeking relief from Zoning By-law 2014-04, as amended, to permit a window well to have a maximum width of 8.96 metres and encroach 0.5 metres into the minimum front yard when a window well is permitted to have a maximum width of 1.8 metres and encroach a maximum of 0.6 metres. The intent of regulating window wells is to allow for adequate drainage and passage through a yard so that the window well does not impede access and to allow for adequate open space and landscaping. In this instance, the window well will not impede access and adequate landscaping and drainage will be able to occur on the property.



Variances #3, #4 and #5 are all related to the garage design.

An attached three car garage has been proposed for the new dwelling. This requires a variance for an increase in driveway width and garage area. The garage has been treated to appear as a two car garage, with the third bay doors designed to appear to blend in with the adjacent windows of the breezeway entrance. In a similar fashion, the driveway is designed to appear as a 2 car driveway, with the driveway approaching the third bay treated in a different material with a combination of drivable sod & landscaped stone boarder. The result is that from the street, it reads as a 2 car garage. The driveway is reduced in width at the street to 3.66m, further lessening the feeling of the garage & driveway dominating the streetscape. Additional tree planting is proposed along the north property line in addition to the existing cedar hedgerow, to mitigate any impact to the neighbour to the north.

The proposed design satisfies the following guidelines from the First and Second Street Heritage Conservation District Plan that apply to garages as part of new development on 'noncontributing' (non historic) properties:

4.3.3.10 Garages

Guideline 4.3.3.10.1, "Attached, integral and detached garage forms may be permitted on Non-Contributing Properties, providing they respond respectfully and appropriately to the shape of the lot and the architectural style and form of the main building."

Guideline 4.3.3.10.2, "New garages shall be located and massed so as to minimize their visibility from the public realm

- a. Garages shall be lower in profile than the main building, and be complementary in design, materials and colour.
- b. Use landscaping treatments to shield garages from view. (See Section 4.4.1 Guidelines for Landscape Conservation and Design Private Property)
- c. Locate attached and integral garages on rear or secondary elevations of the main building. When located on side elevations, attached garages should be set back from the main elevation.
- d. Locate detached garages to the rear or side of the main building, set back substantially from the main elevation.
- e. Garage doors and windows shall reflect the style of those on the main building
- f. Garage door openings should be single car width, with separated overhead doors in the case of a double vehicle garage."

Variance #3 – Maximum Driveway Width (Supported)

The applicant is seeking relief from Zoning By-law 2014-04, as amended, to permit an increased driveway width from 9.0 metres to 10.36 metres. The intent of regulating the driveway width in the Zoning By-law is to minimize the amount of paved surface in the front yard visible to the public realm.

Variance #4 – Walkway Adjacent to Driveway (Supported)

The applicant is seeking relief from Zoning By-law 2014-04, as amended, to permit two walkway accesses connected to one side of a driveway, one being 2.4 metres and the second being 2.6 metres in width at the point of the attachment.

Variance #5 – Private Garage Area (Supported)

The applicant requests relief from Zoning By-law 2014-014, as amended, to permit an increase in maximum garage floor area from 45 square metres to 88.46 square metres for a total increase of 43.6 square metres. The intent of regulating the garage floor area is to prevent the

garage from being a visually dominant feature of the dwelling. As discussed above, the garage has been designed to reduce the impact of the garage on the public realm and it meets the intent of the First and Second Street Heritage Conservation District Plan.

Variance #7 – Minimum Rear Yard (Supported)

The applicant requests relief from Zoning By-law 2014-014, as amended, to reduce the minimum rear yard setback from 7.5 metres to 1.22 metres for a decrease of 6.28 metres which is measured from the rear lot line, to the rear of the proposed garage. The lot has a unique configuration, both fronting onto Allan Street, and facing Lake Ontario. According to the Zoning By-law, the front yard is the westerly yard that fronts onto Allan Street, while the house is generally oriented facing north, with the rear yard backing onto Lake Ontario. This orientation is consistent with the neighbouring property to the east oriented in a similar fashion. The rear yard (easterly property line) is functioning as a side yard based on the orientation of the dwelling. As a result, staff are of the opinion that the requested variance is minor and will not have a negative impact on adjacent or surrounding properties.

