

**Special Council Meeting**

September 13, 2021

Comments Received Regarding Item 3.1-  
Mandatory Vaccination Policy

**From:** Nicola R

**Sent:** September 9, 2021 10:51 AM

**To:** Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>

**Subject:** September 13, 2021 Council Meeting at 4:30pm - Discussion item 3.1 Mandatory Vaccination Policy

To whom it may concern

I am writing on behalf of myself and my family to express my deep concern for the mandating of vaccines and vaccine policies that try to remove the free will and choice from the people of Oakville, particularly the Oakville town staff.

I am sure that the council is fully aware of the numerous laws that exist to protect our free will and choice, our right not to be coerced into any medical treatment particularly treatment still in clinical trials, as well as our right to privacy on many matters but in particular health. I am baffled that these conversations are even being had let alone that the man acting as prime minister of Canada Justin Trudeau is trying to enforce such mandates.

Thankfully, at the moment we still have a constitution that protects us from such criminal acts. I would encourage you to ensure you are aware of the below before any decision is made causing you to respond to an influx of lawsuits.

Our privacy is protected under the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act, 2000 (PIPEDA) as well as the Personal Health Information Protection Act, 2004 (PHIPA) as well as the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.1 and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, RSO 1990.

Elements of consent: your expressed, informed and explicit consent (voluntary) must be obtained **prior** to treatment. Without consent it is considered assault under the Criminal Code of Canada. Consent given under fear or duress is **not** consent. Section 265(3) of the Criminal Code of Canada defines consent in relation to assault as:

- **Consent**

(3) For the purposes of this section, no consent is obtained where the complainant submits or does not resist by reason of

- (a) the application of force to the complainant or to a person other than the complainant;
- (b) threats or fear of the application of force to the complainant or to a person other than the complainant;
- (c) fraud; or
- (d) the exercise of authority.

The Ontario Health Care Consent Act, 1996 defines “consent” as well :

Consent to Treatment

No treatment without consent

**10 (1)** A health practitioner who proposes a treatment for a person shall not administer the treatment, and shall take reasonable steps to ensure that it is not administered, unless,

- (a) he or she is of the opinion that the person is capable with respect to the treatment, and the person has given consent; or
- (b) he or she is of the opinion that the person is incapable with respect to the treatment, and the person's substitute decision-maker has given consent on the person's behalf in accordance with this Act. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 10 (1).

#### **Elements of consent**

**11 (1)** The following are the elements required for consent to treatment:

1. The consent must relate to the treatment.
2. The consent must be informed.
3. The consent must be given voluntarily.
4. The consent must not be obtained through misrepresentation or fraud. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 11 (1).

#### **Treatment is defined in the Ontario Health Care Consent Act, 1996 as follows:**

"means anything that is done for a therapeutic, preventive, palliative, diagnostic, cosmetic or other health-related purpose, and includes a course of treatment, plan of treatment or community treatment plan". This definition would include any vaccination or **any** COVID-19 test, as they are both, allegedly, "preventive", "diagnostic" and for a "health-related purpose".

The Nuremberg Code, to which Canada is a signatory, states that it is essential before performing a medical procedure on human beings, that there is voluntary informed consent. It also confirms a person involved should have legal capacity to give consent, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him/her to make an informed decision.

Nuremberg Code: Article 6, Section 1:

Any preventative, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be expressed and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason **without disadvantage or prejudice**.

Nuremberg Code: Article 6: Section 3:

In no case should a collective community agreement or the consent of a community leader or other authority substitute for an individual's informed consent.

By forcing employees to submit to a COVID-19 vaccination or test (including the rapid antigen test), you are in breach of the Nuremberg Code.

Furthermore, the Supreme Court of Canada has well established case law that deals with medical treatment without the informed consent of the patient. Case law, to some in the legal field, would be regarded as the most recent, gold-standard-type of law. Case law cannot be overturned or overruled without new case law on that issue. The Supreme Court of Canada has made it clear that it is unconstitutional to force medical treatment of any kind without the informed consent of the patient. Any action taken by an employer in contravention of case law, would be unlawful.

