

Appendix C: Public Comments

January 26, 2021

To: Pavan Parmar <pavan.parmar@oakville.ca>
Subject: New Development Proposal

Hi Pavan,

I'm writing this email regarding a new development proposal by District.com planned on 3064 Trafalgar Road in Oakville. As a resident of this neighborhood I would like to express our concerns and ask you to not approve this proposal. Please note on the west side of this location there is a pond with the trail around that all children from this neighborhood use for social activities such as: walking, running, cycling and etc. This is the only place for all of us to get together and connect with nature. We truly feel that this new proposal of 2 High Rise buildings is not the right match for us and our city. We love our beautiful city of Oakville and we would like to keep it safe and protected from pollution and over development. We truly believe that the intersection of Dundas and Trafalgar Road has no ability to accommodate other few thousand people as 678 units are being proposed to be built. With the Covid 19 Pandemic all over the world we all feel that protection of our environment should be our top priority. On behalf of all of us please do not approve this new proposal and consider to replace it with a new environment friendly solution such as: parks, soccer fields, libraries , etc. I would like to take this opportunity to wish you and your Family Happy, Healthy and Successful Year!

Sincerely,



January 8, 2021

Hello Tom and Natasha

Just want to express my concern with the attachment about a proposal for twin 30 storey building. The traffic on Dundas during rush hour in the morning and evening will be impacted by these twin buildings. Congestion will only get worse as well after covid passes as more people will be driving. I also worry about the environmental impact. This area doesn't need this type of development.

I also hope at blocks 48 and 49 - north of Dundas at Prince Michael Drive (across from shoppers drug mart at the lights) that they do not build some high rise condo. I heard those two blocks could accommodate *a 12 storey building which would be awful for the area. A building of this size 12 storey clearly would not look good in the area along with the congestion and environmental impact is distressing. I wish they made it a green space or stormwater pond.*

If it comes down to a vote for these two areas I hope you will consider voting against it and expressing the concerns that the residents have. Thank you.

Regards,



November 24, 2020

Thank you all . I did not have the chance to prepare a proper submission or stay for the entire meeting due to personal matters.

Clearly the issues are essentially health, environment & quality of life for all area residents: even more density adversely impacting air, noise & light pollution, pedestrian safety, inadequate & non- existent community services like rec centers, libraries for the population, & large open recreational space. Flawed assumptions about public transit use, & traffic.

Displacement of wildlife, streams & continually paving over the only prime arable land is an environmental disaster.

As one of you said: history will judge you. If you indiscriminately & piece meal approve all these exemptions the results will be disastrous.

Just like Conservation Authority, as a Council stand up to the Province & demand they revisit requirements in post- pandemic world. High density promotes spread of disease. Not all work from home...just those privileged in office work like yourselves.

Residents don't engage because they don't know, the process favours engaging developers, not citizens. Citizens feel they are not listened to, so why bother.

Developers are after the money, the more units the better. They get the money & move on. We are left behind with mess they promote for the sake of profit.

The concept of affordable housing is a joke in our area. The housing market has been manipulated by the developers forcing up prices to stratospheric levels.

You will simply feed this by approving this development . Do you think the price will be lower per unit, if you let them add 1/2 to 1/3 more (an incredibly astonishing brazen request) units? They ask because they know you may approve, or will fight at OMB (or whatever it is), & you won't defend us because we live in the wrong postal code. I as a citizen can't afford to hire lawyers to speak there. That is your job.

It is clear although we all pay taxes at same rate, we are treated inequitably in terms of community health, resources & well being, review of development proposals, depending on our postal code.

I would remind you, & the planners the citizens pay your salaries, & is whom you are charged with protecting, not the developers. They just take the money & run. Also, planners should have their facts right. I as a citizen know current Trafalgar Rd widening is only proceeding to Hays. The rest south of Dundas & continuing North is the next phase.

Greater coordination between Town & Regional planners would be helpful (e.g. the culvert).

Please send this along as well. Thanks again. Many of you have recognized some of these issues.