Subject property access:



Existing driveway and garage:



Existing dwelling:



Existing side yard and view of the lake:



On this basis, it is staff's opinion that the requested variances maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law as it results in a building that maintains the character of the neighbourhood. Further, the variances are minor in nature and appropriate for the development of the site as there are no negative impacts to abutting properties or the streetscape.

Conclusion:

In summary, based on the application as submitted, staff are of the opinion that the application satisfies all four tests under the *Planning Act.* Should the Committee concur with staff's opinion, the following condition is requested:

- 1. That the dwelling and accessory building be constructed in accordance with the final approved Site Plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services; and
- 2. That the approval be subject to Heritage Permit approval;
- 3. That the approval expires two (2) years from the date of the decision if a building permit has not been issued for the proposed construction.

The planning basis for the conditions are as follows, in keeping with the numbering of the conditions above:

- Building in general accordance with the submitted final approved Site Plan drawings is required to ensure what is requested and ultimately approved, is built on site. This provides assurance and transparency through the process, noting the documents that are submitted with the application, provide the actual planning, neighbourhood and site basis for the request for the variances, and then the plans to be reviewed through the building permit and construction processes.
- 2. This will ensure that all materials and details have been approved by the Heritage Permit Committee.
- 3. A two (2) year timeframe allows the owner to obtain building permit approval for what is ultimately approved within a reasonable timeframe of the application being heard by the Committee of Adjustment based on the requirements when it is processed, but cognizant of the ever-changing neighbourhoods, policies and regulations which might then dictate a different result. Furthermore, if a building permit is not obtained within this timeframe, a new application would be required and subject to the neighbourhood notice circulation, public comments, applicable policies and regulations at that time.

Fire: SFD. FD Access Acceptable. No concerns to submit

Transit: No Comment

Finance: None

Halton Region:

- It is understood that the proposed minor variance is incidental to an active Site Plan application.
- As an advisory, the subject site has archaeological potential. Although the property has already been disturbed with an existing development, should deeply buried archaeological artifacts or remains be found on the subject lands during construction activities, the Heritage Operations Unit of the Ontario Ministry of Heritage, Sports, Tourism, and Culture Industries (MHSTCI) should be notified immediately.
- The majority of the subject property falls within Conservation Halton (CH) regulated area and is along Lake Ontario shoreline. Conservation Halton (CH) Staff should be consulted for their comments and satisfied with the proposed development prior to approval of the variance.
- Regional staff has no objection to the proposed minor variance application seeking relief under Section 45(1) of the Planning Act in order to permit an increase in the maximum encroachment into a minimum yard for an awning/canopy, an increase in the maximum encroachment into a minimum front yard for a window well, an increase in the maximum width of the driveway, an increase in the number of walkway accesses connected to one side of a driveway, to increase the maximum total floor area for a private garage, a decrease in the minimum front yard, and a decrease in the minimum rear yard, under the requirements of the Town of Oakville

Zoning By-law, for the purpose of constructing a two-storey detached dwelling on the subject property.

Conservation Halton:

Re: Minor Variance Application File Number: CAV A/001/2022 21 Allan Street, Town of Oakville Dan Madon (Owner)

Conservation Halton (CH) staff has reviewed the above-noted application as per our responsibilities under *Ontario Regulation 162/06*; the *Provincial Policy Statement* (PPS) (delegated responsibility for comments relating to provincial interests under Sections 3.1.1-3.1.7 inclusive); the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU, 1999) with Halton Region; and as a public body under the *Planning Act*. These responsibilities are not mutually exclusive. Comments that pertain to items contained in the MOU may also apply to areas regulated under *Ontario Regulation 162/06*.

The following comments relate to the items marked as "applicable" for this specific application. Comments under *Ontario Regulation 162/06* are clearly identified and are requirements. Other comments are advisory.

Ontario Regulation 162/06	Applicable
Lake Ontario/Burlington Bay/Hamilton Harbour Shoreline Hazards &/or allowances River and Stream Valley Hazards (flooding/erosion) &/or allowances Wetlands &/or Other Areas* Hazardous Lands (Unstable Soil/Unstable Bedrock) CH Permit Requirements	
One Window Delegated Authority under PPS	
Natural Hazards (Sections 3.1.1-3.1.7 inclusive)	\boxtimes
<u>CA/MOU</u>	
Impacts on Lakes and Rivers	
Wildlife Habitat Endangered & Threatened Species	
Fish Habitat	
Stormwater Management (as per Schedule I)	
Sub-watershed Planning/Master Drainage Planning	
Other Comments (as a Public Body)	
Niagara Escarpment Plan	
Watershed Plan Greenbelt Plan	
Source Protection Plan	
Hamilton Harbour Remedial Action Plan	

*Other areas are areas where development could interfere with the hydrologic function of a wetland, including areas within 120 m of all provincially significant wetlands and wetlands greater than or equal to 2 ha in size, and areas within 30 m of wetlands less than 2 ha in size.