In terms of accessing private health records, the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act also speaks to this. Under the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.1 under Section 63(2) it states:

**Information confidential**

### Employer access to health records

(2) No employer shall seek to gain access, except by an order of the court or other tribunal or in order to comply with another statute, to a health record concerning a worker without the worker's written consent. R.S.O. 1990, c. O.1, s. 63 (2).

Also under the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990, c O.1 it outlines penalties:

## PART IX OFFENCES AND PENALTIES

### Penalties

**66** (1) Every person who contravenes or fails to comply with,

- (a) a provision of this Act or the regulations;
- (b) an order or requirement of an inspector or a Director; or
- (c) an order of the Minister,

is guilty of an offence and on conviction is liable to a fine of not more than \$100,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than twelve months, or to both. R.S.O. 1990, c. O.1, s. 66 (1); 2017, c. 34, Sched. 30, s. 4 (1).

While I recognize that Section 63(2) of the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act, 1990, states that accessing the health records of an employee is subject to any other statute (which presumably includes the Reopening Ontario {A Flexible Response to Covid-19} Act, 2020), it is nonetheless important to highlight this Act. "Any other statute" is a very broad legal inclusion and would include many of the laws referenced here.

Furthermore, the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms Section 2 (a) (freedom of conscience and religion) and Section 7 (everyone has the right to life, liberty, and security of person and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice), apply to these mandates. Human bodily autonomy is as basic as it gets in terms of rights. We have the right to liberty – and this includes our right to refuse medical treatment (including vaccines or any of the available tests for COVID-19).

The PCR test is a form of genetic test and also would fall under the definition of a medical procedure. The following legislation also applies: Bill S-201, Statutes of Canada 2017: "An Act to prohibit and prevent genetic discrimination". In it, it clearly defines "genetic test": **genetic test** means a test that analyzes DNA, RNA or chromosomes for purposes such as the prediction of disease or vertical transmission risks, or monitoring, diagnosis or prognosis. (*test génétique*)

Furthermore, in this legislation it also outlines Prohibitions:

#### Prohibitions

##### Genetic test

**3** (1) It is prohibited for any person to require an individual to undergo a genetic test as a condition of

- (a) providing goods or services to that individual;
- (b) entering into or continuing a contract or agreement with that individual; or
- (c) offering or continuing specific terms or conditions in a contract or agreement with that individual.

This legislation also outlines "Offences and Punishment"

#### Contravention of sections 3 to 5

**7** Every person who contravenes any of sections 3 to 5 is guilty of an offence and is liable

(a) on conviction on indictment, to a fine not exceeding \$1,000,000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years, or to both; or

(b) on summary conviction, to a fine not exceeding \$300,000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding twelve months, or to both.

and let's not forget the Canadian Bill of Rights which protects our already existing, inalienable human rights and freedoms.

### **Recognition and declaration of rights and freedoms**

**1** It is hereby recognized and declared that in Canada there have existed and shall continue to exist without discrimination by reason of race, national origin, colour, religion or sex, the following human rights and fundamental freedoms, namely,

- **(a)** the right of the individual to life, liberty, security of the person and enjoyment of property, and the right not to be deprived thereof except by due process of law;
- **(b)** the right of the individual to equality before the law and the protection of the law;
- **(c)** freedom of religion;
- **(d)** freedom of speech;
- **(e)** freedom of assembly and association; and
- **(f)** freedom of the press.

Thank you for your time.

Nicola

---

**From:** Laurie

**Sent:** September 9, 2021 12:46 PM

**To:** Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>

**Subject:** No Mandatory Vaccinations for Town of Oakville Employees

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to you today to state my absolute opposition to the implementation of Vaccine mandates for Town of Oakville staff members.

While the physical health of the community is very important, the mental health of everyone should also be considered. People all over the world, and right here in Oakville, have suffered emotionally in some way due to the measures taken as a result of the pandemic. Mandating people to take a vaccine that is still in its clinical study phase (and will be until at least May of 2023) is overstepping boundaries that no government or authority figures should be allowed to do. Taking a vaccine is a personal choice to protect oneself. None of the vaccine manufacturers have indicated that the vaccines eliminate the risk of transmission between vaccinated/unvaccinated people to vaccinated or unvaccinated people. Innumerable health care professionals with front line experience with COVID care and extensive medical knowledge do not support this mandate. This should speak volumes to inform decision making.

Humans are protected under several provisions to keep their personal medical information private and confidential: The Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act, 2000 (PIPEDA), the Personal Health Information Protection Act, 2004 (PHIPA) as well as the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.1 and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, RSO 1990 protect our medical privacy. No one should be coerced or threatened into disclosing it for fear of losing work and facing discrimination in the workplace. The provisions and the human rights of all Canadians is what differentiates us from some other countries and governments in the world. It is what makes us a desirable nation (and Oakville, a desirable community), in which to reside.

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms:

Section 2 (a) (freedom of conscience and religion) and Section 7 (everyone has the right to life, liberty, and security of person and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice), apply to these mandates. We have the right to liberty – and this includes our right to refuse medical treatment (including vaccines or any of the available tests for COVID-19).

The PCR test is a form of genetic testing and also would fall under the definition of a medical procedure. The following legislation also applies: Bill S-201, Statutes of Canada 2017: “An Act to prohibit and prevent genetic discrimination”. In it, it clearly defines “genetic test”: **genetic test** means a test that analyzes DNA, RNA or chromosomes for purposes such as the prediction of disease or vertical transmission risks, or monitoring, diagnosis or prognosis.

Furthermore, in this legislation it also outlines Prohibitions:

Prohibitions

### **Genetic test**

**3 (1)** It is prohibited for any person to require an individual to undergo a genetic test as a condition of

(a) providing goods or services to that individual;

(b) entering into or continuing a contract or agreement with that individual; or

(c) offering or continuing specific terms or conditions in a contract or agreement with that individual.

This legislation also outlines “Offences and Punishment”

### **Contravention of sections 3 to 5**

**7** Every person who contravenes any of sections 3 to 5 is guilty of an offence and is liable

**(a)** on conviction on indictment, to a fine not exceeding \$1,000,000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years, or to both; or

**(b)** on summary conviction, to a fine not exceeding \$300,000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding twelve months, or to both.

Furthermore, and to borrow this excerpt from another concerned citizen;

"The Nuremberg Code, to which Canada is a signatory, states that it is essential before performing a medical procedure on human beings, that there is voluntary informed consent. It also confirms a person involved should have legal capacity to give consent, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him/her to make an informed decision:

Nuremberg Code: Article 6, Section 1:

Any preventative, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be expressed and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason **without disadvantage or prejudice**.

Nuremberg Code: Article 6: Section 3:

In no case should a collective community agreement or the consent of a community leader or other authority substitute for an individual's informed consent.

By forcing employees to submit to a COVID-19 vaccination or test (including the rapid antigen test), you are in breach of the Nuremberg Code.

The Supreme Court of Canada has made it clear that it is unconstitutional to force medical treatment of any kind without the informed consent of the patient."

Finally, please remember the Canadian Bill of Rights which protects our already existing, inalienable human rights and freedoms:

### **Recognition and declaration of rights and freedoms**

**1** It is hereby recognized and declared that in Canada there have existed and shall continue to exist without discrimination by reason of race, national origin, colour, religion or sex, the following human rights and fundamental freedoms, namely,

- **(a)** the right of the individual to life, liberty, security of the person and enjoyment of property, and the right not to be deprived thereof except by due process of law;
- **(b)** the right of the individual to equality before the law and the protection of the law;
- **(c)** freedom of religion;
- **(d)** freedom of speech;
- **(e)** freedom of assembly and association; and
- **(f)** freedom of the press.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email and considering the points made to inform the decision making process of the proposed mandate.