Proposal

To permit the construction of a two-storey replacement single detached dwelling on the subject property proposing the following variance(s):

1. To permit the maximum encroachment into a minimum yard for the awning/canopy (trellis of the tea house) to be 0.85m.

- 2. To permit the maximum encroachment into the minimum front yard for the window well with a maximum width of 8.96 metres to be 0.5m.
- 3. To permit the maximum width of the driveway to be 10.36 metres for a lot having a lot frontage equal to or greater than 18.0 metres.
- 4. To permit two walkway accesses connected to one side of a driveway. One being 2.4m the second being 2.6m in width at the point of attachment.
- 5. To permit the maximum total floor area for the private garage to be 88.46 square metres on a lot having greater than or equal to 12.0 metres in lot frontage.
- 6. To permit a minimum front yard of 1.35 m to an accessory structure (tea house).
- 7. To permit a minimum rear yard of 1.22 m.

Ontario Regulation 162/06

CH regulates all watercourses, valleylands, wetlands, Lake Ontario and Hamilton Harbour shoreline and hazardous lands, as well as lands adjacent to these features. The subject property is adjacent to the shoreline of Lake Ontario and contains the associated erosion hazards. Under *Ontario Regulation 162/06*, except where allowed under CH Policies, development is prohibited within lands adjacent to the shoreline of Lake Ontario that may be affected by flooding, erosion, or dynamic beach hazards. Permission is required from CH prior to undertaking any development within CH's regulated area and must meet CH's *Policies and Guidelines for the Administration of Ontario Regulation 162/06* (https://conservationhalton.ca/policies-and-guidelines). *Proposal Background and Outstanding Comments*

On November 12, 2019, CH issued Permit No. 7341 (CH file number S/19/O/25) for replacement of the shoreline protection works at the subject property, which has been completed. However, as will be discussed below, additional works were done on site that did not have approval from CH.

A CH Permit will be required for the proposed works. Staff note that the applicant has submitted a CH permit application (CH file number A/20/O/51) for the proposed works, which is on hold until other planning approvals are granted (i.e. Site Plan, Minor Variances). Staff reviewed the development setbacks for the proposed dwelling under CH file A/20/O/51 and provided comments to the applicant in letters dated September 22, 2020, and November 27, 2020. We are satisfied that the location of the proposed dwelling can meet CH Policies so long as a 5 m access to and along the shoreline protection works (built as per CH Permit No. 7341) is provided for maintenance, repair, or replacement.

CH staff are currently reviewing the proposed development through Site Plan application SP 1713.045/01 and provided comments to the Town of Oakville in letters dated March 15, 2021, and September 23, 2021. There are outstanding comments regarding the proposed rear landscaping, confirmation that the shoreline protection works were built as approved (it has since been confirmed that they have not), and revisions to confirm that the 5 m access to and along the shoreline protection works can be considered unobstructed. Additional comments may also be provided upon resubmission of SP 1713.045/01.

While CH staff are not opposed to the proposed variances as written, we recommend **deferral** of this Minor Variance application until our comments regarding the required 5 m unobstructed access are addressed through the Site Plan application and the compliance issues found on site are dealt with.

CH Permit Compliance Issue

CH staff visited the site on January 12, 2022 to look at the rockery retaining, stairs, landings and shoreline access built on site associated with the shoreline protection works (CH Permit No. 7341). Staff found that these works constitute substantial development within the shoreline erosion hazard and were not included on the drawings approved through CH Permit No. 7341. CH is unable to issue

Permits for works which have been initiated and/or completed without prior approval. Instead, Compliance Agreements can be negotiated with willing landowners for alleged violations that have the potential to meet CH policies and regulatory requirements. This must be dealt with before CH can support approvals on site including this Minor Variance application CAV A/001/2022 (and Site Plan application SP 1713.045/01).