Laurie

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Knafelc

Sent: September 10, 2021 11:24 AM

To: Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>

Subject: Subjects to discuss with council from CUPE local 136 over mandatory vaccinations

Good morning

My name is Peter Knafelc President of CUPE local 136 for the Town of Oakville.

Here is a list of issues I would like to bring forward to council to discuss over the proposed mandatory vaccination debate.

1. We wanted to be involved in the development process when it comes to mandatory vaccinations. WE WERE NOT ! We were told what might be coming down the pipe but not asked to be a part of the decision making process. Our local believes this was unfair.

2. What happened to the discussion over religious rights and freedoms against vaccinations ? Will the Town honour them ?



3. The hospital workers union and the chief medical officer have allowed staff to “opt out” of mandatory vaccinations and allow for antigen testing. WHY CAN’T OAKVILLE FOLLOW SUIT ?

4. When it comes to “booster” shots will Oakville demand that staff get those as well ?

5. What about the common flu that comes through into the fall and winter seasons ? Will the Town of Oakville demand that all staff get vaccinated for that as well ?

6. Why would the Town of Oakville demand rapid antigen testing in September until the end of October but not as an option if staff want to pay for it out of their own pocket moving forward if they don’t want to be vaccinated ? This makes no sense ! What happens to staff if they decide they don’t want to go though the mandatory antigen testing in September until the end of October ?

7. Why is it our bordering neighbour of Burlington is offering an alternative option and letting employees go through rapid antigen testing instead of being vaccinated ?

I’m sure you can understand our multiple concerns as a local. Where does this end ? Why is everything being pushed down our members throats so quickly ? There should be a much more collaborative discussion and debate moving forward to put people’s minds at ease in these trying times .

I appreciate your time . Thank you .

Pete Knafelc  
President of CUPE local 136 for the Town of Oakville.

Peter Knafelc  
Truck Driver DZ  
Roads & Works Operations  
Town of Oakville | | [www.oakville.ca](http://www.oakville.ca)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.  
<http://www.oakville.ca/privacy.html>

---

**From:** Tania G  
**Sent:** September 10, 2021 12:28 PM  
**To:** Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>  
**Subject:** September 13, 2021 Council Meeting at 4:30pm - Discussion item 3.1 Mandatory Vaccination Policy

Good morning

I respectfully write to voice my opposition to the proposed mandatory vaccination policy for Town of Oakville staff and Members of Council to be discussed at the Council Meeting on September 13th.

Please don't think that I am opposed to vaccines, this is not the case at all. There is nothing wrong with the development of this vaccine, modern medicine is a marvel and we wouldn't be where we are today if we didn't have all the advances of medicine. However, you promote the value of vaccines through data, discussion and debate. To force it upon a population will only serve to create more resistance and division, and certainly censorship of an opposing view leads to distrust. We live in a country that is supposed to value freedom of choice, the issue of mandatory vaccinations is eroding these freedoms and leading us down a slippery slope. The decision to vaccinate is a personal medical decision that should never be made through force or coercion and should always be made through free will and choice. Since when did we give up the right to make our own medical decisions for our own bodies?

Even in our schools immunization is not mandatory and cannot be made mandatory because of the Canadian Constitution. While Ontario requires that children who attend primary or secondary school be immunized against specific infectious diseases, the *Immunization of School Pupils Act*, provides for exemption from immunization for medical reasons or due to conscience or religious belief. We have a process in place to ensure every child has a right to an education. This should also be the case for employees. Does an outstanding employee suddenly become less of an employee because they are not willing to make the medical decision being forced on them by their employer?

Have we really forgotten our history so easily? Does the legacy of Nazi Germany in World War II and the Civil Rights movement not serve to remind us that segregation is never the solution. I appreciate that this discussion item is about keeping our workplaces and community safe, however, a policy of mandatory vaccination is not the way to do it and only serves to take away our medical freedoms. Those that choose to get vaccinated have their freedom of choice, so what about the freedoms for those that choose not to be vaccinated for whatever reasons they may have? History has a habit of repeating the same mistakes over and over again, when are we going to stand up and say "enough is enough", let's learn from the past so we don't regret these decisions in the future. Let the debate around these vaccines be heard, allow for transparency in the process, and above all, allow for the individual to have the right to their medical autonomy. There are enough alternatives to keep our community safe without requiring a mandatory vaccination policy.

Respectfully

Tania G - a concerned Oakville resident

---

**From:** John Vidal  
**Sent:** September 3, 2021 4:01 PM  
**To:** Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>  
**Subject:** Council Meeting September 13/ 2021 Re: Covid19 and Mandatory Vaccine Passports for Employees

Hello,

There are employees who are not anti-vaxxers but have not taken these vaccines are currently available because they are waiting for the new vaccines that are coming towards the end of the year.

How is the town going to help to bridge this time gap?

Will it be daily rapid testing?

--

John Vidal

---

**From:** Domenic Manchisi  
**Sent:** September 12, 2021 9:53 AM  
**To:** Marc Grant <[marc.grant@oakville.ca](mailto:marc.grant@oakville.ca)>; Jeff Knoll <[jeff.knoll@oakville.ca](mailto:jeff.knoll@oakville.ca)>; \_Members of Council <[MembersofCouncil@oakville.ca](mailto:MembersofCouncil@oakville.ca)>; Mayor Rob Burton <[Mayor@oakville.ca](mailto:Mayor@oakville.ca)>; Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>  
**Subject:** Proposed Vaccine Mandate

I am writing in response to the proposed mandatory vaccination policy within Town facilities that is being brought to council on September 13. I was made aware of this policy on Thursday and expressed my concerns to upper management at the Town. I was told to also send my concerns to the delegation. My wife, three children and I are all born and raised in Oakville. I have been a tenant within the River Oaks Community Centre for more than 10 years and have been operating a multidisciplinary clinic that offers physiotherapy, chiropractic, and massage therapy. I have recently expanded and am looking to add the services of a physician in the very near future. I anticipate the mandatory vaccination policy to affect my health clinic within River Oaks Community Centre as stated below.

1. The services we provide are deemed essential and have played a significant role in alleviating the burden on local hospitals and family medicine clinics. As of yet, we have not been mandated by our professional colleges, the provincial government or public health to provide treatment for vaccinated individuals only. We have been instructed to continue to provide treatment for all individuals, regardless of their vaccination status, while continuing to follow the very strict policies and procedures determined by all regulated health colleges that have kept the staff and clients safe for the last 18 months. During previous lockdowns, the Town was able to provide accommodations to

keep Path to Wellness operational while the centre itself was closed. We hope the same accommodations can be provided to the clinic and its clients.

2. Based on the provincial data, 32% of Ontarians are not vaccinated or only partially vaccinated. I am not able to provide the exact vaccination rate at my clinic but I would estimate approximately 30%-35% are partially or unvaccinated. Many of these patients are already in the middle of treatment plans. Suspending treatment based on vaccination status would hinder the progress and gains already achieved. To suspend treatment would be a breach of ethics as a health care provider and we would be denying access to essential medical treatments. Some may have pre-existing medical conditions and have been advised to hold off on getting vaccinated by health professionals. Furthermore, many patients struggle to establish trust with their healthcare practitioner and denying them continuation of care during these difficult times may be a conflict with our respective health colleges.

3. As a small business owner, the last 18 months have been extremely difficult. My practitioners and I have endured a 3 month shut down, a year of constant lockdowns and restrictions, and a community centre that has been empty with no patrons. It has been a struggle but my staff and I have tried to remain optimistic and persevere. I have complied with every request and policy that has been made by the Town, the professional colleges, and public health in hopes to keep our community safe.