One Window Delegated Authority under PPS

CH reviews applications based on its delegated responsibility to represent the Province on the natural hazard policies of the PPS (3.1.1-3.1.7). Policy 3.1.1 of the PPS states that "development shall generally be directed to areas outside of... a) hazardous lands adjacent to the shorelines of the Great Lakes - St. Lawrence River System and large inland lakes which are impacted by flooding hazards, erosion hazards and/or dynamic beach hazards."

Given the above, additional information is required to ensure the proposed works conform to the PPS and CH Policy.

Recommendation

CH is unable to issue Permits for works which have been initiated and/or completed without prior approval. The works identified in this Minor Variance Application can be rectified through the Compliance Agreement process. Through that Agreement, the applicant will agree to work with staff to bring the development on site into compliance with Ontario Regulation 162/06. Staff advise that, under the current years fee schedule, Compliance Agreements are charged based on the applicable category plus a 100% surcharge. The applicant is encouraged to contact Justin Vetro, Compliance Inspector, at jvetro@hrca.on.ca to initiate this process.

Given the above, CH staff recommends **deferral** of the requested minor variances until the compliance issue on site has been dealt with, and subsequently our comments regarding the required 5 m unobstructed access are addressed.

Bell Canada: No Comments Received

Letter(s)/Emails in support: Three

Letter(s)/Emails in opposition: None

<u>Note:</u> The following standard comments apply to all applications. Any additional application specific comments are as shown below.

- The applicant is advised that permits may be required should any proposed work be carried out on the property i.e. site alteration permit, pool enclosure permit, tree preservation, etc.
- The applicant is advised that permits may be required from other departments / authorities (e.g. Engineering and Construction, Building Services, Conservation Halton, etc.) should any proposed work be carried out on the property.
- The applicant is advised that any current or future proposed works that may affect existing trees (private or municipal) will require an arborist report.
- The applicant is advised that any current or future proposed works will require the removal of all encroachments from the public road allowance to the satisfaction of the Engineering and Construction Department.
- The applicant is advised that the comments provided pertain only to zoning and are not to be construed as a review or approval of any proposal for the site. This review will be carried out through the appropriate approval process at which time the feasibility/scope of the works will be assessed.

Requested conditions from circulated agencies:

- 1. That the dwelling and accessory building be constructed in accordance with the final approved Site Plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services.
- 2. That the approval be subject to Heritage Permit approval.
- 3. That the approval expires two (2) years from the date of the decision if a building permit has not been issued for the proposed construction.

McGrae

Heather McCrae, ACST Secretary-Treasurer

Attachment: Letters/Emails in Support – 3

From: Bill Podolsky
Sent: January 17, 2022 3:28 PM
To: Heather McCrae <heather.mccrae@oakville.ca>
Cc:
Subject: Notice of Public Hearing, Committee of Adjustment Application (21 Allan Street)

Dear Ms. McCrae:

Please accept this message as confirmation that on review of the information provided regarding the above noted Hearing and Application we do not have any objections to the minor variances requested and therefore support the application as presented.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

William Podolsky & Arlene Donovan 23 Reynolds Street Oakville, Ontario Date: _____ **January 17**, 2022

Committee of Adjustment Town of Oakville 1225 Trafalgar Road, P.O. Box 310 Oakville, ON L6J 5A6

Dear Members of the Committee:

Regarding: Application for Minor Variance 21 Allan Street.

I/We are aware of the above noted application for minor variance(s) submitted.

Having reviewed the design drawings proposed for the new house and the minor variances requested, I/we do not have any objection to the request for minor variance(s) and therefore support the application as presented.

Sincerely,

David Gagner

Name(s) (print)

Signature(s)

33 Allan St., Oakville

Address

Date: 18 2000

Committee of Adjustment Town of Oakville 1225 Trafalgar Road, P.O. Box 310 Oakville, ON L6J 5A6

Dear Members of the Committee:

Application for Minor Variance Regarding: 21 Allan Street.

I/We are aware of the above noted application for minor variance(s) submitted.

Having reviewed the design drawings proposed for the new house and the minor variances requested, I/we do not have any objection to the request for minor variance(s) and therefore support the application as presented.

Sincerely,

June Codewell Name(s) (print)

Signature(s)

194 Front & Oakwille. ON- 2651AZ Address