4. As a resident of Oakville, I understand the importance of vaccinations however, as a business owner and health care provider, I feel the mandates do not take into account the overall health and well-being of all Oakville residents by preventing access to essential health care.

I appreciate having the opportunity to have these concerns addressed at the delegation. Path to Wellness has received special exemptions during the first, second and third wave of the pandemic as we are an essential service. We hope you consider these points of making another exemption for the patients of the clinic. We would like to have a plan in place so we can continue to offer our services to all residents of Oakville. I would like to be able to discuss this further before a decision is made as this mandate will have a significant impact on myself as a business owner, essential health care provider and Oakville constituent.

Thank you

Domenic Manchisi  
Owner Path to Wellness

---

**From:** Kat

**Sent:** September 13, 2021 9:12 AM

**To:** Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>; Mayor Rob Burton <[Mayor@oakville.ca](mailto:Mayor@oakville.ca)>; Natalia Lishchyna <[natalia.lishchyna@oakville.ca](mailto:natalia.lishchyna@oakville.ca)>; Tom Adams <[tom.adams@oakville.ca](mailto:tom.adams@oakville.ca)>; Beth Robertson <[beth.robertson@oakville.ca](mailto:beth.robertson@oakville.ca)>; Sean O'Meara <[sean.o'meara@oakville.ca](mailto:sean.o'meara@oakville.ca)>; Ray Chisholm <[ray.chisholm@oakville.ca](mailto:ray.chisholm@oakville.ca)>; Cathy Duddeck <[cathy.duddeck@oakville.ca](mailto:cathy.duddeck@oakville.ca)>; Janet Haslett-Theall <[janet.haslett-theall@oakville.ca](mailto:janet.haslett-theall@oakville.ca)>; David Gittings <[david.gittings@oakville.ca](mailto:david.gittings@oakville.ca)>; Peter Longo <[peter.longo@oakville.ca](mailto:peter.longo@oakville.ca)>; Allan Elgar <[allan.elgar@oakville.ca](mailto:allan.elgar@oakville.ca)>; Marc Grant <[marc.grant@oakville.ca](mailto:marc.grant@oakville.ca)>; Jeff Knoll <[jeff.knoll@oakville.ca](mailto:jeff.knoll@oakville.ca)>; Jasvinder Sandhu <[jasvinder.sandhu@oakville.ca](mailto:jasvinder.sandhu@oakville.ca)>; Pavan Parmar <[pavan.parmar@oakville.ca](mailto:pavan.parmar@oakville.ca)>

**Subject:** "September 13th, 2021 Council Meeting at 4:30pm -Discussion item 3.1 Mandatory Vaccination Policy"

**April 17th, 2020 email to me from Rob Burton, when I questioned the Lockdowns and forcing healthy people to stay in their homes ....**

"Look, this is about keeping the numbers of severe cases and deaths from breaking the capacity of our healthcare system. You know, as in New York and Italy and so on."

**Just Two weeks to flatten the curve**

**Just until the Hospitals are not overwhelmed ....***They were never overwhelmed, and still are not.... Although we will be seeing a lot of sick people this fall and winter from what many doctors call ADE, Antibody Dependent Enhancement from the "vaccine".*

**Just until the "Cases" are down .....***Cases based on an amplification cycles in the 38-45 range which are over 90% false positive, and the CDC has recalled the test by the end of the year since it cannot determine if the positive is from a cold, flu, or s previous infection.*

**Just until we inject the elderly and vulnerable**

**Just until we vaccinate at least 70% of the population**

**And here we are, 19 months later....**

**Just until we vaccinate everyone with a mandated experimental injection.....***An injection which has no medium and long term side effects, has shown to have severe reactions such as myocarditis, inflammation, blood clots, liver and kidney failure, pancreatitis, Appendicitis, and many more including death.*

<https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/vaccines/2020/12/covid-19-guidance-aesis.pdf?la=en>

**Israel, the highest % injected country in the world now is on their third and talking about starting their fourth shot...due to the fact that since they started the Covid injections they have been getting more cases and deaths than ever...**

**Most Covid patients at Israeli hospitals fully vaccinated? What does this mean for Australia? | The Spectator Australia:**

<https://www.spectator.com.au/2021/08/most-covid-patients-at-israeli-hospital-fully-vaccinated-what-does-this-mean-for-australia/>

**Dr Hoffe (BC Emergency Physician), on blood clots from spike proteins are inevitable:**

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/qsTbE4yYxSC8/>

**"Heart inflammation cases higher in 16-24 age group after 2nd mRNA COVID-19 shot"**

<https://globalnews.ca/news/7937894/heart-inflammation-mrna-covid-19-vaccine/>

**"Informed consent disclosure to vaccine trial subjects of risk of COVID-19 vaccines worsening clinical disease"**

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33113270/>

**"Data from the study of SARS-CoV and other respiratory viruses suggest that anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies could exacerbate COVID-19 through antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE)"**

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32908214/>

**Canadian Doctors Speak about adverse reactions they are seeing:**

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/1e9NbM4mdF91/>

**" new peer-reviewed studies that suggests there may be terrifying reasons side effects such as heart inflammation, VITT, and other serious issues may occur in those who have been vaccinated "**

<https://omny.fm/shows/on-point-with-alex-pierson/new-peer-reviewed-study-on-covid-19-vaccines-sugge#description>

**In case you think this is a "Pandemic of the Unvaccinated" as the government bailed-out Media and our government keeps stating..**

"Unvaccinated individual refers to individuals that have not received a dose of a COVID-19 vaccine, as well as individuals that are not yet protected from vaccination (0 to 13 days following dose 1 administration)."

On page 22: (source: <https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/covid-19-epi-confirmed-cases-post-vaccination.pdf>)

If someone has a first injection and has a reaction in less than 2 weeks, ( I know many people that have), they go to the hospital and test positive (because of the high PCR cycling threshold above 25), and the fact that their body produces spike proteins from the injection, which causes the illness, they are counted as a Covid illness or death or hospitalization due to being unvaccinated ...which is a complete lie.. they are actually a vaccine injury.. It would be very easy to separate the truly unvaccinated from those that have had one shot but are not yet protected...

They don't separate that info because it would show a very different truth.

Before the Injection Rollout over 98% of deaths only occurred in Long Term Care homes. Over 85% of those that died had extremely low levels of Vitamin D. In LTC

homes the residents were kept isolated in their rooms by themselves (this alone is enough to make someone want to die), fed a poor diet, and generally not kept in great conditions. LTC homes are where people go to die. Yet we used those deaths, that with proper care could have been avoided, to impose severe measures, restrictions, medical devices on everyone that restrict breathing, and now mandatory injections on everyone.

CTV news source: <https://mobile.twitter.com/AndrewSaysTV/status/1331271547919736832>

Globe and Mail news source: <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/canada/article-quebec-nursing-home-often-gave-morphine-rather-than-treat-covid-19/>

The following commentary is provided by Dr Susan Vosloo (South African heart surgeon):

*"Most people I speak to, who accept or support the vaccine, seem to be making more of an emotional decision than anything else..."*

*I have literally personally read and studied scientific publications, listened to HIGHLY qualified doctors, and VERY higher-grade discussions, and I personally cannot - even for a second - understand how people can make any decision without properly studying both sides of the argument.*

*As an example, I read the actual Pfizer Study Papers, their EUA Applications, Approvals, AND Information sheets, and I am VERY worried for my friends who are not informed about the risks I see SO CLEARLY...*

*But again - it will come down to who is wrong, and who is right, I suppose...*

*My concern is that - if I am wrong - I can always take the vaccine later.*

*If anyone who takes the vaccine is wrong - they have signed what might turn out to be a death sentence, purely through ignorance - because they "did the right thing."*

*All these very qualified doctors, virologists, microbiologists, pulmonologists, evolutionary biologists, the co-inventor of mRNA, the inventor of the PCR test... All these highly credentialed people do presentations in great detail about the risks, the pathology, the mechanism of inoculation, the formation of T-Cells, Spike-Proteins, Messenger RNA, and all manner of highly scientific discussions, around the risks of the vaccine...*

*Why would people think that all these people are simply conspiracy nuts, poor scientists, liars, and theorists, who benefit (somehow) from speaking out so verbally while risking their careers?*

*THAT is what concerns me... If they are right. If their concerns turn out to be true. If a D-Dimer Test is the ONLY way to know if you're a ticking time-bomb to die from micro-capillary clotting (already proven), in 2, 3, or 5 years - way beyond the scope of the 60day EUA tests - what is our future going to look like?*

*I am more willing to be judged and labeled than to take risks like this with my health, just because "it's the right thing to do," versus being swayed by real, consistent science, and verified facts...*

*I am not going to make a decision on my future health, based on the emotional blackmail used by governments, the media, friends who "unfriend" and label me, or those who benefit most from my compliance.*

*That's probably the most accurate description of where I am, right now, that I have ever typed... "*

**Read up on Dr Vosloo here:** <https://briefly.co.za/106860-dr-susan-vosloo-age-children-husband-video-covid-netcare-contacts.html>

**Firing an employee because they are not willing to take an experimental injection which has severe risks is a violation of human rights. When you threaten the livelihood of someone that does not submit to your demands, you are also threatening that they are not able to feed their family, pay the bills and lose everything...that is a criminal offence. Threatening and coercing someone in order to keep their job when you will take zero liability or responsibility if something goes wrong is against the Bill, Charter, and our Constitutional rights.**

**Shouldn't "My Body My Choice" also apply to experimental drug protocols and injections?**

**Consider this email as putting you on notice for crimes against humanity and if you pass this mandate you will be held accountable at Nuremberg 2.0. Where there is risk, there must always be a choice and full informed consent !**

**Katherine Lesiuk**

**(Paying Taxes to the "Corporation" of the Town of Oakville for over 21 years...also Engineer, Wife, Mom of two teenage daughters)**





# Oakville

## Professional Firefighters Association

### Local 1582

Mr. Mayor and Town of Oakville Councillors,

On behalf of Local 1582, the Oakville Professional Fire Fighters Association, we are urging you to defer the vote on September 16<sup>th</sup> regarding the Mandatory Vaccination Policy being recommended to you by the 'Town of Oakville' until such time as we are consulted.

As a major stakeholder in the Town, representing some 250 employees, we believe that we should have been consulted in the preparation of this policy PRIOR to it being sent to you for adoption. We have not.

We are concerned that not only will it not take into account the varying views and beliefs of our diverse membership, it will be a violation of their rights and freedoms. Moreover, it can have a potentially wide-reaching and unwanted impact on our members and their families.

Please either defer this vote or vote against the adoption of this policy until such time as all parties affected have an opportunity to voice their opinions regarding such an impactful and divisive issue.

Sincerely,

The Executive Board of the OPFFA

1510 Postmaster Drive  
Oakville, ON  
L6M 2Y7

**OAKVILLEPFFA.COM**

**@OAKVILLEPFFA**

**From:** Jenn Swant  
**Sent:** September-13-21 1:17 PM  
**To:** Town Clerk <[TownClerk@oakville.ca](mailto:TownClerk@oakville.ca)>  
**Subject:** Mandatory vaccination

Just wanted to send my concerns over these vaccination passports. They are 100 % discriminating and totally wrong. This is a brand new experimental vaccine with no long term data results. It is illegal to demand and coerce the public to comply.

This is disgusting and so wrong as most people would agree. I pray the people who are heading this up will one day be persecuted for their actions.

Thank you,

Jenn